

Law 106–113 provided that this provision was effective with respect to services furnished on or after November 29, 1999. In the August 1, 2000 interim final rule with comment period, we clarified our policy and incorporated the provisions of section 403(e) of Public Law 106–113 in §§ 410.152 and 413.70 of the regulations.

As we indicated in the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period (66 FR 32172), section 201(a) of Public Law 106–554 amended section 1834(g) of the Act to provide that there will be no collection of coinsurance, deductible, copayments, or any other type of cost sharing from Medicare beneficiaries with respect to outpatient clinical diagnostic laboratory services in a CAH.

Section 201(a) further provided that payment for these services will be made on a reasonable cost basis. Section 201(b) of the Public Law 106–554 amended section 1833(a) of the Act by eliminating any reference to CAHs receiving payment for outpatient clinical diagnostic laboratory services on a fee schedule basis. These amendments are effective for services furnished on or after November 29, 1999.

In the June 13 interim final rule with comment period, we incorporated the provisions of section 201 of Public Law 106–554 in § 413.70 of the regulations and changed the references cited in § 410.152(k)(2). To prevent any misunderstanding of the scope of section 201(a), we further revised § 413.70(b)(3)(iii) to clarify that payment to a CAH for clinical diagnostic laboratory tests for individuals who are not inpatients of the CAH will be made on a reasonable cost basis only if the individuals are outpatients of the CAH at the time the specimens are collected. Outpatient status will be determined under the definition in § 410.2, which provides that an “outpatient” is a person who has not been admitted as an inpatient but is registered as an outpatient and receives services (rather than supplies alone) from the CAH.

We indicated that we recognize that CAHs may appropriately function as reference laboratories, by performing clinical diagnostic laboratory tests on specimens from persons who do not meet the “outpatient” definition but have the specimens drawn at other locations, such as physician offices. Payment for clinical diagnostic laboratory tests for these other individuals (that are persons who are not patients of the CAH when the specimens are collected) will be made in accordance with the provisions of

sections 1833(a)(1)(D) and 1833(a)(2)(D) of the Act.

Comment: One commenter on the August 1, 2000 interim final rule expressed the view that it was Congress’ intent to pay CAHs for clinical diagnostic laboratory tests for outpatients on the basis of reasonable costs, not on the basis of a laboratory fee schedule. The commenter suggested that we develop and implement regulations permitting reasonable cost payment for these laboratory services.

Response: As explained earlier, section 201(a) of Public Law 106–554 subsequently modified the Medicare law to clearly require reasonable cost payment for those services and we have implemented that provision in the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period (which is being finalized in this final rule).

Comment: Some commenters stated that CAHs frequently perform clinical diagnostic laboratory tests on specimens drawn from patients at physician offices, nursing homes, and assisted living facilities in the community where the CAH is located, and in other rural communities. The commenters recommended that reasonable cost payment be made to the CAH for these services because, in the commenters’ view, doing so would help support the provision of health care in these settings.

Response: As explained above and in the preamble to the June 13 interim final rule with comment period, section 201(a) of Public Law 106–554 mandates reasonable cost payment to CAHs for clinical diagnostic laboratory tests to CAH patients but does not provide similar payment when the CAH functions as a reference laboratory for patients who do not come to the CAH but are seen at other locations. The statute does not provide for such payment for services to non-CAH patients. We believe these laboratory services provided to individuals who are not patients of a CAH should be paid for on the same basis as such services are generally paid for regardless of the fact that the CAH reference laboratory performed the testing, and that payment for them on a reasonable cost basis would extend the CAH payment methodology far beyond the CAH itself. Thus, we are not adopting the commenters’ recommendation.

Comment: One commenter suggested that we not require CAHs to refund coinsurance amounts collected from beneficiaries and third-party payers for clinical diagnostic laboratory tests furnished to outpatients on or after November 29, 1999. The commenter stated that this would be appropriate

because there has been confusion among some CAHs as to their responsibilities in this area, and returning these amounts could be burdensome for the CAHs.

Response: Public Law 106–554 clearly and consistently states that, effective November 29, 1999, these services are not subject to deductible or coinsurance amounts. Medicare Intermediary Manual Transmittal No. 1799 and Medicare Hospital Manual Transmittal No. 757, issued in June 2000, reemphasized this point. Therefore, we are not making any change in this final rule based on this comment.

e. Assistance With Fee Schedule Payment for Professional Services Under All-Inclusive Rate

Prior to enactment of Public Law 106–113, section 1834(g) of the Act provided that the amount of payment for outpatient CAH services would be the reasonable costs of the CAH in providing such services. However, the reasonable costs of the CAH’s services to outpatients included only the CAH’s costs of providing facility services, and did not include any payment for professional services. Physicians and other practitioners who furnished professional services to CAH outpatients billed the Part B carrier for these services and were paid under the physician fee schedule in accordance with the provisions of section 1848 of the Act.

In the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47100), we implemented section 403(d) of Public Law 106–113, which amended section 1834(g) of the Act to permit the CAH to elect to be paid for its outpatient services under an optional method. CAHs making this election would be paid amounts equal to the sum of the following costs, less the amount that the hospital may charge as described in section 1866(a)(2)(A) of the Act (that is, Part A and Part B deductibles and coinsurance amounts):

- For facility services, not including any services for which payment may be made as outpatient professional services, the reasonable costs of the CAH in providing the services; and
- For professional services otherwise included within outpatient CAH services, the amounts that would otherwise be paid under Medicare if the services were not included as outpatient CAH services.

Section 403(d) of Public Law 106–113 added section 1834(g)(3) to the Act to further specify that payment amounts under this optional method are to be determined without regard to the amount of the customary or other charge. The amendment made by

section 403(d) was effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2000.

In the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period (66 FR 32172), we implemented section 202 of Public Law 106–554, which amended section 1834(g) of the Act to provide that when a CAH elects the option to be paid for Medicare outpatient services under the reasonable costs for facility services plus fee schedule amounts for professional services method, Medicare will pay 115 percent of the amount it would otherwise pay for the professional services. This provision is effective for items and services furnished on or after July 1, 2001.

In the June 13 interim final rule with comment period, we revised the regulations at § 413.70(b)(3) to reflect the change in the level of payment for professional services under the alternative payment method for outpatient CAH services.

Comment: One commenter asked for an explanation of the relationship between payment to CAHs for CRNA services to outpatients at 115 percent of the amounts that would otherwise be payable under the physician fee schedule, and the pass-through of CRNA services costs under § 412.113(c) as described in the proposed rule published on May 4, 2001 (66 FR 22646).

Response: Under the proposed changes to §§ 413.70 and 412.113(c) that we included in our May 4, 2001 proposed rule, a CAH would be able to qualify for the CRNA pass-through (that is, reasonable costs payment for its costs of compensating CRNAs for their professional services to inpatients and outpatients) on the same basis as a hospital. If a particular CAH qualified for the CRNA pass-through and chose to claim payment under that method for its CRNA compensation costs, it would be paid on a reasonable cost basis for those costs. However, neither the CAH nor the individual CRNAs would then be permitted to bill under the physician fee schedule for any CRNA services to CAH patients. In particular, if the CAH chose the elective (115 percent) method of payment for professional services to CAH outpatients, its billings for those services could not include any amounts for CRNA services.

If a CAH was not qualified for the CRNA pass-through (because, for example, it furnished 500 or more surgical procedures requiring anesthesia per year), or was qualified but chose not to claim payment under the pass-through method, but did choose payment for professional services to CAH outpatients under the elective (115

percent) method, payment for CRNA services to outpatients would be made under the elective (115 percent) method. Under these circumstances, the CAH could not claim any CRNA compensation costs for the services on its cost report.

Comment: One commenter asked whether payment under the optional method described in § 413.70(b)(3) is available for all professional services to CAH outpatients in CAH space, including professional services the commenter described as “clinic visits”.

Response: The optional method applies to professional services otherwise included within outpatient CAH services provided to CAH outpatients. Outpatient CAH services are those medical and other services furnished by a CAH on an outpatient basis. Services that are not otherwise provided in a CAH on an outpatient basis, such as services provided by a home health agency owned or operated by a CAH, are paid under the payment rules applicable to the specific provider or supplier type and cannot be made under the optional method of payment for outpatient CAH services.

Comment: One commenter asked whether physicians and other practitioners who would otherwise be permitted to bill the Medicare Part B carrier for their professional services provided to CAH patients could reassign their Part B billing rights for those services to the CAH under the existing reassignment rules.

Response: The commenter is correct in understanding that practitioners may reassign their billing rights for professional services provided to CAH patients under applicable reassignment rules. Such reassignment would be needed to help ensure that there is not duplicate billing for those services.

Comment: One commenter stated that our current manual instructions require all professional services to the outpatients of a particular CAH to be billed under either the method in § 413.70(b)(2) (reasonable costs for facility services, with billing by the practitioner to the carrier for professional services) or the optional method in § 413.70(b)(3) (reasonable costs for facility services with billing by the CAH for professional services). The commenter asked whether a CAH would be permitted to elect the § 413.70(b)(3) method on a practitioner-by-practitioner basis, so that some practitioners’ services would be billed by the CAH while others would be billed by the practitioner.

Response: We appreciate the commenter’s request and note that we have already addressed this issue in our

regulations. Specifically, the regulations at § 413.70(b)(3)(i) state that once a CAH elects the optional method for payment of outpatient CAH services for a cost reporting period, the optional payment method remains in effect for all of that period and applies to all outpatient CAH services furnished to outpatients of the CAH during that period.

Comment: Some commenters noted that section 202 of Public Law 106–554 makes the 115 percent payment option for professional services to CAH outpatients available for services furnished on or after July 1, 2001. However, the commenters also stated that our program instructions state that the systems changes needed to permit payment at that level will not be available before October 1, 2001. The commenters asked for confirmation that the payment at the 115 percent level for services furnished on or after July 1, 2001, will be made available to CAHs electing payment under the optional method, and suggested various alternatives, including possible retroactive payment adjustments by the intermediary, by which this could be accomplished.

Response: We appreciate the commenters’ suggestions. We will continue to explore all feasible approaches to ensuring that payment is made in accordance with statutory requirements and will consider the various suggestions made by the commenter as we work to achieve this result.

f. Conforming Change—Conditions of Participation Relating to Compliance With Hospital Requirements at Time of Application for CAH Designation (§ 485.612)

Under the law in effect prior to enactment of Public Law 106–113, CAH status was available to facilities only if they were hospitals at the time of their application for designation as CAHs. This requirement was implemented through regulations at § 485.610 (Condition of participation: Status and limitations) and § 485.612 (Condition of Participation: Compliance with hospital requirements at time of application). As we previously noted, section 403(c) of Public Law 106–113 added subparagraphs (C) and (D) to section 1820(c)(2) of the Act to specify that recently closed facilities and facilities that had downsized from hospital status to being a clinic or health center would also be eligible to apply for CAH designation.

As noted earlier, in the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47052), we revised our regulations at § 485.610 to reflect the provisions of section 403(c) of the

Public Law 106–113. However, we inadvertently did not make a conforming change to § 485.612, which continues to state that the applicant facility must be a hospital with a provider agreement to participate in the Medicare program at the time it applies for designation as a CAH. To correct this oversight and reflect the provisions of section 403(c) in the regulations at § 485.612, in the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period (66 FR 32183), we revised § 485.612 to state that the requirement to have a provider agreement as a hospital at the time of application does not apply to recently closed facilities as described in § 485.610(a)(2) or to health clinics or health centers as described in § 485.610(a)(3).

We did not receive any comments on this regulation revision and are adopting it as final.

g. Participation in Swing-Bed Program (Section 403(f) of Public Law 106–113)

Section 403(f) of Public Law 106–113, entitled “Improvements in the Critical Access Hospital Program,” included a provision on swing-bed agreements. In the August 1, 2000 interim final rule with comment period, we indicated that since our existing regulations at § 485.645 already provide for swing beds in CAHs, we were not making any changes to our regulations based on this provision.

We did not receive any comments on this provision and are adopting our interim decision not to make any changes to our regulations as final.

C. Hospital Swing Bed Program

In the August 1, 2000 interim final rule with comment period (65 FR 47042), we indicated that section 408(a) of Public Law 106–113 amended section 1883(b) of the Act to remove the provision that in order for a hospital to enter into an agreement to provide Medicare post-hospital extended care services, the hospital had to be granted a certificate of need for the provision of long-term care services under the State health planning and development agency (designated under section 1521 of the Public Health Service Act) for the State in which the hospital is located. Section 408(b) of Public Law 106–113 amended section 1883(d) of the Act to remove the provisions under paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) that placed restrictions on lengths of stays in hospitals with more than 49 beds for post-hospital extended care services. These provisions are effective on the first day after the expiration of the transition period under section 1888(e)(2)(E) of the Act for payment for covered skilled

nursing facility (SNF) services under the Medicare program; that is, at the end of the transition period for the SNF prospective payments system that began with the facility’s first cost reporting period beginning on or after July 1, 1998 and extend through the end of the facility’s third cost reporting period after this date.

The Medicare regulations that implemented the provision of section 1883(b) of the Act are located at § 482.66(a)(3). The regulations that implemented the provisions of sections 1883(d)(2) and (d)(3) of the Act are located at §§ 482.66(a)(6) and (a)(7). As a result of the changes made by section 408(a) and (b) of Public Law 106–113, in the August 1, 2000 interim final rule with comment period, we removed §§ 482.66(a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7). (Existing paragraphs (a)(4) and (a)(5) were redesignated as (a)(3) and (a)(4), respectively, as a result of the removal of existing paragraph (a)(3).)

We did not receive any comments on our revisions to the regulations in the interim final rule with comment period and are adopting them as final.

VII. MedPAC Recommendations

On March 1, 2001, the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC) issued its annual report to Congress, including several recommendations related to the inpatient operating payment system. Those related to the inpatient prospective payment systems included: accounting for new technology in hospital prospective payment systems, implementation of an occupational-mix adjusted wage index for FY 2005, financial performance and inpatient payment issues, and elimination of the weighting factors for direct GME for specialties with training beyond the initial residency period. In the May 4, 2001 proposed rule, we responded to these recommendations (66 FR 22713–22714).

In addition, we addressed Recommendation 5A concerning the update factor for inpatient hospital operating costs and for hospitals and hospital distinct-part units excluded from the prospective payment system in Appendix D to the proposed rule (and in Appendix C of this final rule).

A. Accounting for New Technology in Hospital Prospective Payment Systems (Recommendations 3D and 3E)

Recommendation 3D: For the inpatient payment system, the Secretary should develop formalized procedures for expeditiously assigning codes, updating relative weights, and investigating the need for patient

classification changes to recognize the costs of new and substantially improved technologies.

Response: Section 533 of Public Law 106–554 directs the Secretary to develop a mechanism for ensuring adequate payment under the hospital inpatient prospective payment system for new medical services and technologies, and to report to Congress on ways to more expeditiously incorporate new services and technologies into that system. The discussion relating to new medical services and technologies was included in section II.D. of the May 4, 2001 proposed rule.

MedPAC states that a more formal system for assigning codes and investigating the need for DRG changes would have enabled the current system to more adequately respond to new technology. Although we believe the current process for assigning new codes has the advantage of being well-understood, we proposed a new process in the May 4 proposed rule. We will be finalizing this process in a separate final rule.

Recommendation 3E: Additional payments in the inpatient payment system should be limited to new or substantially improved technologies that add significantly to the cost of care in a diagnosis related group and should be made on a budget-neutral basis.

Response: Section 533 of Public Law 106–554 directed the Secretary to establish a mechanism by October 1, 2001. We will be finalizing this process in a separate final rule.

B. Occupational-Mix Adjusted Wage Index for FY 2005 (Recommendation 4)

Recommendation: To implement an occupation-mix adjusted wage index in FY 2005, the Secretary should collect data on wage rates by occupation in the fiscal year 2002 Medicare cost reports. Hospital-specific wage rates for each occupation should be supplemented by data on the mix of occupations for each provider type. The Secretary also should continue to improve the accuracy of the wage index by investigating differences in wages across areas for each type of provider and in the substitution of one occupation for another.

Response: In the May 4 proposed rule, we proposed to collect occupational mix data from hospitals through a supplemental survey to the cost report for cost reporting periods beginning during FY 2001. A more complete discussion of the proposed methodology in the May 4 proposed rule (66 FR 22674) and the public comments we received and our responses can be found in section III.C.3. of this final rule.

C. Financial Performance and Inpatient Payment Issues (Recommendations 5B, 5C, and 5D)

Recommendation 5B: In collecting sample patient-level data, CMS should seek to balance the goals of minimizing payment errors and furthering understanding of the effects of coding on case-mix change.

Response: The sample data referred to by MedPAC is the Payment Error Prevention Program (PEPP) Surveillance Sample. These data are collected to monitor the payment error rate for Medicare inpatient prospective payment system services and provide outcome data to measure PROs' performance in reducing payment errors in their respective States. This information can be appropriately weighted to reflect the true distribution of DRGs nationally. The sample data supplant the DRG validation sample that MedPAC used in its original 1996 through 1998 estimates. The current PEPP Surveillance Sample doubles the size of the earlier DRG validation sample. It is comprised of approximately 60,000 cases per year. We believe this is a sufficient number of cases to both monitor case-mix index changes and PRO performance on payment error reduction.

Recommendation 5C: Although the Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000 improved the equity of the hospital disproportionate share adjustment, Congress still needs to reform this adjustment by:

- Including the costs of all poor patients in calculating low-income shares used to distribute disproportionate share payments; and
- Using the same formula to distribute payments to all hospitals covered by prospective payment.

Response: CMS is participating in a Medicare Technical Advisory Group workgroup concerning technical issues related to the collection of uncompensated care data relative to the Medicare disproportionate share formula. A worksheet and instructions to collect these data will be sent out for prior consultation this summer for revisions to the cost reports applicable for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2001.

Recommendation 5E: The Congress should protect urban hospitals from the adverse effect of nearby hospitals being reclassified to areas with higher wage indexes by computing each area's wage index as if none of the hospitals located in the area had been reassigned.

Response: In the May 4 proposed rule as in this final rule, CMS includes the wage data for a reclassified hospital in both the area to which it is reclassified

and the area where the hospital is physically located. We agree with MedPAC and believe that this will provide consistency and predictability in hospital reclassification and wage indices.

D. Specialties With Training Beyond the Initial Residency Period (Recommendation 10)

Recommendation: The Congress should eliminate the weighting factors that currently determine Medicare's direct graduate medical education payments and count all residencies equally through completion of residents' first specialty or combined program and subspecialty if one is pursued. Residents training longer than the minimum number of years required for board eligibility in a specialty, combined program, or subspecialty should not be included in hospitals' direct graduate medical education resident counts. These policy changes should be implemented in a budget-neutral manner through adjustments to the per resident payment amounts.

Response: Currently, Medicare payments to hospitals for direct GME is dependent, in part, on the initial residency period of the residents. Generally, the initial residency period is defined at § 413.86(g)(1) as the minimum number of years required for board eligibility, not to exceed 5 years. For purposes of determining the direct GME payment, residents are weighted at 1.0 FTE within the initial residency period, and at .5 FTE beyond the initial residency period. The limitation on the initial residency period was designed by Congress to limit full Medicare direct GME payment to the time required to train in a single specialty.

MedPAC states that Medicare's current direct GME payment policy of limiting full funding to the first specialty in which a resident trains provides a disincentive for hospitals to offer training in subspecialties or combined programs and, therefore, may influence hospitals' decisions on the types of residents that they train. MedPAC believes that Medicare should not influence workforce policy and recommends that the disincentive be removed to make Medicare payments policies neutral with regard to programs with prerequisites, subspecialties, and combined programs. Accordingly, MedPAC recommends that Congress eliminate the weighting factors associated with direct GME payment so that all residents would be counted for full direct GME payment through the completion of their first specialty, combined program, or subspecialty. Residents training beyond the minimum

number of years required for board eligibility in a specialty, combined program, or subspecialty should not be counted for purposes of the direct GME payment.

MedPAC also believes that eliminating the weighting factors could potentially increase Medicare's direct GME payments by approximately 5 to 8 percent. Therefore, MedPAC recommends that hospitals' per resident amounts (PRAs), which are used to calculate the direct GME payment, be reduced so that this change can be implemented, to the extent possible, in a budget-neutral manner. MedPAC explains that, although further research is needed, it appears that hospitals with substantial subspecialty training (that is, at least 15 percent of the resident mix) would likely see a small net increase in payments, despite the reduction to the PRAs, while hospitals that do not have subspecialty training would likely see a small decrease in payments.

In response to MedPAC's recommendation, we question MedPAC's estimate that eliminating the weighting factors could increase Medicare direct GME payments by only 5 to 8 percent. We believe that subspecialty training constitutes a significant portion of all GME programs, and, consequently, the elimination of the weighting factors could potentially increase payments by far more than 8 percent. If budget neutrality is to be maintained, this could mean that the attendant reductions to the PRAs could be much greater than MedPAC might assume. For those teaching hospitals that have substantial subspecialty training, there is no guarantee that the decreases in the PRAs will be offset by the increases in the direct GME payments due to the elimination of the weighting factors.

While the recommendation would remove the existing disincentive for training in subspecialties, we believe the reductions to the PRAs, whether they are minimal or more significant, will be far more detrimental to the smaller teaching hospitals that have little or no subspecialty training. Many of these hospitals provide care to beneficiaries in rural, underserved areas and in nonhospital settings. We believe these conditions may discourage the expansion of residency training in these areas. It may be inappropriate to limit the direct GME funding to such hospitals, considering Congress' initiatives to encourage residency training in rural, underserved areas and in nonhospital settings. We also are unclear as to how MedPAC would implement the proposed reduction to the PRAs. MedPAC did not explain in

its recommendation how it would propose to do this.

VIII. Other Required Information

A. Requests for Data from the Public

In order to respond promptly to public requests for data related to the prospective payment system, we have established a process under which commenters can gain access to raw data on an expedited basis. Generally, the data are available in computer tape or cartridge format; however, some files are available on diskette as well as on the Internet at <http://www.hcfa.gov/stats/pubfiles.html>. In our May 4, 2001 proposed rule, we published a list of data files that are available for purchase (66 FR 22714–22716).

B. Information Collection Requirements

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are required to provide 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** and solicit public comment before a collection of information requirement is submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. In order to fairly evaluate whether an information collection should be approved by OMB, section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 requires that we solicit comment on the following issues:

- The need for the information collection and its usefulness in carrying out the proper functions of our agency.
- The accuracy of our estimate of the information collection burden.
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Recommendations to minimize the information collection burden on the affected public, including automated collection techniques.

In the May 4, 2001 proposed rule, we solicited public comments on each of these issues for the following sections that contain information collection requirements.

Section 412.230(e)(2)(ii) Criteria for an Individual Hospital Seeking Redesignation to Another Rural Area or an Urban Area; § 412.232(d)(2)(ii) Criteria for All Hospitals in a Rural County Seeking Urban Redesignation; § 412.235 Criteria for All Hospitals in a State Seeking a Statewide Wage Index; and Revised § 412.273 Withdrawing an Application or Terminating an Approved 3-Year Reclassification

Proposed §§ 412.230(e)(2)(ii) and 412.232(d)(2)(ii) specified that, for hospital-specific data for wage index changes for redesignations effective beginning FY 2003, the hospital must provide a 3-year average of its average

hourly wages using data from the CMS hospital wage survey used to construct the wage index in effect for prospective payment purposes. For other data, the hospital must provide a weighted 3-year average of the average hourly wage in the area in which the hospital is located and a weighted 3-year average of the average hourly wage in the area to which the hospital seeks reclassification. Proposed new § 412.235 specifies that in order for all prospective payment system hospitals in a State to use a statewide wage index, the hospitals as a group must submit an application to the MGCRB for a decision for reclassifications for wage index purposes. The proposed changes to § 412.273 incorporated proposed revised procedures for hospitals that request withdrawal of their wage index application or termination of their wage index reclassification.

The final versions of these proposed changes, discussed in detail in section IV.G. of this final rule, implement sections 304 (a) and (b) of Public Law 106–554.

The information collection requirements associated with a hospital's application to the MGCRB for geographic reclassifications, including reclassifications for wage index purposes and the required submittal of wage data, that are codified in Part 412 are currently approved by OMB under OMB Approval Number 0938–0573, with an expiration date of September 30, 2002.

Section 412.348(g)(9) Exception Payments

As discussed in section V. of the May 4 proposed rule, Medicare makes special exceptions payments for capital-related costs through the 10th year beyond the end of the capital prospective payment system transition period for eligible hospitals that complete a project that meets certain requirements specified in § 412.348. In order to assist our fiscal intermediaries in determining the end of the 10-year period in which an eligible hospital will no longer be entitled to receive special exception payments, we proposed to add a new § 412.348(g)(9) to require that hospitals eligible for special exception payments under § 412.348(g) submit documentation to the intermediary indicating the completion date of their project (the date the project was put in use for patient care) that meets the project need and project size requirements outlined in §§ 412.348(g)(2) through (g)(5). We proposed that, in order for an eligible hospital to receive special exception payments, this documentation would have to be

submitted in writing to the intermediary by the later of October 1, 2001, or within 3 months of the end of the hospital's last cost reporting period beginning before October 1, 2001, during which a qualifying project was completed.

Because this provision is expected to affect less than 10 hospitals on an annual basis, this requirement is not subject to the PRA as stipulated under 5 CFR 1320.3(c).

In the August 1, 2000 interim final rule with comment period, we solicited public comments on each of these issues for the following section that contains information collection requirements.

Section 412.103(b) Special treatment: Hospitals Located in Urban Areas and That Apply for Reclassification as Rural; Application Requirements

Section 412.103(b) specifies that a facility seeking reclassification under sections 401 (a) or (b) of Public Law 106–113 must apply in writing to the CMS Regional Office and include documentation of the criteria on which its request is based. The application must be mailed; facsimile or other electronic means are not acceptable.

The hospital's application must include a copy of the State law or regulation or other authoritative document verifying that the requesting hospital is situated in an area determined to be rural by the State or the hospital is considered to be a rural hospital.

We estimate that it will take each hospital approximately 30 minutes to complete the application process. We estimate that additional time would be needed to collect the required documentation. This recordkeeping should take no more than approximately 2 hours. Therefore, the paperwork burden associated with the reclassification process would add up to an additional 2½ hours per hospital that request reclassification under section 401 of Public Law 106–113.

This information collection requirement has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval and is not effective until OMB approves it.

If you have any comments on any of these information collection and recordkeeping requirements, please mail one original and three copies within 30 days of the publication date directly to the following:

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Office of Information Services, Information Technology Investment Management Group, Division of HCFA Enterprise Standards, Room N2–14–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD

21244–1850, Attn: John Burke, CMS–1158/31/78–F.

And

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Allison Eydt, HCFA Desk Officer.

List of Subjects

42 CFR Part 405

Administrative practice and procedure, Health facilities, Health professions, Kidney diseases, Medicare, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas, X-rays.

42 CFR Part 410

Health facilities, Health professions, Kidney diseases, Laboratories, Medicare, Reporting and recordingkeeping requirements, Rural areas, X-rays.

42 CFR Part 412

Administrative practice and procedure, Health facilities, Medicare, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

42 CFR Part 413

Health facilities, Kidney diseases, Medicare, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

42 CFR Part 482

Grant program-health, Hospitals, Medicaid, Medicare, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

42 CFR Part 485

Grant programs-health, Health facilities, Medicaid, Medicare, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

42 CFR Part 486

Health professions, Medicare, Organ procurement, X-rays.

Accordingly, 42 CFR chapter IV is amended as follows:

I. The interim final rule with comment period amending 42 CFR Parts 410, 412, 413, 482, and 485 which was published at 65 FR 47026 on August 1, 2000, is adopted as a final rule with the following changes:

PART 413—PRINCIPLES OF REASONABLE COST REIMBURSEMENT; PAYMENT FOR END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE SERVICES; PROSPECTIVELY DETERMINED PAYMENT RATES FOR SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES

1. The authority citation for Part 413 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102, 1812(d), 1814(b), 1815, 1833(a), (i), and (n), 1871, 1881, 1883, and 1886 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302, 1395d(d), 1395f(b), 1395g, 1395l(a), (i), and (n), 1395hh, 1395rr, 1395tt, and 1395ww).

2. Section 413.86 is amended by:
- Revising the first sentence of the introductory text of paragraphs (g)(11)(i).
 - Revising the first sentence of the introductory text of paragraph (g)(11)(ii).
 - Revising paragraph (g)(11)(v)(C).

§ 413.86 Direct graduate medical education payments.

* * * * *

(g) * * *

(11) * * *

(i) If an urban hospital rotates residents to a separately accredited rural track program at a rural hospital(s) for two-thirds of the duration of the program, the urban hospital may include those residents in its FTE count for the time the rural track residents spend at the urban hospital. * * *

(ii) If an urban hospital rotates residents to a separately accredited rural track program at a rural nonhospital site(s) for two-thirds of the duration of the program, the urban hospital may include those residents in its FTE count, subject to the requirements under paragraph (f)(4) of this section. * * *

(v) * * *

(C) All residents that are included by the hospital as part of its rural track FTE count (not to exceed its rural track FTE limitation) must train in the rural area. However, where a resident begins to train in the rural track program at the urban hospital but leaves the program before completing the total required portion of training in the rural area, the urban hospital may count the time the resident trained in the urban hospital if another resident fills the vacated FTE slot and completes the training in the rural portion of the rural track program. An urban hospital may not receive graduate medical education payment for the time the resident trained at the urban hospital if another resident fills the vacated FTE slot and first begins to train at the urban hospital.

* * * * *

II. The interim final rule with comment period amending 42 CFR Parts 410, 412, 413, and 485 which was published at 66 FR 32172 on June 13, 2001, is adopted as a final rule with the following changes:

PART 412—PROSPECTIVE PAYMENT SYSTEMS FOR INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES

1. The authority citation for Part 412 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102 and 1871 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302 and 1395hh).

2. Section 412.108 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 412.108 Special treatment; Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals.

* * * * *

(b) *Classification procedures.* The fiscal intermediary determines whether a hospital meets the criterion in paragraph (a) of this section. A hospital must notify its fiscal intermediary to be considered for MDH status based on the criterion under paragraph (a)(1)(iii)(C) of this section. Any hospital that believes it meets this criterion to qualify as an MDH, based on at least two of the three most recent audited cost reporting periods, must submit a written request to its intermediary. The intermediary will make its determination and notify the hospital within 90 days from the date that it receives the hospital's request and all of the required documentation. If a hospital disagrees with an intermediary's determination, it should notify its intermediary and submit documentable evidence that it meets the criteria. The intermediary determination is subject to review under subpart R of part 405 of this chapter. MDH status is effective 30 days after the date of written notification of approval. The time required by the intermediary to review the request is considered good cause for granting an extension of the time limit for the hospital to apply for such a review.

* * * * *

III. For the reasons set forth in the preamble to this final rule, 42 CFR Chapter IV is amended as set forth below:

PART 405—FEDERAL HEALTH INSURANCE FOR THE AGED AND DISABLED

A. Part 405 is amended as set forth below:

1. The authority citation for Part 405 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102, 1861, 1862(a), 1871, 1874, 1881, and 1886(k) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302, 1395x, 1395y(a), 1395hh, 1395kk, 1395rr, and 1395ww(k), and sec. 353 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 263a).

2. In § 405.2468, paragraph (f)(6)(ii) is republished and paragraph (f)(6)(ii)(D) is revised to read as follows.

§ 405.2468 Allowable costs.

* * * * *

(f) *Graduate medical education.*

* * *

(6) * * *

(ii) The following costs are not allowable graduate medical education costs—

* * * *

(D) The costs associated with activities described in § 413.85(h) of this chapter.

* * * *

PART 412—PROSPECTIVE PAYMENT SYSTEMS FOR INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES

B. Part 412 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 412 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102 and 1871 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302 and 1395hh).

2. Section § 412.2 is amended as follows:

a. The introductory text of paragraph (e) is republished.

b. Paragraph (e)(4) is revised.

§ 412.2 Basis of payment.

* * * *

(e) *Excluded costs.* The following inpatient hospital costs are excluded from the prospective payment amounts and are paid on a reasonable cost basis:

* * * *

(4) The acquisition costs of hearts, kidneys, livers, lungs, pancreas, and intestines (or multivisceral organs) incurred by approved transplantation centers.

* * * *

3. Section 412.23 is amended by adding a new paragraph (i) to read as follows:

§ 412.23 Excluded hospitals: Classifications.

* * * *

(i) *Changes in classification of hospitals.* For purposes of exclusions from the prospective payment system, the classification of a hospital is effective for the hospital's entire cost reporting period. Any changes in the classification of a hospital are made only at the start of a cost reporting period.

4. Section 412.25 is amended by adding a new paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 412.25 Excluded hospital units: Common requirements.

* * * *

(f) *Changes in classification of hospital units.* For purposes of exclusions from the prospective payment system under this section, the classification of a hospital unit is effective for the unit's entire cost reporting period. Any changes in the

classification of a hospital unit is made only at the start of a cost reporting period.

5. Section 412.63 is amended by revising paragraphs (t) and (u) to read as follows:

§ 412.63 Federal rates for inpatient operating costs for fiscal years after Federal fiscal year 1984.

* * * *

(t) *Applicable percentage change for fiscal years 2002 and 2003.* The applicable percentage change for fiscal years 2002 and 2003 is the percentage increase in the market basket index for prospective payment hospitals (as defined in § 413.40(a) of this subchapter) minus 0.55 percentage points for hospitals in all areas.

(u) *Applicable percentage change for fiscal year 2004 and for subsequent fiscal years.* The applicable percentage change for fiscal year 2004 and for subsequent years is the percentage increase in the market basket index for prospective payment hospitals (as defined in § 413.40(a) of this subchapter) for hospitals in all areas.

* * * *

6. Section 412.92 is amended as follows:

a. Paragraph (b)(1)(iii)(A) is amended by revising the phrase "50 mile radius" to read "35 mile radius".

b. Paragraph (c)(1) is revised.

§ 412.92 Special treatment: Sole community hospitals.

* * * *

(c) *Terminology.* * * *

(1) The term *miles* means the shortest distance in miles measured over improved roads. An improved road for this purpose is any road that is maintained by a local, State, or Federal government entity and is available for use by the general public. An improved road includes the paved surface up to the front entrance of the hospital.

* * * *

§ 412.105 Special treatment: Hospitals that incur indirect costs for graduate medical education programs.

7. Section 412.105 is amended as follows:

a. The introductory text of paragraph (a) is republished.

b. Paragraph (a)(1) is revised.

c. Paragraph (d)(3)(vi) is revised.

d. A new paragraph (d)(3)(vii) is added.

e. Paragraph (f)(1)(ii)(C) is revised.

f. Paragraph (f)(1)(iii) is revised.

g. Paragraph (f)(1)(v) is amended by adding five sentences at the end.

h. In paragraph (f)(1)(vii), the reference to "§ 413.86(g)(9)" is removed

and "§ 413.86(g)(12)" is added in its place.

i. Paragraph (f)(1)(ix) is revised.

§ 412.105 Special treatment: Hospitals that incur indirect costs for graduate medical education programs.

* * * *

(a) *Basic data.* CMS determines the following for each hospital:

(1) The hospital's ratio of full-time equivalent residents, except as limited under paragraph (f) of this section, to the number of beds (as determined under paragraph (b) of this section). Except for the special circumstances for affiliated groups and new programs described in paragraphs (f)(1)(vi) and (f)(1)(vii) of this section, for a hospital's cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1997, this ratio may not exceed the ratio for the hospital's most recent prior cost reporting period after accounting for the cap on the number of allopathic and osteopathic full-time equivalent residents as described in paragraph (f)(1)(iv) of this section, and adding to the capped numerator any dental and podiatric full-time equivalent residents. The exception for new programs described in paragraph (f)(1)(vii) of this section applies to each new program individually for which the full-time equivalent cap may be adjusted based on the period of years equal to the minimum accredited length of each new program.

* * * *

(d) *Determination of education adjustment factor.* * * *

* * * *

(3) * * *

(vi) For discharges occurring during fiscal year 2002, 1.6.

(vii) For discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2002, 1.35.

* * * *

(f) *Determining the total number of full-time equivalent residents for cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 1991.* * * *

(1) * * *

(ii) * * *

(C) Effective for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1997, the time spent by a resident in a nonhospital setting in patient care activities under an approved medical residency training program is counted towards the determination of full-time equivalency if the criteria set forth in § 413.86(f)(3) or § 413.86(f)(4) of this subchapter, as applicable, are met.

(iii)(A) Full-time equivalent status is based on the total time necessary to fill a residency slot. No individual may be counted as more than one full-time equivalent. If a resident is assigned to

more than one hospital, the resident counts as a partial full-time equivalent based on the proportion of time worked in any of the areas of the hospital listed in paragraph (f)(1)(ii) of this section, to the total time worked by the resident. A part-time resident or one working in an area of the hospital other than those listed under paragraph (f)(1)(ii) of this section (such as a freestanding family practice center or an excluded hospital unit) would be counted as a partial full-time equivalent based on the proportion of time assigned to an area of the hospital listed in paragraph (f)(1)(ii) of this section, compared to the total time necessary to fill a full-time residency slot.

(B) The time spent by a resident in research that is not associated with the treatment or diagnosis of a particular patient is not countable.

* * * * *

(v) * * * If a hospital qualified for an adjustment to the limit established under paragraph (f)(1)(iv) of this section for new medical residency programs created under paragraph (f)(1)(vii) of this section, the count of residents participating in new medical residency training programs above the number included in the hospital's FTE count for the cost reporting period ending during calendar year 1996 is added after applying the averaging rules in this paragraph (f)(1)(v) for a period of years. Residents participating in new medical residency training programs are included in the hospital's FTE count before applying the averaging rules after the period of years has expired. For purposes of this paragraph, for each new program started, the period of years equals the minimum accredited length for each new program. The period of years for each new program begins when the first resident begins training in each new program. Subject to the provisions of paragraph (f)(1)(ix) of this section, FTE residents that are displaced by the closure of either another hospital or another hospital's program are added to the FTE count after applying the averaging rules in this paragraph (f)(1)(v) for the receiving hospital for the duration of time that the displaced residents are training at the receiving hospital.

* * * * *

(ix) A hospital may receive a temporary adjustment to its full-time equivalent cap to reflect residents added because of another hospital's closure if the hospital meets the criteria specified in §§ 413.86(g)(8)(i) and (g)(8)(ii) of this subchapter. If a hospital that closes its residency training program agrees to temporarily reduce its FTE cap

according to the criteria specified in §§ 413.86(g)(8)(i) and (g)(8)(iii)(B) of this subchapter, another hospital(s) may receive a temporary adjustment to its FTE cap to reflect residents added because of the closure of the residency training program if the criteria specified in §§ 413.86(g)(8)(i) and (g)(8)(iii)(A) of this subchapter are met.

* * * * *

8. Section 412.106 is amended by revising the heading of paragraph (e) and paragraph (e)(5) to read as follows:

§ 412.106 Special treatment: Hospitals that serve a disproportionate share of low-income patients.

* * * * *

(e) *Reduction in payments beginning FY 1998.* * * *

(5) For FY 2002, 3 percent.

* * * * *

§ 412.113 [Amended]

9. In § 412.113(c), including the heading for paragraph (c), the term "hospital", wherever it appears, is revised to read "hospital or CAH" (16 times).

10. Section 412.230 is amended by a new paragraph (a)(5)(v) and revising paragraph (e)(2) to read as follows:

§ 412.230 Criteria for an individual hospital seeking redesignation to another rural area or an urban area.

(a) * * *

(5) *Limitations on redesignation.*

* * *

(v) Beginning with wage index reclassification applications for FY 2003, if a hospital is already reclassified to a given geographic area for wage index purposes for a 3-year period, and submits an application for reclassification to the same area for either the second or third year of the 3-year period, that application will not be approved.

* * * * *

(e) *Use of urban or other rural area's wage index.* * * *

* * * * *

(2) *Appropriate wage data.* For a wage index change, the hospital must submit appropriate wage data as follows:

(i) For redesignations effective through FY 2002:

(A) For hospital-specific data, the hospital must provide data from the CMS hospital wage survey used to construct the wage index in effect for prospective payment purposes during the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year for which the hospital requests reclassification.

(B) For data for other hospitals, the hospital must provide data concerning

the average hourly wage in the area in which the hospital is located and the average hourly wage in the area to which the hospital seeks reclassification. The wage data are taken from the CMS hospital wage survey used to construct the wage index in effect for prospective payment purposes during the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year for which the hospital requests reclassification.

(C) If the hospital is requesting reclassification under paragraph (e)(1)(iv)(B) of this section, the hospital must provide occupational-mix data to demonstrate the average occupational mix for each employment category in the area to which it seeks reclassification. Occupational-mix data can be obtained from surveys conducted by the American Hospital Association.

(ii) For redesignations effective beginning FY 2003:

(A) For hospital-specific data, the hospital must provide a weighted 3-year average of its average hourly wages using data from the CMS hospital wage survey used to construct the wage index in effect for prospective payment purposes.

(B) For data for other hospitals, the hospital must provide a weighted 3-year average of the average hourly wage in the area in which the hospital is located and a weighted 3-year average of the average hourly wage in the area to which the hospital seeks reclassification. The wage data are taken from the CMS hospital wage survey used to construct the wage index in effect for prospective payment purposes.

* * * * *

11. Section 412.232 is amended by revising paragraph (d)(2) to read as follows:

§ 412.232 Criteria for all hospitals in a rural county seeking urban redesignation.

* * * * *

(d) *Appropriate data.* * * *

* * * * *

(2) *Appropriate wage data.* The hospitals must submit appropriate data as follows:

(i) For redesignations effective through FY 2002:

(A) For hospital-specific data, the hospitals must provide data from the CMS wage survey used to construct the wage index in effect for prospective payment purposes during the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year for which the hospitals request reclassification.

(B) For data for other hospitals, the hospitals must provide the following:

(1) The average hourly wage in the adjacent area, which is taken from the CMS hospital wage survey used to

construct the wage index in effect for prospective payment purposes during the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year for which the hospitals request reclassification.

(2) Occupational-mix data to demonstrate the average occupational mix for each employment category in the adjacent area. Occupational-mix data can be obtained from surveys conducted by the American Hospital Association.

(ii) For redesignations effective beginning FY 2003:

(A) For hospital-specific data, the hospital must provide a weighted 3-year average of its average hourly wages using data from the CMS hospital wage survey used to construct the wage index in effect for prospective payment purposes.

(B) For data for other hospitals, the hospital must provide a weighted 3-year average of the average hourly wage in the area in which the hospital is located and a weighted 3-year average of the average hourly wage in the area to which the hospital seeks reclassification. The wage data are taken from the CMS hospital wage survey used to construct the wage index in effect for prospective payment purposes.

12. Section 412.235 is added to read as follows:

§ 412.235 Criteria for all hospitals in a State seeking a statewide wage index redesignation.

(a) *General criteria.* For all prospective payment system hospitals in a State to be redesignated to a statewide wage index, the following conditions must be met:

(1) All prospective payment system hospitals in the State must apply as a group for reclassification to a statewide wage index through a signed single application.

(2) All prospective payment system hospitals in the State must agree to the reclassification to a statewide wage index through a signed affidavit on the application.

(3) All prospective payment system hospitals in the State must agree, through an affidavit, to withdrawal of an application or to termination of an approved statewide wage index reclassification.

(4) All hospitals in the State must waive their rights to any wage index classification that they would otherwise receive absent the statewide wage index classification, including a wage index that any of the hospitals might have received through individual geographic reclassification.

(5) New hospitals that open within the State prior to the deadline for

submitting an application for a statewide wage index reclassification (September 1), regardless of whether a group application has already been filed, must agree to the use of the statewide wage index as part of the group application. New hospitals that open within the State after the deadline for submitting a statewide wage index reclassification application or during the approved reclassification period will be considered a party to the statewide wage index application and reclassification.

(b) *Effect on payments.*

(1) An individual hospital within the State may receive a wage index that could be higher or lower under the statewide wage index reclassification in comparison to its otherwise redesignated wage index.

(2) Any new prospective payment system hospital that opens in the State during the effective period of an approved statewide wage index reclassification will be designated to receive the statewide wage index for the duration of that period.

(c) *Terms of the decision.*

(1) A decision by the MGCRB on an application for a statewide wage index reclassification will be effective for 3 years beginning with discharges occurring on the first day (October 1) of the second Federal fiscal year following the Federal fiscal year in which the hospitals filed a complete application.

(2) The procedures and timeframes specified in § 412.273 apply to withdrawals of applications for redesignation to a statewide wage index and terminations of approved statewide wage index reclassifications, including the requirement that, to withdraw an application or terminate an approved reclassification, the request must be made in writing by all hospitals that are party to the application, except hospitals reclassified into the State for purposes of receiving the statewide wage index.

13. Section 412.273 is amended as follows:

a. The title of the section is revised.

b. Paragraphs (b) and (c) are redesignated as paragraphs (c) and (d), respectively.

c. A new paragraph (b) is added.

d. Redesignated paragraph (c) is revised.

§ 412.273 Withdrawing an application or terminating an approved 3-year reclassification.

* * * * *

(b) *Request for termination of approved 3-year wage index reclassifications.*

(1) A hospital, or a group of hospitals, that has been issued a decision on its

application for a 3-year reclassification for wage index purposes only or for redesignation to a statewide wage index and has not withdrawn that application under the procedures specified in paragraph (a) of this section may request termination of its approved 3-year wage index reclassification under the following conditions:

(i) The request to terminate must be received by the MGCRB within 45 days of the publication of the annual notice of proposed rulemaking concerning changes to the inpatient hospital prospective payment system and proposed payment rates for the fiscal year for which the termination is to apply.

(ii) A request to terminate a 3-year reclassification will be effective only for the full fiscal year(s) remaining in the 3-year period at the time the request is received. Requests for terminations for part of a fiscal year will not be considered.

(2) *Reapplication within the approved 3-year period.*

(i) If a hospital elects to withdraw its wage index application after the MGCRB has issued its decision, it may terminate its withdrawal in a subsequent fiscal year and request the MGCRB to reinstate its wage index reclassification for the remaining fiscal year(s) of the 3-year period.

(ii) A hospital may apply for reclassification for purposes of the wage index to a different area (that is, an area different from the one to which it was originally reclassified for the 3-year period). If the application is approved, the reclassification will be effective for 3 years.

(c) *Written request only.* A request to withdraw an application or terminate an approved reclassification must be made in writing to the MGCRB by all hospitals that are party to the application or reclassification.

* * * * *

14. Section 412.274 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 412.274 Scope and effect of an MGCRB decision.

* * * * *

(b) *Effective date and term of the decision.*

(1) A standardized amount classification change is effective for one year beginning with discharges occurring on the first day (October 1) of the second Federal fiscal year following the Federal fiscal year in which the complete application is filed and ending effective at the end of that Federal fiscal year (the end of the next September 30).

(2) A wage index classification change is effective for 3 years beginning with

discharges occurring on the first day (October 1) of the second Federal fiscal year in which the complete application is filed.

* * * * *

15. Section 412.348 is amended by revising paragraph (g)(6) and adding a new paragraph (g)(9) to read as follows:

§ 412.348 Exception payments.

* * * * *

(g) *Special exceptions process.* * * *

(6) *Minimum payment level.*

(i) The minimum payment level for qualifying hospitals will be 70 percent.

(ii) CMS will adjust the minimum payment level in one percentage point increments as necessary to satisfy the requirement specified in paragraph (h) of this section that total estimated payments under the exceptions process not exceed 10 percent of the total estimated capital prospective payment system payments for the same fiscal year.

* * * * *

(9) *Notification requirement.* Eligible hospitals must submit documentation to the intermediary indicating the completion date of a project that meets the project need requirement under paragraph (g)(2) of this section, the project size requirement under paragraph (g)(5) of this section, and, in the case of certain urban hospitals, an excess capacity test under paragraph (g)(4) of this section, by the later of October 1, 2001 or within 3 months of the end of the hospital's last cost reporting period beginning before October 1, 2001, during which a qualifying project was completed.

* * * * *

PART 413—PRINCIPLES OF REASONABLE COST REIMBURSEMENT; PAYMENT FOR END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE SERVICES; PROSPECTIVELY DETERMINED PAYMENT RATES FOR SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES

C. Part 413 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 413 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102, 1812(d), 1814(b), 1815, 1833(a), (i), and (n), 1871, 1881, 1883, and 1886 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302, 1395d(d), 1395f(b), 1395g, 1395l(a), (i), and (n), 1395hh, 1395rr, 1395tt, and 1395ww).

2. Section 413.70 is amended as follows:

- a. Paragraph (a)(1) is republished.
- b. A new paragraph (a)(1)(iv) is added.
- c. Paragraph (a)(2) is revised.
- d. A new paragraph (a)(3) is added.
- e. Paragraph (b)(1) is revised.

f. Paragraph (b)(2)(i)(C) is revised.

g. New paragraphs (b)(4), (b)(5) and (b)(6) are added.

§ 413.70 Payment for services of a CAH.

(a) *Payment for inpatient services furnished by a CAH.*

(1) Payment for inpatient services of a CAH is the reasonable costs of the CAH in providing CAH services to its inpatients, as determined in accordance with section 1861(v)(1)(A) of the Act and the applicable principles of cost reimbursement in this part and in Part 415 of this chapter, except that the following payment principles are excluded when determining payment for CAH inpatient services:

* * * * *

(iv) The payment window provisions for preadmission services, specified in § 412.2(c)(5) of this subchapter and § 413.40(c)(2).

(2) Except as specified in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, payment to a CAH for inpatient services does not include any costs of physician services or other professional services to CAH inpatients, and is subject to the Part A hospital deductible and coinsurance, as determined under subpart G of part 409 of this chapter.

(3) If a CAH meets the criteria in § 412.113(c) of this subchapter for pass-through of costs of anesthesia services furnished by qualified nonphysician anesthesiologists employed by the CAH or obtained under arrangements, payment to the CAH for the costs of those services is made in accordance with § 412.113(c).

(b) *Payment for outpatient services furnished by CAH.*

(1) *General.*

(i) Unless the CAH elects to be paid for services to its outpatients under the method specified in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, the amount of payment for outpatient services of a CAH is the amount determined under paragraph (b)(2) of this section.

(ii) Except as specified in paragraph (b)(6) of this section, payment to a CAH for outpatient services does not include any costs of physician services or other professional services to CAH outpatients.

(2) *Reasonable costs for facility services.*

(i) * * *

(C) Any type of reduction to operating or capital costs under § 413.124 or § 413.130(j).

* * * * *

(4) *Costs of emergency room on-call physicians.*

(i) Effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2001,

the reasonable costs of outpatient CAH services under paragraph (b) of this section may include amounts for reasonable compensation and related costs for an emergency room physician who is on call but who is not present on the premises of the CAH involved, is not otherwise furnishing physicians' services, and is not on call at any other provider or facility.

(ii) For purposes of this paragraph (b)(4)—

(A) "Amounts for reasonable compensation and related costs" means all allowable costs of compensating emergency room physicians who are on call to the extent the costs are found to be reasonable under the rules specified in paragraph (b)(2) of this section and the applicable sections of Part 413.

Costs of compensating emergency room physicians are allowable only if the costs are incurred under written contracts that require the physician to come to the CAH when the physician's presence is medically required.

(B) An "emergency room physician who is on call" means a doctor of medicine or osteopathy with training or experience in emergency care who is immediately available by telephone or radio contact, and is available on site within the timeframes specified in § 485.618(d) of this chapter.

(5) *Costs of ambulance services.*

(i) Effective for services furnished on or after December 21, 2000, payment for ambulance services furnished by a CAH or an entity that is owned and operated by a CAH is the reasonable costs of the CAH or the entity in furnishing those services, but only if the CAH or the entity is the only provider or supplier of ambulance services located within a 35-mile drive of the CAH or the entity.

(ii) For purposes of paragraph (b)(5) of this section, the distance between the CAH or the entity and the other provider or supplier of ambulance services will be determined as the shortest distance in miles measured over improved roads between the CAH or the entity and the site at which the vehicles of the closest provider or supplier of ambulance services are garaged. An improved road for this purpose is any road that is maintained by a local, State, or Federal government entity and is available for use by the general public. An improved road will be considered to include the paved surface up to the front entrance of the hospital and the front entrance of the garage.

(6) If a CAH meets the criteria in § 412.113(c) of this subchapter for pass-through of costs of anesthesia services furnished by nonphysician anesthesiologists employed by the CAH or obtained under

arrangement, payment to the CAH for the costs of those services is made in accordance with § 412.113(c) of this chapter.

* * * * *

3. Section 413.86 is amended as follows:

- a. Paragraph (e)(4)(ii)(C)(1) is revised.
- b. Paragraph (e)(5)(iv) is removed.
- c. Paragraph (g)(4) is revised.
- d. Paragraph (g)(5) is revised.
- e. In paragraph (g)(6), the reference to "paragraph (g)(9)" is removed and "paragraph (g)(12)" is added in its place.
- f. Paragraph (g)(8) is revised.

§ 413.86 Direct graduate medical education payments.

* * * * *

(e) *Determining per resident amounts for the base period.* * * *

(4) * * *

(ii) * * *

(C) *Determining necessary revisions to the per resident amount.* * * *

(1) *Floor.* (i) For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2000, and before October 1, 2001, if the hospital's per resident amount would otherwise be less than 70 percent of the locality-adjusted national average per resident amount for FY 2001 (as determined under paragraph (e)(4)(ii)(B) of this section), the per resident amount is equal to 70 percent of the locality-adjusted national average per resident amount for FY 2001.

(ii) For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2001, and before October 1, 2002, if the hospital's per resident amount would otherwise be less than 85 percent of the locality-adjusted national average per resident amount for FY 2002 (as determined under paragraph (e)(4)(ii)(B) of this section), the per resident amount is equal to 85 percent of the locality-adjusted national average per resident amount for FY 2002.

(iii) For subsequent cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2002, the hospital's per resident amount is updated using the methodology specified under paragraph (e)(3)(i) of this section.

* * * * *

(g) *Determining the weighted number of FTE residents.* * * *

(4) For purposes of determining direct graduate medical education payments—

(i) For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1997, a hospital's unweighted FTE count for residents in allopathic and osteopathic medicine may not exceed the hospital's unweighted FTE count (or, effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or

after April 1, 2000, 130 percent of the unweighted FTE count for a hospital located in a rural area) for these residents for the most recent cost reporting period ending on or before December 31, 1996.

(ii) If a hospital's number of FTE residents in a cost reporting period beginning on or after October 1, 1997, and before October 1, 2001, exceeds the limit described in this paragraph (g), the hospital's total weighted FTE count (before application of the limit) will be reduced in the same proportion that the number of FTE residents for that cost reporting period exceeds the number of FTE residents for the most recent cost reporting period ending on or before December 31, 1996.

(iii) If the hospital's number of FTE residents in a cost reporting period beginning on or after October 1, 2001 exceeds the limit described in this paragraph (g), the hospital's weighted FTE count (before application of the limit), for primary care and obstetrics and gynecology residents and nonprimary care residents, respectively, will be reduced in the same proportion that the number of FTE residents for that cost reporting period exceeds the number of FTE residents for the most recent cost reporting period ending on or before December 31, 1996.

(iv) Hospitals that are part of the same affiliated group may elect to apply the limit on an aggregate basis.

(v) The fiscal intermediary may make appropriate modifications to apply the provisions of this paragraph (g)(4) based on the equivalent of a 12-month cost reporting period.

(5) For purposes of determining direct graduate medical education payment—

(i) For the hospital's first cost reporting period beginning on or after October 1, 1997, the hospital's weighted FTE count is equal to the average of the weighted FTE count for the payment year cost reporting period and the preceding cost reporting period.

(ii) For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1998, and before October 1, 2001, the hospital's weighted FTE count is equal to the average of the weighted FTE count for the payment year cost reporting period and the preceding two cost reporting periods.

(iii) For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2001, the hospital's weighted FTE count for primary care and obstetrics and gynecology residents is equal to the average of the weighted primary care and obstetrics and gynecology counts for the payment year cost reporting period and the preceding two cost reporting periods, and the hospital's

weighted FTE count for nonprimary care residents is equal to the average of the weighted nonprimary care FTE counts for the payment year cost reporting period and the preceding two cost reporting periods.

(iv) The fiscal intermediary may make appropriate modifications to apply the provisions of this paragraph (g)(5) based on the equivalent of 12-month cost reporting periods.

(v) If a hospital qualifies for an adjustment to the limit established under paragraph (g)(4) of this section for new medical residency programs created under paragraph (g)(6) of this section, the count of the residents participating in new medical residency training programs above the number included in the hospital's FTE count for the cost reporting period ending during calendar year 1996 is added after applying the averaging rules in this paragraph (g)(5) for a period of years. Residents participating in new medical residency training programs are included in the hospital's FTE count before applying the averaging rules after the period of years has expired. For purposes of this paragraph (g)(5), for each new program started, the period of years equals the minimum accredited length for each new program. The period of years begins when the first resident begins training in each new program.

(vi) Subject to the regulations at paragraph (g)(8) of this section, FTE residents that are displaced by the closure of either another hospital or another hospital's program are added to the FTE count after applying the averaging rules in this paragraph (g)(5) for the receiving hospital for the duration of the time that the displaced residents are training at the receiving hospital.

* * * * *

(8) *Closure of hospital or hospital residency program.*

(i) *Definitions.* For purposes of this paragraph (g)(8)—

(A) "Closure of a hospital" means the hospital terminates its Medicare agreement under the provisions of § 489.52 of this chapter.

(B) "Closure of a hospital residency training program" means the hospital ceases to offer training for residents in a particular approved medical residency training program.

(ii) *Closure of a hospital.* A hospital may receive a temporary adjustment to its FTE cap to reflect residents added because of another hospital's closure if the hospital meets the following criteria:

(A) The hospital is training additional residents from a hospital that closed on or after July 1, 1996.

(B) No later than 60 days after the hospital begins to train the residents, the hospital submits a request to its fiscal intermediary for a temporary adjustment to its FTE cap, documents that the hospital is eligible for this temporary adjustment by identifying the residents who have come from the closed hospital and have caused the hospital to exceed its cap, and specifies the length of time the adjustment is needed.

(iii) *Closure of a hospital's residency training program.* If a hospital that closes its residency training program voluntarily agrees to temporarily reduce its FTE cap according to the criteria specified in paragraph (g)(8)(iii)(B) of this section, another hospital(s) may receive a temporary adjustment to its FTE cap to reflect residents added because of the closure of the residency training program if the criteria specified in paragraph (g)(8)(iii)(A) of this section are met.

(A) *Receiving hospital(s).* A hospital may receive a temporary adjustment to its FTE cap to reflect residents added because of the closure of another hospital's residency training program if—

(1) The hospital is training additional residents from the residency training program of a hospital that closed a program; and

(2) No later than 60 days after the hospital begins to train the residents, the hospital submits to its fiscal intermediary a request for a temporary adjustment to its FTE cap, documents that it is eligible for this temporary adjustment by identifying the residents who have come from another hospital's closed program and have caused the hospital to exceed its cap, specifies the length of time the adjustment is needed, and submits to its fiscal intermediary a copy of the FTE reduction statement by the hospital that closed its program, as specified in paragraph (g)(8)(iii)(B)(2) of this section.

(B) *Hospital that closed its program(s).* A hospital that agrees to train residents who have been displaced by the closure of another hospital's program may receive a temporary FTE cap adjustment only if the hospital with the closed program—

(1) Temporarily reduces its FTE cap based on the FTE residents in each program year training in the program at the time of the program's closure. This yearly reduction in the FTE cap will be determined based on the number of those residents who would have been training in the program during that year had the program not closed; and

(2) No later than 60 days after the residents who were in the closed

program begin training at another hospital, submit to its fiscal intermediary a statement signed and dated by its representative that specifies that it agrees to the temporary reduction in its FTE cap to allow the hospital training the displaced residents to obtain a temporary adjustment to its cap; identifies the residents who were in training at the time of the program's closure; identifies the hospitals to which the residents are transferring once the program closes; and specifies the reduction for the applicable program years.

* * * * *

PART 485—CONDITIONS OF PARTICIPATION: SPECIALIZED PROVIDERS

D. Part 485 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for part 485 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102 and 1871 of the Act (42 U.S.C. 1302 and 1395hh).

2. Section 485.610 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(2)(ii) and (b) and adding a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 485.610 Condition of participation: Status and location.

(a) * * *

(2) * * *

(ii) Meets the criteria for designation under this subpart as of the effective date of its designation; or

* * * * *

(b) *Standard: Location in a rural area or treatment as rural.* The CAH meets the requirements of either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this section.

(1) The CAH meets the following requirements:

(i) The CAH is located outside any area that is a Metropolitan Statistical Area, as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, or that has been recognized as urban under § 412.62(f) of this chapter;

(ii) The CAH is not deemed to be located in an urban area under § 412.63(b) of this chapter; and

(iii) The CAH has not been classified as an urban hospital for purposes of the standardized payment amount by CMS or the Medicare Geographic Classification Review Board under § 412.230(e) of this chapter, and is not among a group of hospitals that have been redesignated to an adjacent urban area under § 412.232 of this chapter.

(2) The CAH is located within a Metropolitan Statistical Area, as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, but is being treated as being located in a rural area in accordance with § 412.103 of this chapter.

(c) *Standard: Location relative to other facilities or necessary provider certification.* The CAH is located more than a 35-mile drive (or, in the case of mountainous terrain or in areas with only secondary roads available, a 15-mile drive) from a hospital or another CAH, or the CAH is certified by the State as being a necessary provider of health care services to residents in the area.

3. Section 485.639 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 485.639 Condition of participation: Surgical services.

* * * * *

(b) *Anesthetic risk and evaluation.*

(1) A qualified practitioner, as specified in paragraph (a) of this section, must examine the patient immediately before surgery to evaluate the risk of the procedure to be performed.

(2) A qualified practitioner, as specified in paragraph (c) of this section, must examine each patient before surgery to evaluate the risk of anesthesia.

(3) Before discharge from the CAH, each patient must be evaluated for proper anesthesia recovery by a qualified practitioner, as specified in paragraph (c) of this section.

* * * * *

4. Section 485.643 is amended by revising paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 485.643 Condition of participation: Organ, tissue, and eye procurement.

* * * * *

(f) For purposes of these standards, the term "organ" means a human kidney, liver, heart, lung, pancreas, or intestines (or multivisceral organs).

PART 486—CONDITIONS FOR COVERAGE OF SPECIALIZED SERVICES FURNISHED BY SUPPLIERS

F. Part 486 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 486 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Sections 1102 and 1871 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302 and 1395hh).

2. Section 486.302 is amended by revising the definition of "organ" to read as follows:

§ 486.302 Definitions.

* * * * *

"Organ" means a human kidney, liver, heart, lung, pancreas, or intestines (or multivisceral organs).

* * * * *

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.773, Medicare—Hospital Insurance)

Dated: July 23, 2001.

Thomas A. Scully,

Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

Dated: July 24, 2001.

Tommy G. Thompson,

Secretary.

Editorial Note: The following Addendum and appendixes will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.

Addendum—Schedule of Standardized Amounts Effective With Discharges Occurring On or After October 1, 2001 and Update Factors and Rate-of-Increase Percentages Effective With Cost Reporting Periods Beginning On or After October 1, 2001

I. Summary and Background

In this Addendum, we are setting forth the amounts and factors for determining prospective payment rates for Medicare inpatient operating costs and Medicare inpatient capital-related costs. We are also setting forth rate-of-increase percentages for updating the target amounts for hospitals and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system.

For discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2001, except for SCHs, MDHs, and hospitals located in Puerto Rico, each hospital's payment per discharge under the prospective payment system will be based on 100 percent of the Federal national rate.

SCHs are paid based on whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: the Federal national rate, the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 cost per discharge, the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1987 cost per discharge, or, if qualified, 50 percent of the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1996 cost per discharge, plus the greater of 50 percent of the updated FY 1982 or FY 1987 hospital-specific rate or 50 percent of the Federal DRG payment rate. Section 213 of Public Law 106–554 amended section 1886(b)(3) of the Act to allow all SCHs to rebase their hospital-specific rate based on their FY 1996 cost per discharge.

Under section 1886(d)(5)(G) of the Act, MDHs are paid based on the Federal national rate or, if higher, the Federal national rate plus 50 percent of the difference between the Federal national rate and the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 or FY 1987 cost per discharge, whichever is higher.

For hospitals in Puerto Rico, the payment per discharge is based on the

sum of 50 percent of a Puerto Rico rate and 50 percent of a Federal national rate. (See section II.D.3. of this Addendum for a complete description.)

As discussed below in section II. of this Addendum, we are making changes in the determination of the prospective payment rates for Medicare inpatient operating costs for FY 2002. The changes, to be applied prospectively, affect the calculation of the Federal rates. In section III. of this Addendum, we finalize changes to the prospective payment rates for inpatient operating costs for FY 2001, as set forth in the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period. In section IV. of this Addendum, we discuss our changes for determining the prospective payment rates for Medicare inpatient capital-related costs for FY 2002. Section V. of this Addendum sets forth our changes for determining the rate-of-increase limits for hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system for FY 2002. The tables to which we refer in the preamble to this final rule are presented at the end of this Addendum in section VI.

II. Changes to Prospective Payment Rates for Inpatient Operating Costs for FY 2002

The basic methodology for determining prospective payment rates for inpatient operating costs is set forth at § 412.63. The basic methodology for determining the prospective payment rates for inpatient operating costs for hospitals located in Puerto Rico is set forth at §§ 412.210 and 412.212. Below, we discuss the factors used for determining the prospective payment rates. The Federal and Puerto Rico rate changes will be effective with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2001.

In summary, the standardized amounts set forth in Tables 1A and 1C of section VI. of this Addendum reflect—

- Updates of 2.75 percent for all areas (that is, the market basket percentage increase of 3.3 percent minus 0.55 percentage points);
- An adjustment to ensure budget neutrality as provided for under sections 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) and (d)(3)(E) of the Act, by applying new budget neutrality adjustment factors to the large urban and other standardized amounts;
- An adjustment to ensure budget neutrality as provided for in section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act by removing the FY 2001 budget neutrality factor and applying a revised factor;
- An adjustment to apply the revised outlier offset by removing the FY 2001

outlier offsets and applying a new offset; and

- An adjustment in the Puerto Rico standardized amounts to reflect the application of a Puerto Rico-specific wage index.

A. Calculation of Adjusted Standardized Amounts

1. Standardization of Base-Year Costs or Target Amounts

Section 1886(d)(2)(A) of the Act required the establishment of base-year cost data containing allowable operating costs per discharge of inpatient hospital services for each hospital. The preamble to the September 1, 1983 interim final rule (48 FR 39763) contains a detailed explanation of how base-year cost data were established in the initial development of standardized amounts for the prospective payment system and how they are used in computing the Federal rates.

Section 1886(d)(9)(B)(i) of the Act required us to determine the Medicare target amounts for each hospital located in Puerto Rico for its cost reporting period beginning in FY 1987. The September 1, 1987 final rule (52 FR 33043, 33066) contains a detailed explanation of how the target amounts were determined and how they are used in computing the Puerto Rico rates.

The standardized amounts are based on per discharge averages of adjusted hospital costs from a base period or, for Puerto Rico, adjusted target amounts from a base period, updated and otherwise adjusted in accordance with the provisions of section 1886(d) of the Act. Sections 1886(d)(2)(B) and (d)(2)(C) of the Act required us to update base-year per discharge costs for FY 1984 and then standardize the cost data in order to remove the effects of certain sources of cost variations among hospitals. These effects include case-mix, differences in area wage levels, cost-of-living adjustments for Alaska and Hawaii, indirect medical education (IME) costs, and costs to hospitals serving a disproportionate share of low-income patients.

Under sections 1886(d)(2)(H) and (d)(3)(E) of the Act, in making payments under the prospective payment system, the Secretary estimates from time to time the proportion of costs that are wages and wage-related costs. Since October 1, 1997, when the market basket was last revised, we have considered 71.1 percent of costs to be labor-related for purposes of the prospective payment system. The average labor share in Puerto Rico is 71.3 percent. We are revising the discharge-weighted national standardized amount for Puerto Rico to

reflect the proportion of discharges in large urban and other areas from the FY 2000 MedPAR file.

2. Computing Large Urban and Other Area Averages

Sections 1886(d)(2)(D) and (d)(3) of the Act require the Secretary to compute two average standardized amounts for discharges occurring in a fiscal year: one for hospitals located in large urban areas and one for hospitals located in other areas. In addition, under sections 1886(d)(9)(B)(iii) and (d)(9)(C)(i) of the Act, the average standardized amount per discharge must be determined for hospitals located in large urban and other areas in Puerto Rico. Hospitals in Puerto Rico are paid a blend of 50 percent of the applicable Puerto Rico standardized amount and 50 percent of a national standardized payment amount.

Section 1886(d)(2)(D) of the Act defines "urban area" as those areas within a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). A "large urban area" is defined as an urban area with a population of more than 1 million. In addition, section 4009(i) of Public Law 100-203 provides that a New England County Metropolitan Area (NECMA) with a population of more than 970,000 is classified as a large urban area. As required by section 1886(d)(2)(D) of the Act, population size is determined by the Secretary based on the latest population data published by the Bureau of the Census. Urban areas that do not meet the definition of a "large urban area" are referred to as "other urban areas." Areas that are not included in MSAs are considered "rural areas" under section 1886(d)(2)(D) of the Act. Payment for discharges from hospitals located in large urban areas will be based on the large urban standardized amount. Payment for discharges from hospitals located in other urban and rural areas will be based on the other standardized amount.

Based on 1999 population estimates published by the Bureau of the Census, 63 areas meet the criteria to be defined as large urban areas for FY 2002. These areas are identified in Table 4A.

3. Updating the Average Standardized Amounts

Under section 1886(d)(3)(A) of the Act, we update the average standardized amounts each year. In accordance with section 1886(d)(3)(A)(iv) of the Act, we are updating the large urban areas' and the other areas' average standardized amounts for FY 2002 using the applicable percentage increases specified in section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of

the Act. Section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i)(XVII) of the Act as amended by section 301 of Public Law 106-554 specifies that the update factor for the standardized amounts for FY 2002 is equal to the market basket percentage increase minus 0.55 percentage points for hospitals in all areas. Section 301 also established that the update factor for FY 2003 is equal to the market basket percentage increase minus 0.55 percentage points. We are revising § 412.63 to reflect these changes.

The percentage change in the market basket reflects the average change in the price of goods and services purchased by hospitals to furnish inpatient care. The most recent forecast of the hospital market basket increase for FY 2002 is 3.3 percent. Thus, for FY 2002, the update to the average standardized amounts equals 2.75 percent for hospitals in all areas.

As in the past, we are adjusting the FY 2001 standardized amounts to remove the effects of the FY 2001 geographic reclassifications and outlier payments before applying the FY 2002 updates. That is, we are increasing the standardized amounts to restore the reductions that were made for the effects of geographic reclassification and outliers. We then apply the new offsets to the standardized amounts for outliers and geographic reclassifications for FY 2002.

Although the update factors for FY 2002 are set by law, we are required by section 1886(e)(3) of the Act to report to the Congress our initial recommendation of update factors for FY 2002 for both prospective payment hospitals and hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system.

We have included our final recommendations on the update factors in Appendix C to this final rule.

4. Other Adjustments to the Average Standardized Amounts

a. Recalibration of DRG Weights and Updated Wage Index—Budget Neutrality Adjustment

Section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) of the Act specifies that, beginning in FY 1991, the annual DRG reclassification and recalibration of the relative weights must be made in a manner that ensures that aggregate payments to hospitals are not affected. As discussed in section II of the preamble, we normalized the recalibrated DRG weights by an adjustment factor, so that the average case weight after recalibration is equal to the average case weight prior to recalibration.

Section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act requires us to update the hospital wage index on an annual basis beginning

October 1, 1993. This provision also requires us to make any updates or adjustments to the wage index in a manner that ensures that aggregate payments to hospitals are not affected by the change in the wage index.

To comply with the requirement of section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) of the Act that DRG reclassification and recalibration of the relative weights be budget neutral, and the requirement in section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act that the updated wage index be budget neutral, we used FY 2000 discharge data to simulate payments and compared aggregate payments using the FY 2001 relative weights and wage index to aggregate payments using the FY 2002 relative weights and wage index. The same methodology was used for the FY 2001 budget neutrality adjustment. (See the discussion in the September 1, 1992 final rule (57 FR 39832).) Based on this comparison, we computed a budget neutrality adjustment factor equal to 0.995821. We also adjust the Puerto Rico-specific standardized amounts for the effect of DRG reclassification and recalibration. We computed a budget neutrality adjustment factor for Puerto Rico-specific standardized amounts equal to 0.997209. These budget neutrality adjustment factors are applied to the standardized amounts without removing the effects of the FY 2001 budget neutrality adjustments. For FY 2001, we used an average of the budget neutrality factor that was in effect from October 1, 2000 through March 30, 2001 and the budget neutrality factor that was in effect from April 1, 2001 through September 30, 2001 (0.997225 and 0.997122, respectively). We do not remove the prior budget neutrality adjustment because estimated aggregate payments after the changes in the DRG relative weights and wage index should equal estimated aggregate payments prior to the changes. If we removed the prior year adjustment, we would not satisfy this condition.

In addition, we will continue to apply these same adjustment factors to the hospital-specific rates that are effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2001. (See the discussion in the September 4, 1990 final rule (55 FR 36073).)

b. Reclassified Hospitals—Budget Neutrality Adjustment

Section 1886(d)(8)(B) of the Act provides that, effective with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1988, certain rural hospitals are deemed urban. In addition, section 1886(d)(10) of the Act provides for the reclassification of hospitals based on determinations by the Medicare

Geographic Classification Review Board (MGCRB). Under section 1886(d)(10) of the Act, a hospital may be reclassified for purposes of the standardized amount or the wage index, or both.

Under section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act, the Secretary is required to adjust the standardized amounts so as to ensure that aggregate payments under the prospective payment system after implementation of the provisions of sections 1886(d)(8)(B) and (C) and 1886(d)(10) of the Act are equal to the aggregate prospective payments that would have been made absent these provisions. To calculate this budget neutrality factor, we used FY 2000 discharge data to simulate payments, and compared total prospective payments (including IME and disproportionate share hospital (DSH) payments) prior to any reclassifications to total prospective payments after reclassifications. Based on these simulations, we are applying an adjustment factor of 0.990675 to ensure that the effects of reclassification are budget neutral.

The adjustment factor is applied to the standardized amounts after removing the effects of the FY 2001 budget neutrality adjustment factor. We note that the proposed FY 2002 adjustment reflected wage index and standardized amount reclassifications approved by the MGCRB or the Administrator as of February 28, 2001, and the effects of section 304 of Public Law 106-554 to extend wage index reclassifications for 3 years. The effects of any additional reclassification changes that occurred as a result of appeals and reviews of the MGCRB decisions for FY 2002 or from a hospital's request for the withdrawal of a reclassification request for FY 2002 are reflected in the final budget neutrality adjustment required under section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act and published in this final rule.

c. Outliers

Section 1886(d)(5)(A) of the Act provides for payments in addition to the basic prospective payments for "outlier" cases, cases involving extraordinarily high costs (cost outliers). Section 1886(d)(3)(B) of the Act requires the Secretary to adjust both the large urban and other area national standardized amounts by the same factor to account for the estimated proportion of total DRG payments made to outlier cases. Similarly, section 1886(d)(9)(B)(iv) of the Act requires the Secretary to adjust the large urban and other standardized amounts applicable to hospitals in Puerto Rico to account for the estimated proportion of total DRG payments made

to outlier cases. Furthermore, under section 1886(d)(5)(A)(iv) of the Act, outlier payments for any year must be projected to be not less than 5 percent nor more than 6 percent of total payments based on DRG prospective payment rates.

i. FY 2002 outlier thresholds. For FY 2001, the fixed loss cost outlier threshold published in the August 1, 2000 final rule was equal to the prospective payment rate for the DRG plus the IME and DSH payments plus \$17,550 (\$16,036 for hospitals that have not yet entered the prospective payment system for capital-related costs). As a result of the change made by Public Law 106-554 to the update factor for the operating standardized amounts, this threshold was applicable for discharges on or after October 1, 2000 and before April 1, 2001. For discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2001, the threshold was equal to the prospective payment rate for the DRG plus the IME and DSH payments plus \$16,350 (\$14,940 for hospitals that have not yet entered the prospective payment system for capital-related costs). The revision to the threshold was discussed in the interim final rule with comment period published on June 13, 2001 (66 FR 32176). (In the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period, the fixed loss amount was stated as \$16,500. This was an error; the correct amount is \$16,350. This is the amount that has been applied to discharges since April 1, 2001, in the PRICER software used to determine payments.) The marginal cost factor for cost outliers (the percent of costs paid after costs for the case exceed the threshold) was 80 percent.

For FY 2002, we proposed to establish a fixed loss cost outlier threshold equal to the prospective payment rate for the DRG plus the IME and DSH payments plus \$21,000. The capital prospective payment system is fully phased in, effective FY 2002. Therefore, we no longer are establishing a separate threshold for hospitals that have not yet entered the prospective payment system for capital-related costs. We proposed to maintain the marginal cost factor for cost outliers at 80 percent.

In this final rule, we are establishing a fixed loss cost outlier threshold equal to the prospective rate for the DRG plus the IME and DSH payment plus \$21,025. In addition, we are maintaining the marginal cost factor for cost outliers at 80 percent. To calculate the final FY 2002 outlier thresholds, we simulated payments by applying FY 2002 rates and policies to the March 2001 update of the FY 2000 MedPAR file and the

March 2001 update of the Provider-Specific File.

We apply a cost inflation factor to update costs for the cases used to simulate payments. For FY 2000, we used a cost inflation factor of zero percent. For FY 2001, we used a cost inflation factor (or cost adjustment factor) of 1.8 percent. To set the proposed FY 2002 outlier thresholds, we used a 2-year cost inflation factor of 5.5 percent (to inflate FY 2000 charges to FY 2002). We are using a cost inflation factor of 2.8 percent per year to set the final FY 2002 outlier thresholds (this equates to a 2-year cost inflation factor of 5.7 percent). This factor reflects our analysis of the best available cost report data as well as calculations (using the best available data) indicating that the percentage of actual outlier payments for FY 2000 is higher than we projected before the beginning of FY 2000, and that the percentage of actual outlier payments for FY 2001 will likely be higher than we projected before the beginning of FY 2001. The calculations of "actual" outlier payments are discussed further below.

Comment: Several commenters noted that the proposed threshold was almost 20 percent higher than the threshold effective for FY 2001. The commenters believed that we should verify the amount of cost outliers paid in a year and reconcile accordingly. One commenter also suggested that we amend our method of calculating the threshold so that the threshold is set at a level that reflects FY 2001 threshold plus a reasonable updating factor to account for inflation.

Response: As indicated in the proposed rule, and as explained in numerous previous **Federal Register** documents, under the policy we have maintained since the inception of the hospital inpatient prospective payment system for operating costs, we do not make retroactive adjustments to reconcile differences between the percentage of outlier payments projected before a given fiscal year and the "actual" outlier payments for that fiscal year.

In accordance with section 1886(d)(5)(A) of the Act, we set outlier thresholds for an upcoming fiscal year so that outlier payments for the fiscal year are projected to equal a specified percentage between 5 and 6 percent of total payments based on DRG prospective payment rates. To set the thresholds, we simulate payments using the best available data. We believe that the methodology suggested by the commenter, simply updating the FY 2001 thresholds to account for inflation,

would not be appropriate because, among other reasons, the methodology would not reflect the use of the most recent complete data with respect to discharges and costs. The difference between the FY 2001 outlier thresholds and the FY 2002 outlier thresholds arises from differences reflected in the data used to set the respective thresholds.

ii. Other changes concerning outliers. In accordance with section 1886(d)(5)(A)(iv) of the Act, we calculated outlier thresholds so that outlier payments are projected to equal 5.1 percent of total payments based on DRG prospective payment rates. In accordance with section 1886(d)(3)(E), we reduced the FY 2002 standardized amounts by the same percentage to account for the projected proportion of payments paid to outliers.

As stated in the September 1, 1993 final rule (58 FR 46348), we establish outlier thresholds that are applicable to both inpatient operating costs and inpatient capital-related costs. When we modeled the combined operating and capital outlier payments, we found that using a common set of thresholds resulted in a higher percentage of outlier payments for capital-related costs than for operating costs. We project that the thresholds for FY 2002 will result in outlier payments equal to 5.1 percent of operating DRG payments and 5.8 percent of capital payments based on the Federal rate.

The proposed outlier adjustment factors applied to the standardized amounts for FY 2002 were as follows:

	Operating standardized amounts	Capital federal rate
National	0.948910	0.974711
Puerto Rico	0.942593	0.970336

Based on simulations of payments using updated data, the final outlier adjustment factors applied to the standardized amounts for FY 2002 are as follows:

	Operating standardized amounts	Capital federal rate
National	0.948928	0.942440
Puerto Rico	0.974762	0.970140

As in the proposed rule, we apply the outlier adjustment factors after removing the effects of the FY 2001 outlier adjustment factors on the standardized amounts.

Table 8A in section VI. of this Addendum contains the updated

statewide average operating cost-to-charge ratios for urban hospitals and for rural hospitals to be used in calculating cost outlier payments for those hospitals for which the fiscal intermediary is unable to compute a reasonable hospital-specific cost-to-charge ratio. These statewide average ratios replace the ratios published in the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47054). Table 8B contains comparable statewide average capital cost-to-charge ratios. These average ratios will be used to calculate cost outlier payments for those hospitals for which the fiscal intermediary computes operating cost-to-charge ratios lower than 0.1903547 or greater than 1.3148656 and capital cost-to-charge ratios lower than 0.0119230 or greater than 0.1677417. This range represents 3.0 standard deviations (plus or minus) from the mean of the log distribution of cost-to-charge ratios for all hospitals. We note that the cost-to-charge ratios in Tables 8A and 8B will be used during FY 2002 when hospital-specific cost-to-charge ratios based on the latest settled cost report are either not available or outside the three standard deviations range.

iii. FY 2000 and FY 2001 outlier payments. In the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47054), we stated that, based on available data, we estimated that actual FY 2000 outlier payments would be approximately 6.2 percent of actual total DRG payments. This was computed by simulating payments using the March 2000 update of the FY 1999 bill data available at the time. That is, the estimate of actual outlier payments did not reflect actual FY 2000 bills but instead reflected the application of FY 2000 rates and policies to available FY 1999 bills. Our current estimate, using available FY 2000 bills, is that actual outlier payments for FY 2000 were approximately 7.6 percent of actual total DRG payments. We note that the MedPAR file for FY 2000 discharges continues to be updated. Thus, the data indicate that, for FY 2000, the percentage of actual outlier payments relative to actual total payments is higher than we projected before FY 2000 (and thus exceeds the percentage by which we reduced the standardized amounts for FY 2000). In fact, the data indicate that the proportion of actual outlier payments for FY 2000 exceeds 6.0 percent. Nevertheless, consistent with the policy and statutory interpretation we have maintained since the inception of the prospective payment system, we do not plan to recoup money and make retroactive adjustments to outlier payments for FY 2000.

We currently estimate that actual outlier payments for FY 2001 will be approximately 6.2 percent of actual total DRG payments, 1.1 percentage points higher than the 5.1 percent we projected in setting outlier policies for FY 2001. This estimate is based on simulations using the March 2001 update of the Provider-Specific File and the March 2001 update of the FY 2000 MedPAR file (discharge data for FY 2000 bills). We used these data to calculate an estimate of the actual outlier percentage for FY 2001 by applying FY 2001 rates and policies to available FY 2000 bills.

5. FY 2002 Standardized Amounts

The adjusted standardized amounts are divided into labor and nonlabor portions. Table 1A contains the two national standardized amounts that are applicable to all hospitals, except hospitals in Puerto Rico. Under section 1886(d)(9)(A)(ii) of the Act, the Federal portion of the Puerto Rico payment rate is based on the discharge-weighted average of the national large urban standardized amount and the national other standardized amount (as set forth in Table 1A). The labor and nonlabor portions of the national average standardized amounts for Puerto Rico hospitals are set forth in Table 1C. This table also includes the Puerto Rico standardized amounts.

Comment: Several commenters were unable to reconcile the standardized amounts published in the proposed rule for FY 2002 with the rates which were in effect for FY 2001. These commenters requested that we clarify, by category, the increases and decreases applied to the standardized amounts in the proposed rule in order to illustrate the method under which the rates were established.

Response: The confusion likely arises from the two different rates that were effective during FY 2001. Prior to the passage of Public Law 106-554, section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of the Act set the update to the standardized amounts for FY 2001 as the market basket percentage increase minus 1.1 percentage points. Section 301(a) of Public Law 106-554 revised section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of the Act to set the update to the standardized amounts for FY 2001 equal to the full market basket percentage increase.

Further, section 301(b) of Public Law 106-554 included a special provision to implement the full market basket update for purposes of making payments for FY 2001 only. Under this special provision, for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2000 and before April 1, 2001, the update factor (other than for SCHs) is equal to the market basket percentage increase minus 1.1

percentage points. For discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2001, the update factor (other than SCHs) is equal to the market basket percentage increase plus 1.1 percentage points.

However, section 547 of Public Law 106-554 makes this special rule applicable solely to payments in FY 2001 and the payment increases under section 301(b) in this fiscal year are not to be taken into account in developing payments for future fiscal years. Consequently, when we established the rates for FY 2002, we based the calculation on FY 2001 standardized

amounts reflecting the full FY 2001 market basket percentage increase of 3.4 percent. Since the standardized amounts calculated using the full market basket were not actually used for payment during FY 2001, they were not published in either the August 1, 2000 final rule or the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period.

To arrive at the final FY 2002 standardized amounts, we updated the standardized amounts through FY 2001 using the full market basket of 3.4 percent (without applying a geographic budget neutrality factor or outlier factor), then multiplied this amount by:

the update factor for FY 2002; the wage and recalibration budget neutrality factor; the geographic reclassification budget neutrality factor; and the outlier factor established for FY 2002. The calculation below details this reconciliation process using the large urban area standardized amount as an example. Although the commenters requested a reconciliation of the proposed rates, the example below reconciles the final FY 2002 rates, as those are the amounts actually in effect for the fiscal year. To reconcile the rates in the proposed rule, the exact same methodology applies.

EXAMPLE OF THE CALCULATION OF THE FY 2002 FINAL STANDARDIZED AMOUNT FOR LARGE URBAN AREAS

	Labor	Nonlabor
FY 2001 Standardized Amount with Full Market Basket Update/No Reclassification, Budget Neutrality or Outlier Offset		
Update Factor: (Market Basket Percentage Increase minus 0.55 percent)	\$3,072.51	\$1,248.88
FY 2002 Wage Index and DRG reclassification/recalculation budget neutrality factor	1.0275	1.0275
FY 2002 Reclassification budget neutrality factor	0.995821	0.995821
Outlier Factor	0.990675	0.990675
Final Rate for FY 2002 (after multiplying FY 2001 base rate by above factors)	0.948928	0.948928
	\$2,955.44	\$1,201.30

B. Adjustments for Area Wage Levels and Cost of Living

Tables 1A and 1C, as set forth in this Addendum, contain the labor-related and nonlabor-related shares that will be used to calculate the prospective payment rates for hospitals located in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. This section addresses two types of adjustments to the standardized amounts that are made in determining the prospective payment rates as described in this Addendum.

1. Adjustment for Area Wage Levels

Sections 1886(d)(3)(E) and 1886(d)(9)(C)(iv) of the Act require that we make an adjustment to the labor-related portion of the prospective payment rates to account for area differences in hospital wage levels. This adjustment is made by multiplying the labor-related portion of the adjusted standardized amounts by the appropriate wage index for the area in which the hospital is located. In section III. of this preamble, we discuss the data and methodology for the FY 2002 wage index. The wage index is set forth in Tables 4A, 4B, 4C, and 4F of this Addendum.

2. Adjustment for Cost-of-Living in Alaska and Hawaii

Section 1886(d)(5)(H) of the Act authorizes an adjustment to take into account the unique circumstances of hospitals in Alaska and Hawaii. Higher labor-related costs for these two States

are taken into account in the adjustment for area wages described above. For FY 2002, we are adjusting the payments for hospitals in Alaska and Hawaii by multiplying the nonlabor portion of the standardized amounts by the appropriate adjustment factor contained in the table below.

TABLE OF COST-OF-LIVING ADJUSTMENT FACTORS, ALASKA AND HAWAII HOSPITALS

Alaska—All areas	1.25
Hawaii:	
County of Honolulu	1.25
County of Hawaii	1.165
County of Kauai	1.2325
County of Maui	1.2375
County of Kalawao	1.2375

(The above factors are based on data obtained from the U.S. Office of Personnel Management.)

C. DRG Relative Weights

As discussed in section II. of the preamble, we have developed a classification system for all hospital discharges, assigning them into DRGs, and have developed relative weights for each DRG that reflect the resource utilization of cases in each DRG relative to Medicare cases in other DRGs. Table 5 of section VI. of this Addendum contains the relative weights that we will use for discharges occurring in FY 2002. These factors have been recalibrated as explained in section II. of the preamble.

D. Calculation of Prospective Payment Rates for FY 2002

General Formula for Calculation of Prospective Payment Rates for FY 2002

The prospective payment rate for all hospitals located outside of Puerto Rico, except SCHs and MDHs, equals the Federal rate.

The prospective payment rate for SCHs equals whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: the Federal rate, the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 cost per discharge, the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1987 cost per discharge, or, if qualified, 50 percent of the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1996 cost per discharge, plus the greater of 50 percent of the updated FY 1982 or FY 1987 hospital-specific rate or 50 percent of the Federal rate. Section 213 of Public Law 106-554 amended section 1886(b)(3) of the Act to allow all SCHs to rebase their hospital-specific rate based on their FY 1996 cost per discharge.

The prospective payment rate for MDHs equals 100 percent of the Federal rate, or, if the greater of the updated FY 1982 hospital-specific rate or the updated FY 1987 hospital-specific rate is higher than the Federal rate, 100 percent of the Federal rate plus 50 percent of the difference between the applicable hospital-specific rate and the Federal rate.

The prospective payment rate for Puerto Rico equals 50 percent of the

Puerto Rico rate plus 50 percent of a discharge-weighted average of the Federal large urban standardized amount and the Federal other standardized amount.

1. Federal Rate

For discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2002, except for SCHs, MDHs, and hospitals in Puerto Rico, the hospital's payment is based exclusively on the Federal national rate. The payment amount is determined as follows:

Step 1—Select the appropriate national standardized amount considering the type of hospital and designation of the hospital as large urban or other (see Table 1A in section VI. of this Addendum).

Step 2—Multiply the labor-related portion of the standardized amount by the applicable wage index for the geographic area in which the hospital is located (see Tables 4A, 4B, and 4C of section VI. of this Addendum).

Step 3—For hospitals in Alaska and Hawaii, multiply the nonlabor-related portion of the standardized amount by the appropriate cost-of-living adjustment factor.

Step 4—Add the amount from Step 2 and the nonlabor-related portion of the standardized amount (adjusted, if appropriate, under Step 3).

Step 5—Multiply the final amount from Step 4 by the relative weight corresponding to the appropriate DRG (see Table 5 of section VI. of this Addendum).

2. Hospital-Specific Rate (Applicable Only to SCHs and MDHs)

Section 1886(b)(3)(C) of the Act provides that SCHs are paid based on whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: the Federal rate, the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 cost per discharge, the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1987 cost per discharge, or, if qualified, 50 percent of the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1996 cost per discharge, plus the greater of 50 percent of the updated FY 1982 or FY 1987 hospital-specific rate or 50 percent of the Federal DRG payment rate.

Section 1886(d)(5)(G) of the Act provides that MDHs are paid based on whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: the Federal rate or the Federal rate plus 50 percent of the difference between the Federal rate and the greater of the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 and FY 1987 cost per discharge.

Hospital-specific rates have been determined for each of these hospitals based on either the FY 1982 cost per discharge, the FY 1987 cost per discharge or, for qualifying SCHs, the FY 1996 cost per discharge. For a more detailed discussion of the calculation of the hospital-specific rates, we refer the reader to the September 1, 1983 interim final rule (48 FR 39772); the April 20, 1990 final rule with comment (55 FR 15150); the September 4, 1990 final rule (55 FR 35994); and the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47082).

a. Updating the FY 1982, FY 1987, and FY 1996 Hospital-Specific Rates for FY 2002

We are increasing the hospital-specific rates by 2.75 percent (the hospital market basket percentage increase minus 0.55 percentage points) for SCHs and MDHs for FY 2002. Section 1886(b)(3)(C)(iv) of the Act provides that the update factor applicable to the hospital-specific rates for SCHs equal the update factor provided under section 1886(b)(3)(B)(iv) of the Act, which, for SCHs in FY 2002, is the market basket rate of increase minus 0.55 percentage points. Section 1886(b)(3)(D) of the Act provides that the update factor applicable to the hospital-specific rates for MDHs equals the update factor provided under section 1886(b)(3)(B)(iv) of the Act, which, for FY 2002, is the market basket rate of increase minus 0.55 percentage points.

b. Calculation of Hospital-Specific Rate

For SCHs, the applicable FY 2002 hospital-specific rate is based on the following: the hospital-specific rate calculated using the greater of the FY 1982 or FY 1987 costs, increased by the applicable update factor; or, if the hospital-specific rate based on cost per case in FY 1996 is greater than the hospital-specific rate using either the FY 1982 or the FY 1987 costs, the greater of 50 percent of the hospital-specific rate based on the FY 1982 or FY 1987 costs, increased by the applicable update factor, or 50 percent of the Federal rate plus 50 percent of its rebased FY 1996 hospital-specific rate updated through FY 2002. For MDHs, the applicable FY 2002 hospital-specific rate is calculated by increasing the hospital's hospital-specific rate for the preceding fiscal year by the applicable update factor, which is the same as the update for all prospective payment hospitals. In addition, for both SCHs and MDHs, the hospital-specific rate is adjusted by the budget neutrality adjustment factor (that is, by 0.995821) as discussed in section II.A.4.a. of this

Addendum. The resulting rate is used in determining the payment rate an SCH or MDH is paid for its discharges beginning on or after October 1, 2001.

3. General Formula for Calculation of Prospective Payment Rates for Hospitals Located in Puerto Rico Beginning On or After October 1, 2001 and Before October 1, 2002

a. Puerto Rico Rate

The Puerto Rico prospective payment rate is determined as follows:

Step 1—Select the appropriate adjusted average standardized amount considering the large urban or other designation of the hospital (see Table 1C of section VI. of the Addendum).

Step 2—Multiply the labor-related portion of the standardized amount by the appropriate Puerto Rico-specific wage index (see Table 4F of section VI. of the Addendum).

Step 3—Add the amount from Step 2 and the nonlabor-related portion of the standardized amount.

Step 4—Multiply the result in Step 3 by 50 percent.

Step 5—Multiply the amount from Step 4 by the appropriate DRG relative weight (see Table 5 of section VI. of the Addendum).

b. National Rate

The national prospective payment rate is determined as follows:

Step 1—Multiply the labor-related portion of the national average standardized amount (see Table 1C of section VI. of the Addendum) by the appropriate national wage index (see Tables 4A and 4B of section VI. of the Addendum).

Step 2—Add the amount from Step 1 and the nonlabor-related portion of the national average standardized amount.

Step 3—Multiply the result in Step 2 by 50 percent.

Step 4—Multiply the amount from Step 3 by the appropriate DRG relative weight (see Table 5 of section VI. of the Addendum).

The sum of the Puerto Rico rate and the national rate computed above equals the prospective payment for a given discharge for a hospital located in Puerto Rico.

III. Changes to the Prospective Payment Rates for Inpatient Operating Costs for FY 2001 (Section 301 of Public Law 106-554 and 42 CFR 412.63(s))

In the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period, we implemented section 301(a) of Public Law 106-554 as it applied to FY 2001. Section 301(a) amended section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of the Act by changing the percentage increase for the hospital inpatient payment rates

for FYs 2001, 2002, and 2003. Previously, section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) (as amended by section 406 of Public Law 106-113) established the update factor to the payment rates for inpatient prospective payment system hospitals (other than SCHs, who received the full market basket update effective October 1, 2000) as the market basket percentage increase minus 1.1 percent for FYs 2001 and 2002; the update factor for FY 2003 and subsequent fiscal years was established as the full market basket percentage increase. Section 301(a) of Public Law 106-554 amended section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of the Act and changed the update factor for FY 2001 to the full market basket percentage increase. (Section 301(a) also revised the update factors that apply to FYs 2002 and 2003, as discussed in section II. of this Addendum.) Prior to enactment of Public Law 106-554, the update factor for FY 2002 was the market basket percentage increase minus 1.1 percentage points and the update factor for FY 2003 was the full market basket percentage increase. Section 301(a) of Public Law 106-554 amended section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of the Act to revise the

update factor for FYs 2002 and 2003 to be the market basket percentage increase minus 0.55 percentage points.

Further, section 301(b) of Public Law 106-554 provided a special rule to implement the full market basket update to inpatient hospital prospective payment rates for FY 2001. Under this special rule, for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2000 and before April 1, 2001, the update factor for inpatient prospective payment system hospitals (other than SCHs) is equal to the market basket percentage increase minus 1.1 percentage points. For discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2001, the update factor for the payment rates for inpatient prospective payment system hospitals (other than SCHs) is equal to the market basket percentage increase plus 1.1 percentage points. Section 547 of Public Law 106-554 makes this special rule applicable solely to payments in FY 2001 and the payment increases resulting for FY 2001 are not taken into account in developing payments for future fiscal years.

As directed by the special rule in section 301(b) of Public Law 106-554,

any discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2000, and before April 1, 2001, are paid in accordance with the standardized amounts set forth in the FY 2001 hospital inpatient prospective payment system final rule published in the August 1, 2000 **Federal Register** (65 FR 47126). These rates were calculated using the market basket percentage increase of 3.4 percent minus 1.1 percentage points, for a 2.3 percent increase (see 65 FR 47112), as directed by section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of the Act prior to the passage of Public Law 106-554.

To implement the special rule under section 301(b) of Public Law 106-554, in the June 13 interim final rule with comment period, we recomputed the standardized amounts effective for discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001. That is, we replaced the update factor of 2.3 percent applied to the standardized amounts in the August 1, 2000 final rule, with the update factor of 4.5 percent (the market basket percentage increase plus 1.1 percentage points, or 3.4 plus 1.1 percentage points).

	Large urban areas		Other areas	
	Labor-related	Nonlabor-related	Labor-related	Nonlabor-related
National	\$2,925.82	\$1,189.26	\$2,879.51	\$1,170.43
National PR	2,900.64	1,179.02	2,900.64	1,179.02
Puerto Rico	1,402.79	564.66	1,380.58	555.72
SCHs	2,895.02	1,176.74	2,849.20	1,158.11

A. Budget Neutrality

Section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) of the Act specifies that, beginning in FY 1991, the annual DRG reclassification and recalibration of the relative weights must be made in a manner that ensures that aggregate payments to hospitals are projected to be the same as those that would have been made without such adjustments. Section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act requires us to update the hospital wage index on an annual basis beginning October 1, 1993. This provision also requires us to make any updates or adjustments to the wage index in a manner that ensures that aggregate payments to hospitals are projected to be the same as those that would have been made without the change in the wage index.

Finally, under section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act, the Secretary is required to adjust the standardized amounts so as to ensure that final aggregate payments under the prospective payment system are projected to equal the aggregate prospective payments that would have

been made absent the geographic reclassification provisions of sections 1886(d)(8)(B) and (C) and 1886(d)(10) of the Act.

The distributive effects on hospital payments of the IME and DSH changes also included in Public Law 106-554 required us to recalculate the budget neutrality factors that are required by section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act.

As we stated in the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period, the budget neutrality factors that were used to establish the standardized amounts effective for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2000 were: 0.997225 for the DRG reclassification and recalibration and updated wage index (65 FR 47112); and 0.993187 for geographic reclassification (65 FR 47113). Using the same methodology that was used to calculate the budget neutrality factors in the August 1, 2000 final rule, the corresponding budget neutrality factors for the standardized amounts effective for discharges occurring on or after

April 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2001 are 0.997122 and 0.993279. The FY 2001 budget neutrality factor for Puerto Rico did not change. Therefore, the budget neutrality factor for Puerto Rico as published in the August 1, 2000 **Federal Register** (65 FR 47112) remained in effect for discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2001.

B. Outliers

In accordance with section 1886(d)(3)(B) of the Act, which directs the Secretary to adjust the national standardized amounts to account for the estimated proportion of total payments made to outlier cases, the fixed-loss outlier threshold was also revised as a result of the change made by Public Law 106-554 to the update factor for the operating standardized amounts. For discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2001, we established a fixed-loss cost outlier threshold equal to the prospective payment rate for the DRG, plus IME and

DSH payments, plus \$16,350 (\$14,940 for hospitals that have not yet entered the prospective payment system for capital-related costs). (In the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period, the fixed loss amount was stated as \$16,500. This was an error. The correct amount is \$16,350. This is the amount that has been applied to discharges since April 1, 2001, in the PRICER software used to determine payments.) In determining the outlier threshold, we used the same methodology employed to determine the outlier threshold for FY 2001 (65 FR 47113 through 47114). Outlier payments for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2000 and before April 1, 2001, will be determined in accordance with the standardized amounts and outlier thresholds set forth in the FY 2001 final rule published in the August 1, 2000 **Federal Register** (65 FR 47113).

Although the market basket percentage used to update SCHs was not revised by Public Law 106–554, the standardized amounts applied to these hospitals for discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2001 also increase slightly. This increase in SCH rates is due to the budget neutrality factors effective for this portion of the fiscal year.

For discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2001, the outlier adjustment factors are as follows:

	Operating standardized amounts	Capital federal rate
National	0.948929	0.937854
Puerto Rico	0.973671	0.967355

III. Changes to Payment Rates for Inpatient Capital-Related Costs for FY 2002

The prospective payment system for hospital inpatient capital-related costs was implemented for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1991. Effective with that cost reporting period and during a 10-year transition period extending through FY 2001, hospital inpatient capital-related costs are paid on the basis of an increasing proportion of the capital prospective payment system Federal rate and a decreasing proportion of a hospital's historical costs for capital.

The basic methodology for determining Federal capital prospective rates is set forth at §§ 412.308 through 412.352. Below we discuss the factors that we used to determine the capital Federal rate rate and the hospital-specific rates for FY 2002. The rates,

which will be effective for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2001. As we stated in section V. of the preamble of this final rule, we are no longer determining an update to the capital hospital-specific rate, since FY 2001 is the last year of the 10-year transition period, and beginning in FY 2002 all hospitals (except "new" hospitals under § 412.324(b)) will be paid based on 100 percent of the capital Federal rate.

For FY 1992, we computed the standard Federal payment rate for capital-related costs under the prospective payment system by updating the FY 1989 Medicare inpatient capital cost per case by an actuarial estimate of the increase in Medicare inpatient capital costs per case. Each year after FY 1992, we update the standard Federal rate, as provided in § 412.308(c)(1), to account for capital input price increases and other factors. Also, § 412.308(c)(2) provides that the Federal rate is adjusted annually by a factor equal to the estimated proportion of outlier payments under the Federal rate to total capital payments under the Federal rate. In addition, § 412.308(c)(3) requires that the Federal rate be reduced by an adjustment factor equal to the estimated proportion of payments for (regular and special) exceptions under § 412.348. Furthermore, § 412.308(c)(4)(ii) requires that the Federal rate be adjusted so that the annual DRG reclassification and the recalibration of DRG weights and changes in the geographic adjustment factor are budget neutral. For FYs 1992 through 1995, § 412.352 required that the Federal rate also be adjusted by a budget neutrality factor so that aggregate payments for inpatient hospital capital costs were projected to equal 90 percent of the payments that would have been made for capital-related costs on a reasonable cost basis during the fiscal year. That provision expired in FY 1996. Section 412.308(b)(2) describes the 7.4 percent reduction to the rate that was made in FY 1994, and § 412.308(b)(3) describes the 0.28 percent reduction to the rate made in FY 1996 as a result of the revised policy of paying for transfers. In the FY 1998 final rule with comment period (62 FR 45966), we implemented section 4402 of Public Law 105–33, which requires that for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1997, and before October 1, 2002, the unadjusted standard Federal rate is reduced by 17.78 percent. A small part of that reduction will be restored effective October 1, 2002.

To determine the appropriate budget neutrality adjustment factor and the regular exceptions payment adjustment, we developed a dynamic model of

Medicare inpatient capital-related costs, that is, a model that projects changes in Medicare inpatient capital-related costs over time. With the expiration of the budget neutrality provision, the model is still used to estimate the regular exceptions payment adjustment and other factors. The model and its application are described in greater detail in Appendix B of this final rule.

In accordance with section 1886(d)(9)(A) of the Act, under the prospective payment system for inpatient operating costs, hospitals located in Puerto Rico are paid for operating costs under a special payment formula. Prior to FY 1998, hospitals in Puerto Rico were paid a blended rate that consisted of 75 percent of the applicable standardized amount specific to Puerto Rico hospitals and 25 percent of the applicable national average standardized amount. However, effective October 1, 1997, as a result of section 4406 of Public Law 105–33, operating payments to hospitals in Puerto Rico are based on a blend of 50 percent of the applicable standardized amount specific to Puerto Rico hospitals and 50 percent of the applicable national average standardized amount. In conjunction with this change to the operating blend percentage, effective with discharges on or after October 1, 1997, we compute capital payments to hospitals in Puerto Rico based on a blend of 50 percent of the Puerto Rico rate and 50 percent of the Federal rate.

Section 412.374 provides for the use of this blended payment system for payments to Puerto Rico hospitals under the prospective payment system for inpatient capital-related costs. Accordingly, for capital-related costs, we compute a separate payment rate specific to Puerto Rico hospitals using the same methodology used to compute the national Federal rate for capital.

A. Determination of Federal Inpatient Capital-Related Prospective Payment Rate Update

In the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47122), we established a Federal rate of \$382.03 for FY 2001. In the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment, as a result of implementing section 301(b) of Public Law 106–554, we established a Federal rate of \$380.85 for discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2001 (66 FR 32180). (See section V.E. of the preamble and section III.A.5 of this Addendum for a fuller discussion of the provisions of section 301(b) of Public Law 106–554.) In accordance with section 547 of Public Law 106–554, the special payment increases provided by Public Law 106–554 effective between

April and October 2001 do not apply for discharges occurring after FY 2001 and are not taken into account in determining the payment rates in subsequent years. Thus, the adjustments and rates published in the August 1, 2000 final rule were used in determining the FY 2002 capital rates. As a result of the changes to the factors used to establish the Federal rate in this addendum, the FY 2002 Federal rate is \$390.74.

In the discussion that follows, we explain the factors that were used to determine the FY 2002 Federal rate. In particular, we explain why the FY 2002 Federal rate has increased 2.28 percent compared to the FY 2001 Federal rate (published in the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47122)). We also estimate aggregate capital payments will increase by 4.27 percent during this same period. This increase is primarily due to the increase in the number of hospital admissions and the increase in case-mix. This increase in capital payments is slightly less than last year (5.48 percent) because with the end of the transition period the remaining hold harmless hospitals receiving "cost-based" payments will begin being paid based on 100 percent of the Federal rate.

Total payments to hospitals under the prospective payment system are relatively unaffected by changes in the capital prospective payments. Since capital payments constitute about 10 percent of hospital payments, a 1 percent change in the capital Federal rate yields only about 0.1 percent change in actual payments to hospitals. Aggregate payments under the capital prospective payment system are estimated to increase in FY 2002 compared to FY 2001.

1. Standard Federal Rate Update

Under § 412.308(c)(1), the standard Federal rate is updated on the basis of an analytical framework that takes into account changes in a capital input price index and other factors. The update framework consists of a capital input price index (CIPI) and several policy adjustment factors. Specifically, we have adjusted the projected CIPI rate of increase as appropriate each year for case-mix index-related changes, for intensity, and for errors in previous CIPI forecasts. The proposed rule reflected an update factor for FY 2002 under that framework of 1.1 percent, based on data available at that time. Under the update framework, the final update factor for FY 2002 is 1.3 percent. This update factor is based on a projected 0.7 percent increase in the CIPI, a 0.3 percent adjustment for intensity, a 0.0 percent adjustment for case-mix, a 0.0

percent adjustment for the FY 2000 DRG reclassification and recalibration, and a forecast error correction of 0.3 percent. We explain the basis for the FY 2002 CIPI projection in section II.C. of this Addendum. Below we describe the policy adjustments that have been applied.

The case-mix index is the measure of the average DRG weight for cases paid under the prospective payment system. Because the DRG weight determines the prospective payment for each case, any percentage increase in the case-mix index corresponds to an equal percentage increase in hospital payments.

The case-mix index can change for any of several reasons:

- The average resource use of Medicare patients changes ("real" case-mix change);
- Changes in hospital coding of patient records result in higher weight DRG assignments ("coding effects"); and
- The annual DRG reclassification and recalibration changes may not be budget neutral ("reclassification effect").

We define real case-mix change as actual changes in the mix (and resource requirements) of Medicare patients as opposed to changes in coding behavior that result in assignment of cases to higher weighted DRGs but do not reflect higher resource requirements. In the update framework for the prospective payment system for operating costs, we adjust the update upwards to allow for real case-mix change, but remove the effects of coding changes on the case-mix index. We also remove the effect on total payments of prior changes to the DRG classifications and relative weights, in order to retain budget neutrality for all case-mix index-related changes other than patient severity. (For example, we adjusted for the effects of the FY 2000 DRG reclassification and recalibration as part of our FY 2002 update recommendation.) We have adopted this case-mix index adjustment in the capital update framework as well.

For FY 2002, we are projecting a 1.0 percent increase in the case-mix index. We estimate that real case-mix increase will equal 1.0 percent in FY 2002. Therefore, the net adjustment for case-mix change in FY 2002 is 0.0 percentage points.

We estimate that FY 2000 DRG reclassification and recalibration will result in a 0.0 percent change in the case-mix when compared with the case-mix index that would have resulted if we had not made the reclassification and recalibration changes to the DRGs. Therefore, we are making a 0.0 percent adjustment for DRG reclassification and

recalibration in the update recommendation for FY 2002.

The capital update framework contains an adjustment for forecast error. The input price index forecast is based on historical trends and relationships ascertainable at the time the update factor is established for the upcoming year. In any given year, there may be unanticipated price fluctuations that may result in differences between the actual increase in prices and the forecast used in calculating the update factors. In setting a prospective payment rate under the framework, we make an adjustment for forecast error only if our estimate of the change in the capital input price index for any year is off by 0.25 percentage points or more. There is a 2-year lag between the forecast and the measurement of the forecast error. A forecast error of 0.3 percentage points was calculated for the FY 2000 update. That is, current historical data indicate that the forecasted FY 2000 CIPI used in calculating the FY 2000 update factor (0.6 percent) understated the actual realized price increases (0.9 percent) by 0.3 percentage points. This under-prediction was due to prices from municipal bond yields declining slower than expected. Therefore, we are making a 0.0 3 percent adjustment for forecast error in the update for FY 2002.

Under the capital prospective payment system framework, we also make an adjustment for changes in intensity. We calculate this adjustment using the same methodology and data as in the framework for the operating prospective payment system. The intensity factor for the operating update framework reflects how hospital services are utilized to produce the final product, that is, the discharge. This component accounts for changes in the use of quality-enhancing services, changes in within-DRG severity, and expected modification of practice patterns to remove cost-ineffective services.

We calculate case-mix constant intensity as the change in total charges per admission, adjusted for price level changes (the CPI for hospital and related services), and changes in real case-mix. The use of total charges in the calculation of the proposed intensity factor makes it a total intensity factor, that is, charges for capital services are already built into the calculation of the factor. Therefore, we have incorporated the intensity adjustment from the operating update framework into the capital update framework. Without reliable estimates of the proportions of the overall annual intensity increases that are due, respectively, to ineffective practice patterns and to the combination

of quality-enhancing new technologies and within-DRG complexity, we assume, as in the revised operating update framework, that one-half of the annual increase is due to each of these factors. The capital update framework thus provides an add-on to the input price index rate of increase of one-half of the estimated annual increase in intensity to allow for within-DRG severity increases and the adoption of quality-enhancing technology.

For FY 2002, we have developed a Medicare-specific intensity measure based on a 5-year average, using FY 1996 through 2000 data. In determining case-mix constant intensity, we found that observed case-mix increase was 1.6 percent in FY 1996, 0.3 percent in FY 1997, -0.4 percent in FY 1998, and -0.3 in FY 1999, and -0.7 percent in FY 2000. Since we found an increase in case-mix of 1.6 for FY 1996, which was outside of the range of 1.0 to 1.4 percent, we estimate that real case-mix increase was 1.0 to 1.4 percent for that year. The estimate of 1.0 to 1.4 percent is supported by past studies of case-mix change by the RAND Corporation. The most recent study was "Has DRG Creep Crept Up? Decomposing the Case Mix Index Change Between 1987 and 1988" by G. M. Carter, J. P. Newhouse, and D. A. Relles, R-4098-HCFA/ProPAC (1991). The study suggested that real case-mix change was not dependent on total change, but was usually a fairly steady 1.0 to 1.4 percent per year. We use 1.4 percent as the upper bound because the RAND study did not take into account that hospitals may have induced doctors to document medical records more completely in order to improve payment. Following that study, we consider up to 1.4 percent of observed case-mix change as real for FY 1996 through FY 2000. Based on this analysis, we believe that all of the observed case-mix increase for FY 1997, FY 1998, and FY 1999, and FY 2000 is real. The increases for FY 1996 was in excess of our estimate of real case-mix increase.

We calculate case-mix constant intensity as the change in total charges per admission, adjusted for price level changes (the CPI for hospital and related services), and changes in real case-mix. Based upon an upper limit of 1.0 percent real case-mix increase, we estimate that case-mix constant intensity increased by an average 0.3 percent during FYs 1996 through 2000, for a cumulative increase of 1.4 percent, given estimates of real case-mix of -1.0 percent for FY 1996, 0.3 percent for FY 1997, -0.4 for FY 1998, and -0.3 for FY 1999, and -0.7 percent for FY 2000. Based upon an upper limit of 1.4

percent real case-mix increase, we estimate that case-mix constant intensity declined increase by an average 0.2 percent during FYs 1996 through 2000, for a cumulative increase of 1.2 percent, given that real case-mix increase was 1.4 percent for FY 1996, 0.3 percent for FY 1997, -0.4 for FY 1998, -0.3 for FY 1999, and -0.7 percent for FY 2000. Since we estimate that intensity has increased during that period, we are recommending a 0.3 percent intensity adjustment for FY 2002.

2. Outlier Payment Adjustment Factor

Section 412.312(c) establishes a unified outlier methodology for inpatient operating and inpatient capital-related costs. A single set of thresholds is used to identify outlier cases for both inpatient operating and inpatient capital-related payments. Section 412.308(c)(2) provides that the standard Federal rate for inpatient capital-related costs be reduced by an adjustment factor equal to the estimated proportion of capital-related outlier payments to total inpatient capital-related prospective payment system payments. The outlier thresholds are set so that operating outlier payments are projected to be 5.1 percent of total operating DRG payments.

In the August 1, 2000 final rule, we estimated that outlier payments for capital in FY 2001 would equal 5.91 percent of inpatient capital-related payments based on the Federal rate (65 FR 47121). Accordingly, we applied an outlier adjustment factor of 0.9409 to the Federal rate. Based on the thresholds as set forth in section II.A.4.c. of this Addendum, we estimate that outlier payments for capital will equal 5.76 percent of inpatient capital-related payments based on the Federal rate in FY 2002. Therefore, we are establishing an outlier adjustment factor of 0.9424 to the Federal rate. Thus, the projected percentage of capital outlier payments to total capital standard payments for FY 2002 is lower than the percentage for FY 2001.

The outlier reduction factors are not built permanently into the rates; that is, they are not applied cumulatively in determining the Federal rate. As explained previously, in accordance with section 547 of Public Law 106-554, the FY 2002 rates are based on the FY 2001 adjustments and rates published in the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47122). Therefore, the net change in the outlier adjustment to the Federal rate for FY 2002 is 1.0016 (0.9424/0.9409). The outlier adjustment increases the FY 2002 Federal rate by 0.16 percent

compared with the FY 2001 outlier adjustment.

3. Budget Neutrality Adjustment Factor for Changes in DRG Classifications and Weights and the Geographic Adjustment Factor

Section 412.308(c)(4)(ii) requires that the Federal rate be adjusted so that aggregate payments for the fiscal year based on the Federal rate after any changes resulting from the annual DRG reclassification and recalibration and changes in the geographic adjustment factor (GAF) are projected to equal aggregate payments that would have been made on the basis of the Federal rate without such changes. We use the actuarial model, described in Appendix B of this final rule, to estimate the aggregate payments that would have been made on the basis of the Federal rate without changes in the DRG classifications and weights and in the GAF. We also use the model to estimate aggregate payments that would be made on the basis of the Federal rate as a result of those changes. We then use these figures to compute the adjustment required to maintain budget neutrality for changes in DRG weights and in the GAF.

For FY 2001, we calculated a GAF/DRG budget neutrality factor of 0.9979. In the proposed rule for FY 2002, we proposed a GAF/DRG budget neutrality factor of 0.9913. In this final rule, based on calculations using updated data, we are applying a factor of 0.9934. The GAF/DRG budget neutrality factors are built permanently into the rates; that is, they are applied cumulatively in determining the Federal rate. This follows from the requirement that estimated aggregate payments each year be no more or less than they would have been in the absence of the annual DRG reclassification and recalibration and changes in the GAF. As explained previously, in accordance with section 547 of Public Law 106-554, the FY 2002 adjustments and rates are based on the FY 2001 adjustment and rates published in the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47122). The incremental change in the adjustment from FY 2001 to FY 2002 is 0.9934. The cumulative change in the rate due to this adjustment is 0.9927 (the product of the incremental factors for FY 1993, FY 1994, FY 1995, FY 1996, FY 1997, FY 1998, FY 1999, FY 2000, FY 2001 and the incremental factor for FY 2002: $0.9980 \times 1.0053 \times 0.9998 \times 0.9994 \times 0.9987 \times 0.9989 \times 1.0028 \times 0.9985 \times 0.9979 \times 0.9934 = 0.9927$).

This factor accounts for DRG reclassifications and recalibration and for changes in the GAF. It also

incorporates the effects on the GAF of FY 2002 geographic reclassification decisions made by the MGRB compared to FY 2001 decisions. However, it does not account for changes in payments due to changes in the DSH and IME adjustment factors or in the large urban add-on.

4. Exceptions Payment Adjustment Factor

Section 412.308(c)(3) requires that the standard Federal rate for inpatient capital-related costs be reduced by an adjustment factor equal to the estimated proportion of additional payments for exceptions under § 412.348 relative to total capital payments payments under the hospital-specific rate and Federal rate. We use the model originally developed for determining the budget neutrality adjustment factor to determine the regular exceptions payment adjustment factor. We describe that model in Appendix B to this final rule. An adjustment for regular exceptions is necessary for determining the FY 2002 rates because we will continue to pay regular exceptions for cost reporting periods beginning before October 1, 2001 but ending in FY 2002, in accordance with § 412.312(c)(3). In FY 2003 and later, no payments will be made under the regular exceptions provision, and then we will only compute a budget neutrality adjustment under § 412.348(d) for special exceptions. We describe the methodology to determine the special exceptions adjustment in section V.D. of this final rule. For FY 2002, the exceptions adjustment is a combination of the adjustment that would be made under the regular exceptions provision and under the special exceptions provision under § 412.348(g).

For FY 2001, we estimated that exceptions payments would equal 2.15 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate. Therefore, we applied an exceptions reduction factor of 0.9785 ($1 - 0.0215$) in determining the Federal rate. In the May 4, 2001 proposed rule, we estimated that regular exceptions payments for FY 2002 would equal 0.63 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate, we estimated that special exceptions payments for FY 2002 would equal 0.12 percent of aggregate payments based on the

Federal rate. Therefore, we estimated that total exceptions payments for FY 2002 would equal 0.75 percent ($0.63 + 0.12 = 0.75$) of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate, and we proposed an exceptions payment reduction factor of 0.9925 ($1 - 0.0075$) to the Federal rate for FY 2002. The proposed exceptions reduction factor for FY 2002 was 1.43 percent higher than the factor for FY 2001 published in the August 1, 2000 final rule.

For this final rule, based on updated data, we estimate that regular exceptions payments for FY 2002 will equal 0.59 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate, and we estimate that special exceptions payments for FY 2002 will equal 0.12 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate. We estimate that total exceptions payments for FY 2002 will be 0.71 percent ($0.59 + 0.12 = 0.71$). Thus, the FY 2002 exceptions payment reduction factor is 0.9929 ($1 - 0.0071$). The exceptions reduction factor for FY 2002 is 1.47 percent higher than the factor for FY 2001 published in the August 1, 2000 final rule. This increase is primarily due to the expiration of the regular exceptions provision and the narrowly defined nature of the special exceptions policy.

The exceptions reduction factors are not built permanently into the rates; that is, the factors are not applied cumulatively in determining the Federal rate. As explained previously, in accordance with section 547 of Public Law 106–554, the FY 2002 adjustments and rates are based on the FY 2001 adjustments and rates published in the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47122). Therefore, the net adjustment to the FY 2002 Federal rate is 0.9929/0.9785, or 1.0147.

5. Standard Capital Federal Rate for FY 2002

For FY 2001, the capital Federal rate was \$382.03 for discharges occurring between October 1, 2000 and April 1, 2001. As a result of implementing section 301(b) of Public Law 106–554, for discharges occurring from April to October 2001, the capital Federal rate was \$380.85. However, as explained previously, in accordance with section 547 of Public Law 106–554, the FY 2002 adjustments and rates are based on the

FY 2001 adjustments and rates published in the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47122). As a result of changes we are making to the factors used to establish the Federal rate, in this final rule we are establishing the capital Federal rate for FY 2002 of \$390.74. The Federal rate for FY 2002 was calculated as follows:

- The FY 2002 update factor is 1.0130; that is, the update is 1.30 percent.
- The FY 2002 budget neutrality adjustment factor that is applied to the standard Federal payment rate for changes in the DRG relative weights and in the GAF is 0.9934.
- The FY 2002 outlier adjustment factor is 0.94214.
- The FY 2002 (regular and special) exceptions payments adjustment factor is 0.9929.

Since the Federal rate has already been adjusted for differences in case-mix, wages, cost-of-living, indirect medical education costs, and payments to hospitals serving a disproportionate share of low-income patients, we have made no additional adjustments in the standard Federal rate for these factors, other than the budget neutrality factor for changes in the DRG relative weights and the GAF.

We are providing a chart that shows how each of the factors and adjustments for FY 2002 affected the computation of the FY 2002 Federal rate in comparison to the FY 2001 Federal rate. The FY 2002 update factor has the effect of increasing the Federal rate by 1.30 percent compared to the FY 2001 rate published in the August 1, 2000 final rule, while the geographic and DRG budget neutrality factor has the effect of decreasing the Federal rate by 0.66 percent. The FY 2002 outlier adjustment factor has the effect of increasing the Federal rate by 0.16 percent compared to the FY 2001 rate published in the August 1, 2000 final rule. The FY 2002 (regular and special) exceptions reduction factor has the effect of increasing the Federal rate by 1.47 percent compared to the exceptions reduction for FY 2001. The combined effect of all the proposed changes is to increase the Federal rate by 2.28 percent compared to the Federal rate for FY 2001.

COMPARISON OF FACTORS AND ADJUSTMENTS: FY 2001 FEDERAL RATE AND FY 2002 FEDERAL RATE

	FY 2001	FY 2002	Change	Percent change
Update factor ¹	1.0090	1.0130	1.0130	1.30
GAF/DRG Adjustment Factor ¹	0.9979	0.9934	0.9934	– 0.66
Outlier Adjustment Factor ²	0.9409	0.9424	1.0016	0.16

COMPARISON OF FACTORS AND ADJUSTMENTS: FY 2001 FEDERAL RATE AND FY 2002 FEDERAL RATE—Continued

	FY 2001	FY 2002	Change	Percent change
Exceptions Adjustment Factor ²	0.9785	0.9929	1.0147	1.47
Federal Rate	\$382.03	\$390.74	1.0228	2.28

¹ The update factor and the GAF/DRG budget neutrality factors are built permanently into the rates. Thus, for example, the incremental change from FY 2000 to FY 2001 resulting from the application of the 0.9934 GAF/DRG budget neutrality factor for FY 2001 is 0.9934.

² The outlier reduction factor and the exceptions reduction factor are not built permanently into the rates; that is, these factors are not applied cumulatively in determining the rates. Thus, for example, the net change resulting from the application of the FY 2001 outlier reduction factor is 0.9424/0.9409, or 1.0016.

As stated previously in this section, the FY 2002 Federal rate has increased 2.28 percent compared to the FY 2001 capital Federal rate as a result of the FY 2002 factors and adjustments applied to the capital Federal rate. Specifically, the capital update factor increased the capital Federal rate 1.30 percent over FY 2001. The exceptions reduction factor increased 1.47 percent from 0.9875 to

0.9929 for FY 2002, which results in an increase to the capital Federal rate for FY 2002. Also, the outlier adjustment factor increased 0.16 percent from 0.9409 for FY 2001 to 0.9424 for FY 2002, which results in an increase to the capital Federal rate in FY 2002 compared to FY 2001. The GAF/DRG adjustment factor decreased 0.66 percent from 0.9979 for FY 2001 to

0.9934 for FY 2002, which results in a decrease the capital Federal rate for FY 2002 compared to FY 2001. The effect of all these changes is a 2.28 percent increase in the FY 2002 capital Federal rate compared to FY 2001.

We are also providing a chart that shows how the final FY 2002 capital Federal rate differs from the proposed FY 2002 capital Federal rate.

COMPARISON OF FACTORS AND ADJUSTMENTS: FY 2002 PROPOSED FEDERAL RATE AND FY 2002 FINAL FEDERAL RATE

	Proposed FY 2002	Final FY 2002	Change	Percent change
Update factor	1.0110	1.0130	1.0020	0.20
GAF/DRG Adjustment Factor	0.9913	0.9934	1.0021	0.21
Outlier Adjustment Factor	0.9426	0.9424	0.9998	-0.02
Exceptions Adjustment Factor	0.9925	0.9929	1.0004	0.04
Federal Rate	\$389.09	\$390.74	1.0042	0.42

6. Special Rate for Puerto Rico Hospitals

As explained at the beginning of section II.D. of this Addendum, hospitals in Puerto Rico are paid based on 50 percent of the Puerto Rico rate and 50 percent of the Federal rate. The Puerto Rico rate is derived from the costs of Puerto Rico hospitals only, while the Federal rate is derived from the costs of all acute care hospitals participating in the prospective payment system (including Puerto Rico). To adjust hospitals' capital payments for geographic variations in capital costs, we apply a GAF to both portions of the blended rate. The GAF is calculated using the operating prospective payment system wage index and varies, depending on the MSA or rural area in which the hospital is located. We use the Puerto Rico wage index to determine the GAF for the Puerto Rico part of the capital-blended rate and the national wage index to determine the GAF for the national part of the blended rate.

Because we implemented a separate GAF for Puerto Rico in FY 1998, we also apply separate budget neutrality adjustments for the national GAF and for the Puerto Rico GAF. However, we apply the same budget neutrality factor for DRG reclassifications and

recalibration nationally and for Puerto Rico. The Puerto Rico GAF budget neutrality factor is 0.9899, while the DRG adjustment is 0.9967, for a combined cumulative adjustment of 0.9866.

In computing the payment for a particular Puerto Rico hospital, the Puerto Rico portion of the rate (50 percent) is multiplied by the Puerto Rico-specific GAF for the MSA in which the hospital is located, and the national portion of the rate (50 percent) is multiplied by the national GAF for the MSA in which the hospital is located (which is computed from national data for all hospitals in the United States and Puerto Rico). In FY 1998, we implemented a 17.78 percent reduction to the Puerto Rico rate as a result of Public Law 105-33.

For FY 2001, before application of the GAF, the special rate for Puerto Rico hospitals was \$185.06. As explained previously, in accordance with section 547 of Public Law 106-554, the FY 2002 adjustments and rates are based on the FY 2001 rates published in the August 1, 2000 final rule. With the changes we proposed to the factors used to determine the rate, the proposed FY 2002 special rate for Puerto Rico was \$188.67. In this final rule, based on the

final factors, the FY 2002 capital rate for Puerto Rico is \$187.73.

7. Changes in the Capital Prospective Payment System Rates for FY 2001

In the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period, we implemented section 301(b) of Public Law 106-554 (66 FR 32180).

Section 301(b) of Public Law 106-554 provided a special rule to implement the full market basket update to inpatient hospital operating prospective payment rates for FY 2001. Under this special rule, for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2000 and before April 1, 2001, the update factor for inpatient prospective payment system hospitals (other than SCHs) is equal to the market basket percentage increase minus 1.1 percentage points. For discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001 and before October 1, 2001, the update factor for the payment rates for inpatient prospective payment system hospitals (other than SCHs) is equal to the market basket percentage increase plus 1.1 percentage points. Section 547 of Public Law 106-554 makes this special rule applicable solely to payments in FY 2001, and the payment increases resulting for FY 2001 are not taken into

account in developing payments for future fiscal years.

As directed by the special rule in section 301(b) of Public Law 106-554, any discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2000, and before April 1, 2001, will be paid in accordance with the standardized amounts set forth in the FY 2001 hospital inpatient prospective payment system final rule published in the August 1, 2000 **Federal Register** (65 FR 47126). These rates were calculated using the market basket percentage increase of 3.4 percent minus 1.1 percentage points, for a 2.3 percent increase (see 65 FR 47112), as directed by section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of the Act, prior to the passage of Public Law 106-554.

As stated in the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period, to implement the special rule under section 301(b) of Public Law 106-554, we recomputed the standardized amounts effective for discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001. That is, we replaced the update factor of 2.3 percent applied to the standardized amounts in the August 1, 2000 final rule, with the update factor of 4.5 percent (the market basket percentage increase plus 1.1 percentage point, or 3.4 plus 1.1 percentage points).

As published in the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period (66 FR 32180), the revised capital Federal rate for discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001, and before October 1, 2001, are shown in the table below.

FINAL FY 2001 CAPITAL RATES

[Effective April 1, 2001 to October 1, 2001]

National Rate	\$380.85
Puerto Rico Rate	\$184.61

Section 1886(d)(3)(B) of the Act directs the Secretary to adjust the inpatient operating national standardized amounts to account for the estimated proportion of operating DRG payments made to payments in outlier cases. Accordingly, as a result of this change to the update to the operating standardized amounts for discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001, and before October 1, 2001, we revised the fixed-loss outlier thresholds. The regulations at § 412.312(c) establish a unified outlier methodology for inpatient operating and inpatient capital-related costs, which utilizes a single set of thresholds to identify outlier cases for both inpatient operating and inpatient capital prospective payment system payments. Because operating DRG payments increased as a result of section 301 of Public Law 106-554, we decreased the fixed-loss

threshold. The decrease in the outlier threshold also results in an increase in the estimated outlier payments for capital from 5.91 percent to 6.21 percent. Thus, the capital national outlier adjustment factor was revised from 0.9409 (as specified in the August 1, 2000 final rule (65 FR 47121)) to 0.9379 (as specified in the June 13, 2001 interim final rule with comment period).

As stated earlier, the basic methodology for determining the capital Federal rate is set forth in §§ 412.308 through 412.352. Although the operating update to the standardized amounts was affected by section 301 of Public Law 106-554, the standard capital Federal rate update remained unchanged (0.9 percent). The exceptions adjustment factor was determined based on an estimate of the ratio of exception payments to total capital payments. As a result of the fixed-cost outlier threshold, which affects total capital payments, in order to maintain budget neutrality for exception payments, we revised the exception adjustment factor from 0.9785 to 0.9787. The national GAF/DRG budget neutrality factor was also revised from 0.9979 to 0.9978. The Puerto Rico GAF/DRG budget neutrality factor remained unchanged (1.0037). Accordingly, as a result of the revisions to the capital outlier reduction factor and the capital exceptions adjustment factor, for discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001, and before October 1, 2001, the national capital Federal rate was revised from \$382.03 (65 FR 47127) to \$380.85 and the Puerto Rico capital rate was revised from \$185.06 (65 FR 47127) to \$184.61.

In accordance with § 412.328(e), the hospital-specific rate is determined using the update factor and the exceptions adjustment factor. As a result of revising the exceptions adjustment factor to account for the change to the fixed-loss outlier threshold resulting from the special payment rule for FY 2001 provided for under section 301(b) of Public Law 106-554, for discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001, and before October 1, 2001, the cumulative net adjustment to the hospital-specific rate was revised from 1.0147 (65 FR 47124) to 1.0145. For discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2001, and before October 1, 2001, the hospital-specific rate was determined by multiplying the FY 2000 hospital-specific rate by the cumulative net adjustment of 1.0145.

B. Calculation of Inpatient Capital-Related Prospective Payments for FY 2002

With the end of the capital prospective payment system transition period, all hospitals (except "new" hospitals under § 412.324(b)) will be paid based on 100 percent of the Federal rate in FY 2002. The applicable Federal rate was determined by making adjustments as follows:

- For outliers, by dividing the standard Federal rate by the outlier reduction factor for that fiscal year; and
- For the payment adjustments applicable to the hospital, by multiplying the hospital's GAF, disproportionate share adjustment factor, and IME adjustment factor, when appropriate.

For purposes of calculating payments for each discharge during FY 2002, the standard Federal rate is adjusted as follows: (Standard Federal Rate) × (DRG weight) × (GAF) × (Large Urban Add-on, if applicable) × (COLA adjustment for hospitals located in Alaska and Hawaii) × (1 + Disproportionate Share Adjustment Factor + IME Adjustment Factor, if applicable). The result is the adjusted Federal rate.

Hospitals also may receive outlier payments for those cases that qualify FY under the thresholds established for each fiscal year. Section 412.312(c) provides for a single set of thresholds to identify outlier cases for both inpatient operating and inpatient capital-related payments. The outlier thresholds for FY 2002 are in section II.A.4.c. of this Addendum. For FY 2002, a case qualifies as a cost outlier if the cost for the case plus the IME and DSH payments is greater than the prospective payment rate for the DRG plus \$21,025.

During the capital prospective payment system transition period, a hospital also may receive an additional payment under the regular exceptions process through its cost reporting period beginning before October 1, 2001, but ending in FY 2002 if its total inpatient capital-related payments are less than a minimum percentage of its allowable Medicare inpatient capital-related costs. The minimum payment level is established by class of hospital under § 412.348(c). Under § 412.348(d), the amount of a regular exceptions payment is determined by comparing the cumulative payments made to the hospital under the capital prospective payment system to the cumulative minimum payment levels applicable to the hospital for each cost reporting period subject to that system. Any amount by which the hospital's cumulative payments exceed its

cumulative minimum payment is deducted from the additional payment that would otherwise be payable for a cost reporting period.

An eligible hospital may qualify for a special exception payment under § 412.348(g) for up through the 10th year beyond the end of the capital transition period if it meets (1) a project need requirement described at § 412.348(g)(2), which in the case of certain urban hospitals includes an excess capacity test; and (2) a project size requirement as described at § 412.348(g)(5). Eligible hospitals include sole community hospitals, urban hospitals with at least 100 beds that have a DSH patient percentage of at least 20.2 percent, and hospitals that have a combined Medicare and Medicaid inpatient utilization of at least 70 percent. Under § 412.348(g)(8), the amount of a special exceptions payment is determined by comparing the cumulative payments made to the hospital under the capital prospective payment system to the cumulative minimum payment level. This amount is offset by (1) any amount by which a hospital's cumulative capital payments exceed its cumulative minimum payment levels applicable under the regular exceptions process for cost reporting periods beginning during which the hospital has been subject to the capital prospective payment system; and (2) any amount by which a hospital's current year operating and capital payments (excluding 75 percent of operating DSH payments) exceed its operating and capital costs. The minimum payment level is 70 percent for all eligible hospitals under § 412.348(g).

New hospitals, as defined under § 412.300, are exempted from the capital prospective payment system for their first 2 years of operation and are paid 85 percent of their reasonable costs during that period. A new hospital's old capital costs are its allowable costs for capital assets that were put in use for patient care on or before the later of December 31, 1990, or the last day of the hospital's base year cost reporting period, and are subject to the rules pertaining to old capital and obligated capital as of the applicable date. Effective with the third year of operation through the remainder of the transition period, we will pay the hospital under either the fully prospective methodology, using the appropriate transition blend in that Federal fiscal year, or the hold-harmless methodology. If the hold-harmless methodology is applicable, the hold-harmless payment for assets in use during the base period would extend for

8 years, even if the hold-harmless payments extend beyond the normal transition period.

C. Capital Input Price Index

1. Background

Like the operating input price index, the capital input price index (CIPI) is a fixed-weight price index that measures the price changes associated with costs during a given year. The CIPI differs from the operating input price index in one important aspect—the CIPI reflects the vintage nature of capital, which is the acquisition and use of capital over time. Capital expenses in any given year are determined by the stock of capital in that year (that is, capital that remains on hand from all current and prior capital acquisitions). An index measuring capital price changes needs to reflect this vintage nature of capital. Therefore, the CIPI was developed to capture the vintage nature of capital by using a weighted-average of past capital purchase prices up to and including the current year.

Using Medicare cost reports, American Hospital Association (AHA) data, and Securities Data Company data, a vintage-weighted price index was developed to measure price increases associated with capital expenses. We periodically update the base year for the operating and capital input prices to reflect the changing composition of inputs for operating and capital expenses. Currently, the CIPI is based to FY 1992 and was last rebased in 1997. The most recent discussion of the cost category weights in the CIPI was in the final rule with comment period for FY 1998 published on August 29, 1997 (62 FR 46050).

2. Forecast of the CIPI for Federal Fiscal Year 2002

We are forecasting the CIPI to increase 0.7 percent for FY 2002. This reflects a projected 1.4 percent increase in vintage-weighted depreciation prices (building and fixed equipment, and movable equipment) and a 3.3 percent increase in other capital expense prices in FY 2002, partially offset by a 2.0 percent decline in vintage-weighted interest rates in FY 2002. The weighted average of these three factors produces the 0.7 percent increase for the CIPI as a whole.

IV. Changes to Payment Rates for Excluded Hospitals and Hospital Units: Rate-of-Increase Percentages

The inpatient operating costs of hospitals and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system are subject to rate-of-increase limits established under the authority of

section 1886(b) of the Act, which is implemented in regulations at § 413.40. Under these limits, a hospital-specific target amount (expressed in terms of the inpatient operating cost per discharge) is set for each hospital, based on the hospital's own historical cost experience trended forward by the applicable rate-of-increase percentages (update factors). In the case of a psychiatric hospital or hospital unit, a rehabilitation hospital or hospital unit, or a long-term care hospital, the target amount may not exceed the updated figure for the 75th percentile of target amounts adjusted to take into account differences between average wage-related costs in the area of the hospital and the national average of such costs within the same class of hospital for hospitals and units in the same class (psychiatric, rehabilitation, and long-term care) for cost reporting periods ending during FY 1996. The target amount is multiplied by the number of Medicare discharges in a hospital's cost reporting period, yielding the ceiling on aggregate Medicare inpatient operating costs for the cost reporting period.

Each hospital-specific target amount is adjusted annually, at the beginning of each hospital's cost reporting period, by an applicable update factor.

Section 1886(b)(3)(B) of the Act, which is implemented in regulations at § 413.40(c)(3)(vii), provides that for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1998 and before October 1, 2002, the update factor for a hospital or unit depends on the hospital's or hospital unit's costs in relation to the ceiling for the most recent cost reporting period for which information is available. For hospitals with costs exceeding the ceiling by 10 percent or more, the update factor is the market basket increase. For hospitals with costs exceeding the ceiling by less than 10 percent, the update factor is the market basket minus .25 percent for each percentage point by which costs are less than 10 percent over the ceiling. For hospitals with costs equal to or less than the ceiling but greater than 66.7 percent of the ceiling, the update factor is the greater of 0 percent or the market basket minus 2.5 percent. For hospitals with costs that do not exceed 66.7 percent of the ceiling, the update factor is 0.

The most recent forecast of the market basket increase for FY 2002 for hospitals and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system is 3.3 percent. Therefore, the update to a hospital's target amount for its cost reporting period beginning in FY 2002 would be between 0.8 and 3.3 percent, or 0 percent, depending on the

hospital's or unit's costs in relation to its rate-of-increase limit.

In addition, § 413.40(c)(4)(iii) requires that for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1998, and before October 1, 2002, the target amount for each psychiatric hospital or hospital unit, rehabilitation hospital or hospital unit, and long-term care hospital cannot exceed a cap on the target amounts for hospitals in the same class.

Section 1886(b)(3)(H) of the Act, as amended by section 121 of Public Law 106–113, provides for an appropriate wage adjustment to the caps on the target amounts for psychiatric hospitals and units, rehabilitation hospitals and units, and long-term care hospitals, effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1999, through September 30, 2002. On August 1, 2000, we published an interim final rule with comment period that implemented this provision for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1999 and before October 1, 2000 (65 FR 47026) and a final rule that implemented the provision for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2000, and before October 1, 2001 (65 FR 47054). This final rule addresses the wage adjustment to the caps for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2001.

As discussed in section VI. of the preamble of this final rule, the cap on the target amount per discharge is determined by adding the hospital's nonlabor-related portion of the national 75th percentile cap to its wage-adjusted, labor-related portion of the national 75th percentile cap (the labor-related portion of costs equals 0.71553 and the nonlabor-related portion of costs equals 0.28447). A hospital's wage-adjusted, labor-related portion of the target amount is calculated by multiplying the labor-related portion of the national 75th percentile cap for the hospital's class by the wage index under the hospital inpatient prospective payment system (see § 412.63), without taking into account reclassifications under

sections 1886(d)(8)(B) and (d)(10) of the Act.

As discussed in section VI. of the preamble of this final rule, we have made an adjustment to the caps on target amounts for new and existing excluded hospitals and units. In calculating the wage-adjusted caps on target amounts for new and existing excluded and units for FY 2001, we inadvertently made an error. In wage neutralizing FY 1996 target amounts, we used the FY 2000 hospital inpatient prospective payment system wage index published in Tables 4A and 4B of the July 30, 1999 final rule (64 FR 41585 through 41593), which is based on wage data after taking into account geographic reclassifications under section 1886(d)(8) of the Act. We have used pre-reclassified wage data in our recalculation of the caps for FY 2002. We recalculated both the limits for new excluded hospitals and units and the caps for existing excluded hospitals and units, using the same wage index used under the prospective payment system for skilled nursing facilities (SNF) as shown in Table 7 of the July 30, 1999 SNF final rule (64 FR 41690). We do not anticipate a significant impact on overall payments to these hospitals and units.

Section 307(a) of Public Law 106–554 amended section 1886(b)(3) of the Act to provide for a 2-percent increase to the wage-adjusted 75th percentile cap on the target amount for long-term care hospitals, effective for cost reporting periods beginning during FY 2001. This provision is applicable to long-term care hospitals that were subject to the cap for existing excluded hospitals and units, as specified in § 413.40(c).

In addition to the increase to the cap on the target amounts for long-term care hospitals, section 307(a) of Public Law 106–554 amended section 1886(b)(3)(A) of the Act to make the section applicable to all long-term care hospitals, effective for cost reporting periods beginning during FY 2001. This provision requires a revision to the

determination of each long-term care hospital's FY 2001 target amount as specified in § 413.40(c)(4). For cost reporting periods beginning during FY 2001, the hospital-specific target amount otherwise determined for a long-term care hospital as specified under § 413.40(c)(4)(ii) is multiplied by 1.25 (that is, increased by 25 percent). However, the revised FY 2001 target amount for a long-term care hospital cannot exceed its wage-adjusted national cap as required by section 1886(b)(3) of the Act, as amended by section 307(a) of Public Law 106–554.

For cost reporting periods beginning in FY 2002, in the May 4, 2001 proposed rule, we included the following proposed caps:

Class of excluded hospital or unit	Labor-related share	Nonlabor-related share
Psychiatric	\$8,404	\$ 3,341
Rehabilitation	\$15,689	\$6,237
Long-Term Care	\$31,399	\$12,483

In this final rule, using updated data, we have recalculated the proposed caps for cost reporting periods beginning in FY 2002. The final FY 2002 caps are listed below:

Class of excluded hospital or unit	Labor-related share	Nonlabor-related share
Psychiatric	\$8,429	\$3,351
Rehabilitation	\$15,736	\$6,256
Long-Term Care	\$31,490	\$12,519

Regulations at § 413.40(d) specify the formulas for determining bonus and relief payments for excluded hospitals and specify established criteria for an additional bonus payment for continuous improvement. Regulations at § 413.40(f)(2)(ii) specify the payment methodology for new hospitals and hospital units (psychiatric, rehabilitation, and long-term care) effective October 1, 1997.

V. Tables

This section contains the tables referred to throughout the preamble to this final rule and in this Addendum. For purposes of this final rule, and to avoid confusion, we have retained the designations of Tables 1 and 5 that were first used in the September 1, 1983 initial prospective payment final rule (48 FR 39844). Tables 1A, 1C, 1D, 2, 3A, 3B, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4F, 4G, 4H, 5, 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D, 6E, 6F, 6G, 6H, 7A, 7B, 8A, and 8B are presented below. The tables presented below are as follows:

Table 1A—National Adjusted Operating Standardized Amounts, Labor/Nonlabor

Table 1C—Adjusted Operating Standardized Amounts for Puerto Rico, Labor/Nonlabor

Table 1D—Capital Standard Federal Payment Rate

Table 2—Hospital Average Hourly Wage for Federal Fiscal Years 2000 (1996 Wage Data), 2001 (1997 Wage Data) and 2002 (1998 Wage Data) Wage

Indexes and 3-Year Average of Hospital Average Hourly Wages
Table 3A—FY 2002 and 3-Year Average Hourly Wage for Urban Areas

Table 3B—FY 2002 and 3-Year Average Hourly Wage for Rural Areas

Table 4A—Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Urban Areas

Table 4B—Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Rural Areas

Table 4C—Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Hospitals That Are Reclassified

Table 4F—Puerto Rico Wage Index and Capital Geographic –Adjustment Factor (GAF)

Table 4G—Pre-Reclassified Wage Index for Urban Areas

Table 4H—Pre-Reclassified Wage Index for Rural Areas

Table 5—List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGs), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay

Table 6A—New Diagnosis Codes

Table 6B—New Procedure Codes

Table 6C—Invalid Diagnosis Codes

Table 6D—Invalid Procedure Codes

Table 6E—Revised Diagnosis Code Titles

Table 6F—Revised Procedure Code Titles

Table 6G—Additions to the CC Exclusions List

Table 6H—Deletions to the CC Exclusions List

Table 7A—Medicare Prospective Payment System Selected –Percentile Lengths of Stay FY 2000 MedPAR Update 3/01 –GROUPE V18.0

Table 7B—Medicare Prospective Payment System Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay FY 2000 MedPAR Update 3/01 GROUPE V19.0

Table 8A—Statewide Average Operating Cost-to-Charge Ratios for Urban and Rural Hospitals (Case Weighted) July 2001

Table 8B—Statewide Average Capital Cost-to-Charge Ratios (Case Weighted) July 2001

TABLE 1A.—NATIONAL ADJUSTED OPERATING STANDARDIZED AMOUNTS, LABOR/NONLABOR

Large Urban Areas		Other Areas	
Labor-related	Nonlabor-related	Labor-related	Nonlabor-related
\$2,955.44	\$1,201.30	\$2,908.65	\$1,182.27

TABLE 1C.—ADJUSTED OPERATING STANDARDIZED AMOUNTS FOR PUERTO RICO, LABOR/NONLABOR

	Large Urban Areas		Other Areas	
	Labor	Nonlabor	Labor	Nonlabor
National PR	\$2,929.57	\$1,190.78	\$2,929.57	\$1,190.78
Puerto Rico	1,420.07	571.61	1,397.59	562.56

TABLE 1D.—CAPITAL STANDARD FEDERAL PAYMENT RATE

	Rate
National	\$390.74
Puerto Rico	187.73

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
010001	15.8484	16.4088	17.4467	16.5711
010004	15.0194	17.9732	19.0010	17.1863
010005	16.2615	17.5985	18.6554	17.4986
010006	17.3081	16.7480	17.6115	17.2150

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
010007	14.8048	15.4798	15.6788	15.3288
010008	17.6549	14.7443	17.4728	16.6080
010009	17.5328	18.7731	18.4979	18.2633
010010	15.9090	16.4468	16.4664	16.2848
010011	20.6261	20.7972	22.4292	21.2601
010012	19.2992	17.7171	15.8686	17.5430
010015	18.3461	15.4510	19.1178	17.5372
010016	16.1311	17.2473	20.2198	17.8844
010018	18.9617	17.6449	18.9388	18.5180
010019	15.4910	16.3493	17.0856	16.3311
010021	14.6297	16.2919	15.1241	15.3000
010022	20.5050	18.5879	17.6435	18.8422
010023	16.2581	16.1025	16.3209	16.2283
010024	16.0263	16.2900	15.9034	16.0692
010025	14.5311	15.1356	15.1548	14.9441
010027	14.9278	11.7900	16.8595	14.1053
010029	16.4103	17.6461	18.3605	17.4403
010031	18.0194	18.7835	18.6402	18.4877
010032	12.6540	12.5995	15.3590	13.6017
010033	19.6797	20.3923	21.2986	20.4581
010034	14.7342	15.0959	15.3639	15.0606
010035	17.4788	20.1853	15.9439	17.6916
010036	17.2880	17.8140	17.7166	17.6061
010038	18.3309	18.2671	19.6098	18.7632
010039	18.8080	20.1045	20.3406	19.7778
010040	19.1030	18.9376	20.0983	19.3415
010043	16.2022	30.7489	18.6640	19.9982
010044	17.0229	22.0091	24.0265	20.8906
010045	15.0065	15.2200	17.0417	15.7248
010046	17.1822	17.3970	18.9737	17.8750
010047	16.3803	13.3521	15.4190	15.2030
010049	14.4823	14.7590	15.5246	14.9487
010050	15.4159	18.5163	17.9830	17.2796
010051	9.9390	11.9275	11.8108	11.1940
010052	13.8649	16.5486	18.0653	16.1248
010053	13.1778	14.6267	15.5649	14.5406
010054	17.1246	18.5103	19.4955	18.4846
010055	18.1930	18.9526	18.8590	18.6711
010056	19.0783	19.2175	19.6577	19.3204
010058	12.7809	16.1702	16.9715	15.1274
010059	18.1886	19.1286	18.8020	18.7124
010061	15.9215	14.9547	14.5003	15.1112
010062	13.5690	14.7732	12.3259	13.5151
010064	20.8966	20.4139	19.5256	20.2712
010065	15.6357	16.4049	16.8752	16.3279
010066	12.0681	15.4317	13.1559	13.4757
010068	18.7367	12.0525	18.6925	15.8875
010069	13.5684	13.8636	14.7211	14.0429
010072	14.3481	14.9526	16.2339	15.1957
010073	12.8328	13.8601	14.1273	13.6015
010078	17.7110	17.9202	18.1363	17.9248
010079	16.8701	16.4421	17.0648	16.7882
010080	13.8473	*	*	13.8473
010081	16.9823	18.9474	17.2996	17.7081
010083	16.2146	16.8933	18.0312	17.0916
010084	18.7794	18.4965	18.7769	18.6812
010085	18.8696	18.4744	19.9023	19.0736
010086	14.9255	16.6694	16.5711	16.0968
010087	18.3889	19.0033	18.0567	18.5192
010089	16.6090	16.8042	17.7800	17.0521
010090	18.1121	18.3866	18.9445	18.4882
010091	16.3620	13.9405	17.0799	15.6820
010092	16.4980	16.9900	17.8144	17.1322

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
010094	18.5603	*	*	18.5603
010095	11.8993	12.4525	12.2597	12.2090
010097	12.8955	13.0413	12.7286	12.8889
010098	14.2787	15.9165	14.0300	14.6833
010099	15.9309	15.9874	15.5619	15.8073
010100	15.4826	17.2011	17.9430	16.9229
010101	15.4173	15.3859	14.4625	15.0781
010102	12.7251	13.7933	13.8136	13.4259
010103	19.3115	17.9358	17.7242	18.3325
010104	18.0997	17.7126	16.8457	17.5501
010108	20.7914	17.9017	19.4617	19.3047
010109	14.0870	15.3107	14.6752	14.6907
010110	15.9066	15.6317	15.8283	15.7917
010112	15.1056	15.1401	16.8271	15.6716
010113	17.2440	16.9683	16.8936	17.0309
010114	17.2612	15.2454	17.0760	16.4722
010115	13.7524	14.6268	14.2261	14.2120
010118	16.6889	18.8477	17.0834	17.5145
010119	18.1707	18.8024	19.3942	18.9605
010120	17.0332	17.2336	18.2567	17.5146
010121	15.1806	14.6444	14.5262	14.8160
010123	18.1604	16.7344	19.2140	17.9949
010124	16.2666	16.2846	16.7465	16.4273
010125	14.4153	15.5304	16.0136	15.3557
010126	17.6405	19.5710	19.1065	18.7347
010127	19.6095	19.5190	18.2786	19.1726
010128	12.5747	14.5056	14.4322	13.6385
010129	14.4267	14.7286	16.1733	15.1385
010130	16.3465	16.6809	19.5573	17.3907
010131	17.9076	17.8260	20.1883	18.6602
010134	10.7817	18.8835	19.9856	15.8677
010137	15.9348	12.1217	20.5828	15.9236
010138	12.1295	12.8675	14.5254	13.1763
010139	19.9487	19.0001	20.4331	19.7578
010143	15.7144	16.7911	17.6212	16.7651
010144	17.1211	17.1320	18.2040	17.4771
010145	20.7460	20.8434	20.5895	20.7209
010146	18.8561	18.5198	19.1415	18.8309
010148	14.6443	12.2214	15.8349	13.9784
010149	17.0836	18.6333	18.0156	17.9216
010150	16.9749	17.8951	18.9359	17.9332
010152	17.3835	17.8306	18.7677	18.0088
010155	16.7028	9.0300	15.0689	12.5183
010158	*	17.3227	18.3957	17.8637
020001	27.9690	28.1747	28.0394	28.0627
020002	26.9145	24.5815	25.1987	25.5092
020004	26.3979	30.5667	25.4679	27.5927
020005	29.0068	30.2920	29.2378	29.5337
020006	26.7706	31.2404	28.1417	28.8630
020007	24.9555	27.8319	32.3852	28.0097
020008	30.4712	29.4146	30.8691	30.2487
020009	23.1801	20.1930	18.4660	20.3801
020010	18.6417	23.6727	22.7559	21.4818
020011	29.4697	30.4727	28.0658	29.3006
020012	23.9259	24.8543	25.5320	24.7635
020013	26.8172	23.8847	28.1557	26.0576
020014	24.0932	27.3823	24.5875	25.3179
020017	24.9714	26.8319	28.0572	26.6405
020024	22.7263	24.0872	25.3205	24.0621
020025	27.1529	21.7557	20.2583	22.6334
030001	19.8695	20.3673	21.7869	20.6506
030002	21.6263	21.5977	21.8375	21.6886
030003	23.6722	23.4833	22.6804	23.3063

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
030004	17.7333	14.0711	15.5478	15.4308
030006	17.6409	18.2668	20.0273	18.6274
030007	18.5602	19.6708	21.5169	19.9379
030008	*	22.2758	22.2190	22.2524
030009	17.9343	18.1794	18.7557	18.2786
030010	18.7997	19.0907	19.5123	19.1422
030011	20.0784	19.2973	19.4310	19.5785
030012	19.4245	18.9918	20.6585	19.6997
030013	21.0182	20.7458	20.0535	20.5870
030014	19.4697	19.9315	19.7966	19.7342
030016	20.5606	19.3967	19.4785	19.8559
030017	20.4185	22.8765	21.7938	21.6805
030018	18.9115	20.2032	20.8980	20.0193
030019	19.9211	21.7005	21.2540	20.9846
030022	15.7886	19.2966	19.5794	17.6713
030023	22.4365	23.6697	24.1678	23.4686
030024	21.6692	22.2541	23.6009	22.5290
030025	17.6759	12.7254	11.9894	13.7385
030027	17.5796	15.7554	17.6555	16.9563
030030	21.6249	20.8303	21.6932	21.3795
030033	16.8396	20.0044	20.2820	18.9069
030034	19.0868	16.8241	20.8689	18.8279
030035	19.7153	19.2781	20.0226	19.6580
030036	18.9449	20.7567	21.6371	20.4743
030037	21.4376	22.8266	23.7615	22.6712
030038	22.0777	22.6776	22.9822	22.5885
030040	17.9722	18.5456	19.7636	18.7537
030041	17.4389	15.8921	18.8717	17.2718
030043	20.7721	20.9341	20.5598	20.7468
030044	16.4654	16.8649	17.6575	17.0214
030047	19.6916	22.6401	21.4412	21.2271
030049	19.0896	19.0881	19.3580	19.1639
030054	14.4861	15.3338	15.0657	14.9801
030055	18.2751	16.3613	20.2991	18.2684
030059	21.7100	24.0465	22.6279	22.7570
030060	16.7661	19.2461	18.6313	18.2043
030061	17.3470	18.9063	19.9047	18.7238
030062	17.4825	17.6738	18.7172	17.9978
030064	18.5391	19.5673	20.3837	19.5213
030065	19.9277	20.5130	20.7838	20.4254
030067	15.6207	14.4446	17.2778	15.7364
030068	17.3482	17.3614	17.7208	17.4823
030069	19.0013	19.0961	21.0936	19.7255
030080	19.9865	20.5144	20.6581	20.3684
030083	23.6433	23.3355	23.5229	23.4991
030085	17.8402	21.0954	20.8690	19.9451
030086	18.5030	19.5436	*	19.0352
030087	20.0469	21.4084	21.9465	21.1838
030088	19.5772	19.8682	20.5340	20.0152
030089	19.9018	20.4019	20.9516	20.4404
030092	21.5628	20.6986	21.8308	21.3646
030093	19.4688	19.7262	20.4314	19.9052
030094	19.4773	21.6218	22.8123	21.4086
030095	14.2499	13.7293	13.7664	13.9087
030099	18.0747	16.1541	18.2263	17.4781
030100	*	*	23.7609	23.7609
030101	*	*	19.2547	19.2547
030102	*	*	18.2413	18.2413
040001	15.5735	15.1624	16.9178	15.8741
040002	14.0865	13.0592	15.1107	14.0333
040003	14.0027	14.2089	15.5740	14.5731
040004	17.2926	17.8476	17.9034	17.6718
040005	12.8825	13.2597	11.1318	12.3937

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
040007	19.5299	21.9583	18.6998	19.9568
040008	12.6974	15.3040	14.7985	14.3087
040010	17.6231	18.6023	19.4913	18.6031
040011	12.2654	14.5319	16.0995	14.1756
040014	15.3853	17.6340	18.1434	17.0051
040015	14.6045	16.5891	15.5207	15.5649
040016	17.5431	19.0295	20.2321	18.9152
040017	14.9533	13.5098	15.4736	14.6592
040018	17.5602	17.6027	18.7463	17.9749
040019	25.7080	22.6769	23.4163	23.8479
040020	14.8059	16.4827	18.9844	16.6335
040021	16.4628	17.6398	19.6835	17.8176
040022	16.0006	17.0397	20.8281	17.7640
040024	15.7282	14.4541	17.6607	15.9615
040025	10.9496	11.5079	13.4705	11.8847
040026	18.2398	19.5563	19.7924	19.1863
040027	14.5406	16.0975	17.4431	16.0716
040028	12.8409	14.6584	13.9946	13.7921
040029	17.7777	17.8787	21.1370	18.9480
040030	14.1541	13.5428	11.2402	12.7784
040032	13.3280	13.7030	13.2872	13.4471
040035	11.2123	12.8300	10.9569	11.6408
040036	17.9080	18.9757	20.2012	19.0415
040037	13.4815	14.6559	14.0941	14.0704
040039	13.8386	14.3576	14.7177	14.3115
040040	17.4283	18.0895	19.1984	18.2668
040041	13.3613	15.9896	16.4624	15.2103
040042	14.6641	15.2142	15.2057	15.0333
040044	11.4422	12.6275	13.3501	12.5381
040045	18.7724	14.9429	16.2469	16.4870
040047	16.3948	16.8654	17.5336	16.9538
040048	15.8203	*	*	15.8203
040050	11.7934	13.3818	14.0036	13.0341
040051	16.2803	15.8627	16.6039	16.2390
040053	15.8193	16.3610	15.0219	15.7502
040054	15.0412	15.3219	14.2577	14.8844
040055	16.1029	17.1269	18.0414	17.0866
040058	15.6706	17.6766	16.4278	16.6344
040060	11.4686	12.8148	17.9805	13.6105
040062	17.2757	18.2048	17.8902	17.8204
040064	12.4007	10.7255	11.5029	11.4801
040066	17.6429	18.3377	19.7144	18.5416
040067	13.4930	14.6014	14.4741	14.1956
040069	16.1147	17.5052	17.0026	16.8681
040070	15.4757	16.9027	16.9700	16.4358
040071	16.3022	16.9610	17.6144	16.9553
040072	15.8425	16.0895	17.4960	16.4940
040074	17.3819	18.3224	18.7542	18.1968
040075	12.7496	13.3623	14.0975	13.3977
040076	18.5512	19.0732	20.5840	19.3801
040077	12.4625	12.9211	13.9114	13.0965
040078	17.8573	18.7600	18.5821	18.4100
040080	15.7397	19.2461	19.3707	18.0636
040081	10.6791	11.3169	11.1332	11.0311
040082	16.5127	16.2152	15.1331	15.9302
040084	17.2469	17.2613	17.7295	17.4070
040085	15.7765	16.8957	16.5216	16.3838
040088	15.6710	17.9636	17.1624	16.9372
040090	17.5503	17.8282	19.0824	18.0989
040091	17.0444	19.8700	20.1378	18.8893
040093	12.9010	12.3537	13.9741	13.0114
040100	14.9688	14.7587	15.6833	15.1704
040105	14.2409	15.3319	14.3896	14.6616

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
040106	15.4000	15.6545	18.1341	16.4515
040107	19.6184	18.8120	17.8628	18.6841
040109	13.9807	14.6266	16.6278	15.0815
040114	18.3133	18.8743	21.1231	19.3828
040116	19.5695	20.2716	*	19.9151
040118	17.4300	19.3720	18.2123	18.3407
040119	15.3847	15.5338	16.9407	15.9572
040124	17.2547	19.1349	19.2889	18.5723
040126	11.6845	12.5368	11.6517	11.9404
040132	13.1760	17.5179	10.3875	13.4483
040134	*	18.0787	19.0185	18.5701
040135	*	22.6761	23.0084	22.8797
050002	27.6006	37.8295	36.9630	33.5586
050006	19.5272	19.5594	18.2061	19.0382
050007	29.5398	30.7126	30.8676	30.4910
050008	25.8570	26.2458	26.3682	26.1654
050009	26.2506	26.8159	28.4734	27.2303
050013	24.8541	23.2201	28.0569	25.1985
050014	24.5302	22.8478	23.6745	23.6450
050015	25.3838	26.2481	27.7731	26.4938
050016	20.1542	20.5566	21.2045	20.6377
050017	23.6639	23.9625	25.6178	24.4113
050018	14.6622	15.4721	15.2903	15.1444
050021	28.5003	25.8966	*	27.2682
050022	22.9583	24.0318	24.5254	23.8802
050024	20.3427	21.3989	22.4274	21.4070
050025	21.9952	23.3896	24.8245	23.3764
050026	28.6850	27.8736	23.1904	26.4206
050028	16.4531	16.4671	17.6138	16.8496
050029	23.2911	25.1259	24.6839	24.3441
050030	21.0096	20.9812	21.5621	21.1955
050032	22.5868	25.2010	24.3598	24.0616
050033	24.5609	24.9328	32.0179	27.2378
050036	20.4703	21.2420	21.8239	21.1856
050038	27.8274	28.6528	29.9698	28.8293
050039	22.2524	22.7117	22.8288	22.6033
050040	30.6664	32.1287	30.2607	31.0150
050042	22.2343	24.8067	24.5260	23.8317
050043	33.2286	32.9958	33.8255	33.3456
050045	20.7307	19.8831	21.1474	20.5973
050046	31.3831	25.3185	25.2005	27.4555
050047	29.4412	29.9255	29.9580	29.7840
050051	17.8401	17.8945	18.7809	18.1179
050054	19.3686	20.7212	22.0982	20.7075
050055	29.0872	29.3984	29.2730	29.2593
050056	23.8507	27.4321	23.8396	24.9757
050057	21.7581	21.1554	20.7420	21.1969
050058	25.7261	23.1641	23.3009	23.9601
050060	20.9219	20.7747	20.5450	20.7207
050061	23.7443	23.5454	24.5488	23.9503
050063	23.0724	24.8851	25.7593	24.5061
050065	21.1848	24.0420	24.6290	23.1479
050066	21.4187	16.5725	16.1649	17.6784
050067	21.3029	23.1966	25.8857	23.3989
050068	28.4804	20.6851	19.3615	22.4409
050069	29.2980	25.9420	24.6153	26.4351
050070	32.5964	32.5166	34.0721	33.0817
050071	33.1379	33.1850	34.4367	33.6139
050072	32.9660	33.2858	39.7321	35.2928
050073	34.6111	33.3922	32.8555	33.5664
050075	33.5246	33.9095	33.7160	33.7090
050076	33.8835	27.7797	33.9752	31.7128
050077	23.2986	24.1019	24.1404	23.8541

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
050078	22.8023	23.0736	24.3150	23.3638
050079	34.4253	33.2432	30.0167	32.3461
050082	21.7004	22.1009	23.7617	22.5498
050084	23.0966	23.5866	25.4517	24.0054
050088	24.0634	20.8406	24.9641	23.1779
050089	20.0194	20.9117	22.8450	21.2533
050090	23.8969	23.4097	24.6070	23.9625
050091	22.2220	25.2792	23.7713	23.6457
050092	15.3841	16.7969	17.1211	16.4241
050093	24.0837	25.2130	25.6647	24.9860
050095	33.3761	33.6718	30.4847	32.6392
050096	21.6752	20.0487	22.7394	21.3870
050097	22.6147	16.7054	22.5991	20.1968
050099	24.2921	24.8091	25.3722	24.8349
050100	30.0552	29.8758	25.2031	28.1754
050101	30.0132	31.0264	31.8957	30.9871
050102	21.2947	22.2937	24.0014	22.4745
050103	25.3384	24.7932	25.4133	25.1832
050104	25.4407	25.5797	26.9726	25.9841
050107	21.7649	21.2690	22.2019	21.7497
050108	25.2116	23.5564	25.1758	24.5678
050109	26.4768	*	*	26.4768
050110	20.1769	20.1870	19.9589	20.1175
050111	21.7397	21.5487	20.7897	21.3840
050112	26.2922	25.3015	26.8182	26.1335
050113	27.7805	28.8420	28.5224	28.4025
050114	25.9073	24.7286	26.6757	25.7599
050115	21.0499	21.3291	23.0182	21.8124
050116	25.5919	25.2130	24.9196	25.2412
050117	20.4379	23.3612	22.2123	21.9903
050118	23.9976	23.7698	23.7129	23.8243
050121	18.8818	19.5252	18.7272	19.0416
050122	*	26.3172	26.9546	26.6358
050124	23.0193	22.7736	24.5069	23.3667
050125	24.0434	29.6147	32.0230	28.3742
050126	23.8424	23.9247	24.6752	24.1448
050127	19.7654	22.1937	20.9027	20.9520
050128	24.1801	25.7240	26.6132	25.5185
050129	27.1586	26.5030	24.0108	25.7227
050131	29.0570	31.0732	32.5462	30.8106
050132	22.9139	24.0834	24.0173	23.6527
050133	24.4011	24.9746	23.2093	24.1354
050135	27.0341	23.2361	24.7157	24.9796
050136	24.4336	24.7921	24.7280	24.6450
050137	30.0725	32.6507	32.9192	31.8970
050138	37.4088	37.3286	38.1584	37.6483
050139	31.3785	32.9351	31.4984	31.9286
050140	33.6644	34.1499	32.7609	33.4990
050144	25.7483	27.8751	27.4069	26.9409
050145	33.0620	32.3857	34.5185	33.3152
050148	21.0584	21.9211	20.0971	20.9748
050149	23.3754	24.6078	26.8674	24.8666
050150	23.4777	24.9073	24.6596	24.3771
050152	27.7504	34.0766	33.3305	31.5833
050153	29.5915	30.5714	32.3389	30.8441
050155	22.9420	21.0257	25.3354	22.9852
050158	27.9789	27.5623	28.6071	28.0313
050159	25.2105	23.2912	22.5313	23.6099
050167	21.6778	21.9128	21.8796	21.8226
050168	25.2504	23.3511	25.1937	24.5830
050169	24.6361	22.3888	24.8407	23.8796
050170	22.1989	23.9574	24.3654	23.4164
050172	17.6976	20.1841	19.6120	19.1630

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
050173	23.3255	24.5545	24.8694	24.1923
050174	31.2136	30.2140	30.2775	30.5443
050175	27.7875	27.2806	24.7548	26.2477
050177	20.2485	21.7943	21.1396	21.0728
050179	19.2861	21.7175	23.8868	21.4573
050180	32.1883	31.8947	33.3257	32.5107
050183	19.9765	20.3638	*	20.1665
050186	21.9062	22.4155	23.6288	22.6119
050188	27.4364	28.0918	28.2364	27.9460
050189	23.2415	22.8687	27.4071	24.6245
050191	26.7297	20.8321	25.3516	24.1885
050192	17.8095	18.6701	14.1996	16.5873
050193	23.7260	22.6316	24.9444	23.7567
050194	28.2701	29.7371	29.5678	29.1714
050195	34.7789	35.5621	36.9068	35.7823
050196	16.6866	18.5180	18.2411	17.8430
050197	31.4513	35.7449	32.4030	33.0882
050204	24.3944	23.6105	22.7099	23.5849
050205	21.1545	23.6831	24.1691	23.0778
050207	20.8576	21.6214	22.9941	21.8243
050211	31.2175	31.6084	31.7280	31.5153
050213	20.7338	21.4806	21.4951	21.1847
050214	20.8704	21.7335	24.0276	22.1888
050215	28.4058	29.8563	35.0459	31.0290
050217	19.8913	19.6010	20.2042	19.9076
050219	25.4730	21.7444	21.2458	22.6404
050222	27.0713	27.4809	23.3563	25.7959
050224	23.7942	23.5316	23.5101	23.6043
050225	20.7978	23.3480	21.6820	21.9144
050226	26.9297	27.7315	24.4443	26.2380
050228	30.3772	34.0711	34.2596	32.7722
050230	25.3640	27.7357	26.6291	26.5638
050231	25.5798	26.1508	26.7321	26.1759
050232	23.3849	24.3072	24.5245	24.0793
050233	31.3954	*	*	31.3954
050234	28.5188	25.7035	24.6126	26.2702
050235	25.8595	25.2527	27.0922	26.0726
050236	26.2723	26.9803	25.9458	26.4027
050238	24.0043	24.2922	24.5823	24.2994
050239	20.4071	22.6625	23.2711	22.0940
050240	25.2540	26.3657	26.7620	26.0528
050241	27.2198	26.3740	29.8345	27.7426
050242	30.1432	31.1576	32.0829	31.1145
050243	22.9123	28.9635	26.4627	26.1049
050245	24.3969	23.8124	23.2716	23.7873
050248	27.4214	26.2015	27.6457	27.0910
050251	18.4990	21.6574	23.6360	21.1907
050253	20.0658	16.0701	16.7540	17.4281
050254	19.6899	19.3126	20.1176	19.7146
050256	23.5302	23.6887	23.4835	23.5723
050257	19.5923	15.2306	17.2596	17.1813
050260	23.5201	23.2421	27.4234	24.5032
050261	20.4496	20.0552	20.1040	20.2029
050262	29.0054	28.8785	29.5550	29.1532
050264	29.4542	32.1312	36.0331	32.4545
050267	24.7464	26.2264	26.0401	25.6690
050270	23.7260	24.0439	25.3757	24.3521
050272	21.4374	22.4247	23.0587	22.2948
050274	21.1943	20.0422	*	20.6204
050276	28.5051	29.8624	33.3302	30.5715
050277	22.3125	20.0520	26.0822	22.5131
050278	23.8434	24.7787	23.9289	24.1853
050279	21.0570	20.8444	21.8949	21.2309

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
050280	24.4267	25.2149	25.6651	25.1337
050281	18.5907	19.6888	24.2251	20.7934
050282	24.4593	28.8261	25.4428	26.2214
050283	27.8763	29.7734	31.7669	30.1598
050286	17.8045	16.5708	19.4241	17.6805
050289	26.7185	34.1393	30.4750	30.2632
050290	26.3745	28.6231	29.6796	28.2631
050291	26.4908	30.2748	29.4029	28.6899
050292	22.4878	21.6243	20.8410	21.6183
050293	19.1761	22.2963	24.1875	21.4642
050295	20.7393	21.2892	21.7883	21.2665
050296	25.3166	27.2948	28.3906	27.0098
050298	20.5181	24.4477	23.2006	22.6781
050299	25.7697	26.4543	25.5035	25.9187
050300	22.7423	23.5116	25.9228	24.1102
050301	26.0355	22.5201	21.1403	23.0323
050302	29.2007	*	*	29.2007
050305	32.7082	34.5185	36.7908	34.7340
050307	27.9830	17.2147	*	21.7503
050308	28.4019	29.3803	28.9284	28.9113
050309	24.4034	23.7884	25.3515	24.5133
050310	20.6181	*	*	20.6181
050312	23.7936	26.7617	26.0015	25.5439
050313	23.1009	21.7577	25.6827	23.5594
050315	21.9227	24.7086	22.7359	23.0264
050317	19.4479	21.6937	*	20.5789
050320	30.6054	30.4101	32.4809	31.1252
050324	26.2735	26.6049	25.3694	26.0738
050325	23.2355	24.4862	23.6327	23.7872
050327	22.8511	23.9484	25.6450	24.1469
050328	23.1889	*	*	23.1889
050329	21.4125	19.7455	21.6984	20.9322
050331	25.5252	22.2536	25.0230	24.1261
050333	20.1468	19.4589	19.1449	19.5671
050334	32.0169	34.2330	34.2557	33.5307
050335	20.2013	23.0258	22.9926	22.0827
050336	20.0980	20.7979	21.3402	20.7523
050342	19.3524	20.1841	20.8255	20.1210
050343	17.3394	17.2085	*	17.2799
050348	20.7505	23.8779	25.1085	23.3219
050349	15.0515	14.9754	15.0667	15.0310
050350	25.0676	24.8340	26.4161	25.4163
050351	24.6936	25.4791	24.8121	24.9948
050352	23.5927	26.1380	26.4262	25.4187
050353	23.2468	23.0564	23.2699	23.1944
050355	17.1597	17.2778	21.0969	18.0157
050357	23.6411	22.6545	24.5345	23.6386
050359	20.4005	17.7907	21.7548	19.8316
050360	31.7608	31.3526	31.7583	31.6236
050366	21.3442	23.7528	19.6823	21.4770
050367	29.4763	28.2805	30.7328	29.5063
050369	24.2604	27.0548	26.2234	25.8174
050373	26.6548	26.9776	27.8275	27.1333
050376	25.3036	26.5840	28.0990	26.5882
050377	25.6401	17.1764	17.0012	20.1035
050378	22.2363	25.9810	26.9101	24.8709
050379	15.4994	15.2022	18.4278	16.2767
050380	30.5790	31.4343	31.9578	31.3600
050382	26.1465	26.1398	25.9244	26.0725
050385	25.9188	24.6083	*	25.2398
050388	13.7863	19.1512	22.0122	17.5709
050390	22.5668	25.0426	24.2700	23.9349
050391	22.4881	18.9266	20.0615	20.3952

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
050392	21.9324	21.6729	22.9430	22.1487
050393	23.1387	25.6964	24.1981	24.3082
050394	22.2424	23.0604	23.1526	22.8333
050396	23.6322	24.0636	25.3729	24.3512
050397	20.7698	20.2601	20.6397	20.5453
050401	17.7807	20.7473	18.4593	18.9557
050404	19.2754	17.3396	15.9839	17.4356
050406	16.8931	17.3016	17.8596	17.3407
050407	30.1222	29.9642	30.8346	30.2996
050410	16.4735	17.6769	19.8508	17.8663
050411	32.2364	34.8899	33.1943	33.4145
050414	24.4243	24.2060	25.9723	24.9224
050417	21.8884	21.5739	23.3005	22.2456
050419	23.1162	23.7584	23.4936	23.4646
050420	22.6819	22.3166	23.5438	22.8448
050423	23.3296	17.3771	21.3552	20.6272
050424	23.7788	22.8350	24.0727	23.5641
050425	33.6911	32.8364	35.3712	34.0224
050426	23.7082	25.2453	29.0120	25.8759
050427	20.0698	20.1674	16.4330	18.6499
050430	21.3428	23.8788	21.2275	22.2136
050432	21.4984	24.4133	24.5630	23.4427
050433	16.8035	17.4643	18.9021	17.7004
050434	15.6348	19.7591	*	17.6624
050435	32.9865	25.6676	23.3426	26.8858
050436	16.3594	14.8121	*	15.5729
050438	24.0828	25.0138	23.2583	24.1266
050440	21.1100	23.5167	22.5400	22.3553
050441	28.7067	28.9804	31.8774	29.8169
050443	16.4308	19.9020	17.2875	17.7906
050444	24.6741	21.4533	22.4530	22.8550
050446	20.5383	20.4908	22.3422	21.1378
050447	18.4183	17.9751	18.9851	18.4558
050448	20.0757	19.7046	21.7718	20.5035
050449	22.1784	23.8001	23.4614	23.1469
050454	28.6857	28.7432	30.0792	29.2410
050455	19.9209	20.1643	19.8577	19.9840
050456	17.6229	20.1254	18.1585	18.5890
050457	31.2489	34.4949	32.1910	32.6376
050459	37.0914	*	*	37.0914
050464	22.3142	25.3292	25.7710	24.4665
050468	23.1701	23.3050	22.2926	22.8998
050469	23.4404	23.8759	24.5205	23.8915
050470	17.0353	16.0292	16.0805	16.3264
050471	24.2887	25.6172	27.1597	25.6415
050476	23.1428	22.4754	24.0253	23.2552
050477	27.7855	27.9595	27.5819	27.7866
050478	23.0530	24.5401	26.3306	24.6133
050481	26.8293	28.9722	27.7973	27.8692
050482	16.9268	18.1217	16.0114	17.0134
050483	21.6038	22.7182	*	22.1632
050485	23.1933	24.1983	24.6906	24.0174
050486	24.4967	*	*	24.4967
050488	32.8620	34.6939	31.7481	33.0979
050491	25.1011	26.8703	27.4600	26.4606
050492	21.4156	19.5457	20.5030	20.4277
050494	25.4078	29.2621	29.1296	27.9125
050496	33.0168	32.5168	34.9704	33.4862
050497	*	13.8110	15.4115	14.5264
050498	24.8445	24.9677	26.1716	25.3085
050502	22.6253	22.3788	25.3701	23.4214
050503	23.5911	24.4069	23.3745	23.7879
050506	21.2165	25.0845	25.0333	23.8164

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
050510	33.4617	33.3774	33.7481	33.5309
050512	34.3138	35.3581	34.4368	34.6837
050515	35.0412	35.3419	33.7321	34.6571
050516	25.1850	24.7992	26.1969	25.4171
050517	20.3733	20.9550	22.0985	21.1081
050522	31.7326	35.3784	36.2127	34.2256
050523	28.4235	27.0544	31.2522	28.8864
050526	26.9206	23.8099	26.4014	25.6096
050528	18.6898	19.0611	18.9155	18.8867
050531	20.7332	22.7308	21.3948	21.6689
050534	23.3026	24.0700	24.0001	23.7954
050535	24.2257	25.4215	26.8511	25.4120
050537	22.2073	22.2256	24.0354	22.8159
050539	23.2501	20.7129	23.3846	22.4405
050541	34.6195	34.4573	36.6149	35.2691
050542	17.8537	16.0892	17.7737	17.2018
050543	23.0437	22.3994	21.6795	22.3610
050545	27.5713	26.3304	31.7280	27.9472
050546	27.7557	26.1949	38.8087	28.7303
050547	27.0845	26.8305	37.7681	28.7499
050548	26.5922	28.8083	29.8516	28.2370
050549	27.9098	27.2765	28.9615	28.0769
050550	25.7546	24.8048	25.6588	25.4034
050551	24.0488	25.4652	24.8084	24.7966
050552	22.8731	21.5216	20.3239	21.6775
050557	22.1385	21.1243	22.2562	21.8314
050559	24.6689	23.5759	24.7866	24.3485
050561	33.9268	34.5791	33.4423	33.9701
050564	24.5099	23.5922	24.2091	24.0891
050565	22.8785	23.7829	20.8349	22.3644
050566	18.3297	17.4423	22.3448	19.2949
050567	24.2349	24.6454	25.0787	24.6746
050568	20.5205	19.5816	20.5376	20.2025
050569	24.9453	26.5479	27.3429	26.2484
050570	24.4961	25.2294	25.8619	25.1838
050571	24.3741	26.2039	24.0154	24.8290
050573	25.1398	24.9644	25.6589	25.2612
050575	*	19.5611	20.7090	20.0979
050577	20.5177	25.1549	23.5487	22.9797
050578	28.9073	28.5379	28.9009	28.7846
050579	30.0694	30.4952	29.9348	30.1803
050580	23.9183	25.9004	24.6962	24.8350
050581	23.5660	23.8584	24.9807	24.1454
050583	23.3609	24.3987	25.8800	24.5448
050584	23.1610	21.2366	19.5805	21.2667
050585	26.4985	25.9426	24.2824	25.5872
050586	23.8402	23.4079	23.1850	23.4570
050588	30.3873	25.3094	24.5472	26.4705
050589	24.3453	24.8698	23.8880	24.3389
050590	*	22.4480	24.4797	23.4541
050591	22.3224	23.9412	25.0209	23.7207
050592	26.0528	21.1745	22.1174	23.0414
050594	22.7826	27.1584	27.7002	25.6455
050597	23.1789	22.8523	23.3280	23.1176
050598	28.1062	24.3597	23.9202	25.2869
050599	26.3191	29.1221	26.0892	27.1846
050601	32.8704	31.8670	29.7417	31.4201
050603	22.7500	23.3390	21.7031	22.5608
050604	33.3239	34.0461	35.4034	34.3023
050607	24.1052	*	*	24.1052
050608	16.1529	18.0947	18.1664	17.4208
050609	31.9340	34.9935	33.5028	33.4973
050613	23.4779	23.3835	30.2413	25.4419

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
050615	23.7015	23.8815	27.5682	24.9089
050616	22.7960	22.7437	24.9843	23.5101
050618	21.7032	21.6509	21.4895	21.6219
050623	30.3208	29.1806	27.5832	29.0384
050624	22.3419	22.7148	26.4659	23.7251
050625	24.3503	26.4849	27.5816	26.1377
050630	24.0961	23.9159	24.2120	24.0782
050633	21.9790	23.1918	25.4283	23.5401
050635	37.8481	*	*	37.8481
050636	20.8349	21.2618	23.5257	21.8335
050638	23.6341	18.2859	18.2159	19.5807
050641	21.3605	21.8315	17.1258	19.7042
050644	23.1229	22.3456	22.1489	22.5048
050661	20.4769	19.6780	*	20.1699
050662	28.2910	26.9606	35.0989	28.9225
050663	23.7097	30.6591	24.9110	25.8492
050667	24.1064	24.9979	27.5045	25.1663
050668	39.9001	42.0974	61.7751	44.9671
050670	21.8750	20.0152	24.6101	21.9523
050674	36.2361	34.7380	32.4807	34.3308
050675	15.8423	15.6794	*	15.7602
050676	17.5302	18.6672	20.2087	18.7455
050677	33.7056	35.6503	33.6070	34.3198
050678	22.6591	26.8741	22.7756	23.9129
050680	27.3188	28.0584	31.4839	28.9200
050682	17.9715	26.2882	17.3566	19.6443
050684	21.8067	22.3398	23.3697	22.4849
050685	32.1330	31.1725	35.1307	32.7762
050686	33.2515	35.2631	33.4420	33.9679
050688	29.9990	30.6635	31.0648	30.5922
050689	34.1851	30.7295	30.9399	31.8127
050690	33.8277	32.8204	34.8112	33.8469
050693	33.2977	26.8265	25.5662	28.3155
050694	22.5719	23.2293	23.5572	23.1120
050695	23.5215	21.1377	24.4301	23.0784
050696	26.4103	28.0015	28.3291	27.6235
050697	21.4716	21.1566	18.2338	20.1433
050699	28.4754	25.7843	17.5296	23.1610
050700	28.4522	*	*	28.4522
050701	27.6190	22.6959	24.3055	24.7548
050702	12.2518	*	*	12.2518
050704	20.7568	22.8716	22.7618	22.3025
050707	27.5065	26.2732	27.8958	27.2979
050708	21.9149	22.7821	24.8647	23.2324
050709	19.4255	21.9598	19.4977	20.2535
050710	26.8095	26.9060	27.5828	27.1479
050713	15.3027	17.7259	16.8538	16.6077
050714	*	28.9314	30.1925	29.4900
050715	19.1151	*	*	19.1151
050717	*	25.9534	28.7973	27.3346
050718	*	17.6062	18.0940	17.8064
050719	*	25.5508	23.0833	23.8495
050720	*	*	25.8677	25.8677
060001	20.5908	21.3659	21.1819	21.0411
060003	19.3243	19.8023	20.4682	19.8685
060004	21.7899	22.8750	21.4496	22.0469
060006	17.8613	19.3651	20.0213	19.0568
060007	16.3833	17.4682	18.2977	17.3945
060008	17.0944	18.0333	18.4590	17.8646
060009	21.1795	21.4312	22.7164	21.8027
060010	22.7241	24.0872	23.6827	23.5135
060011	21.9727	23.4366	22.3458	22.5831
060012	19.7746	20.1442	19.4932	19.7974

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
060013	19.1369	22.7346	19.1256	20.3432
060014	20.5353	24.2459	24.3210	23.0067
060015	23.5675	20.9773	23.2469	22.5866
060016	15.9627	16.4707	20.2408	17.3661
060018	21.8607	20.3183	21.5083	21.2146
060020	17.7250	18.3099	18.8985	18.3187
060022	19.6488	21.0558	21.0830	20.6200
060023	19.6534	19.2373	21.5475	20.1296
060024	22.8347	21.9955	22.9185	22.5887
060027	21.6731	20.9846	22.0713	21.5836
060028	22.2461	23.2065	23.1792	22.8860
060029	21.4111	20.8585	18.2938	20.0752
060030	20.0345	20.5002	20.3452	20.2923
060031	19.3998	21.1649	22.5067	20.9951
060032	22.3702	23.4162	22.8123	22.8765
060033	13.8165	15.9085	16.0760	15.2591
060034	21.4110	22.4791	23.2816	22.4305
060036	19.2386	15.0698	18.5988	17.4095
060037	14.0458	15.5611	15.4513	15.0213
060038	14.3084	14.0791	14.3249	14.2429
060041	14.8299	14.8934	19.1263	15.9980
060042	20.0815	19.1892	20.8597	19.9134
060043	13.0544	13.6717	13.4443	13.3963
060044	22.5286	19.7039	20.8673	21.1240
060046	20.4359	19.4567	22.2699	20.7384
060047	15.1181	15.8770	17.1534	15.9786
060049	20.6427	21.7797	23.0613	21.7878
060050	16.8012	18.2238	19.0832	18.0606
060052	12.5517	13.4210	14.8729	13.6675
060053	14.9399	15.9806	18.0232	16.2596
060054	19.3943	22.8985	20.4160	20.8278
060056	17.0509	18.2831	18.1263	17.9597
060057	23.3804	26.4046	25.4185	25.1123
060058	16.9064	15.4856	13.8539	15.6088
060060	14.8894	15.6469	15.6018	15.4330
060062	14.9354	17.2991	16.8640	16.3901
060063	15.0896	*	*	15.0896
060064	20.9349	21.2207	22.7797	21.6636
060065	24.3032	21.6305	24.5572	23.4210
060066	14.0672	16.3485	17.2537	15.7129
060068	19.6355	*	*	19.6355
060070	16.5821	17.3184	18.8960	17.6173
060071	16.9545	17.5987	17.4068	17.3254
060073	15.8385	15.7860	17.0846	16.2338
060075	22.8498	24.1550	23.8724	23.6295
060076	19.2861	24.8732	20.3265	21.3796
060085	13.4761	13.6277	14.3409	13.7955
060087	21.0277	*	*	21.0277
060088	16.6753	25.2786	13.7174	17.2655
060090	14.5096	22.2974	16.3760	17.6196
060096	23.1232	21.9623	20.8937	21.9261
060100	21.9983	23.5986	23.9305	23.4279
060103	22.3414	24.8151	23.5083	23.4950
060104	22.3008	22.2295	21.1820	21.8472
060107	13.6449	14.2698	21.9221	15.1674
070001	26.5150	26.0878	26.3596	26.3266
070002	25.4570	26.2801	26.1768	25.9680
070003	26.0894	25.6949	27.5200	26.4175
070004	23.2664	22.4871	24.2567	23.3158
070005	25.5739	26.6483	26.9151	26.3676
070006	28.7139	27.5674	28.6413	28.3103
070007	27.1867	26.9505	26.3313	26.8152
070008	26.0269	23.0227	24.2971	24.3585

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
070009	23.4686	24.6201	24.1871	24.0886
070010	25.9375	26.2354	29.2194	27.0543
070011	23.9603	23.3638	23.0883	23.4486
070012	25.1022	23.0321	28.8067	25.3536
070015	25.3317	23.8240	28.1204	25.7263
070016	26.3005	24.9148	24.4633	25.2035
070017	24.8038	26.2923	26.0424	25.7039
070018	28.8776	28.0689	30.6864	29.1923
070019	24.7025	25.7283	24.9249	25.1145
070020	23.7227	23.9987	25.9964	24.5532
070021	26.5173	25.2978	26.3043	26.0246
070022	25.0845	26.5691	26.9111	26.1557
070024	25.1491	25.2983	24.8948	25.1081
070025	25.4055	25.1315	25.4345	25.3241
070026	18.7892	*	*	18.7892
070027	23.6381	23.6412	26.8450	24.6648
070028	24.6913	24.6788	25.7492	25.0300
070029	22.7507	22.0080	23.9682	22.8885
070030	24.9676	28.9117	22.1578	25.5338
070031	21.6565	23.4419	24.1198	23.0342
070033	28.8099	30.4214	31.4736	30.2068
070034	29.1220	28.9200	29.4916	29.1706
070035	23.0574	23.0869	24.1423	23.4347
070036	28.9463	28.8400	29.9470	29.2263
070039	21.7791	22.9032	22.3356	22.3067
080001	25.2849	25.4836	24.8833	25.2209
080002	15.5984	19.6011	20.1965	18.4286
080003	22.3957	22.1856	23.1275	22.5300
080004	19.7725	21.9391	22.9706	21.5842
080005	14.4289	*	*	14.4289
080006	22.2632	20.0792	22.6671	21.6173
080007	20.3833	19.6213	21.3746	20.4985
090001	25.8921	21.7526	21.5751	23.1400
090002	19.6997	19.4191	21.5726	20.1912
090003	28.6092	22.1090	23.1268	24.5792
090004	24.4267	24.3367	25.5054	24.7042
090005	24.8766	23.8620	26.3074	24.9846
090006	20.0816	20.8675	22.0957	21.0167
090007	21.6551	22.1973	29.2840	24.7855
090008	21.5972	20.2166	25.2708	22.3042
090010	15.8676	24.1287	23.6616	20.2595
090011	27.3741	27.4781	26.6349	27.1495
100001	17.6948	19.5796	20.2157	19.1458
100002	21.3243	20.7136	21.0222	21.0141
100004	15.2465	14.6283	15.4149	15.0845
100006	20.6302	20.1133	21.2293	20.6802
100007	21.7217	21.7242	22.1590	21.8790
100008	20.7232	20.4980	20.8381	20.6876
100009	24.2947	22.6419	22.1741	22.9648
100010	21.9101	21.9078	23.0637	22.2904
100012	18.5169	19.6177	20.4659	19.5030
100014	19.8352	19.8023	19.5770	19.7276
100015	18.2394	18.4779	18.0654	18.2696
100017	17.7739	19.0608	19.8655	18.9086
100018	20.8392	21.0332	21.6388	21.1816
100019	19.8134	22.6152	23.5462	21.9719
100020	26.1783	21.3848	20.7816	22.5004
100022	25.8853	26.4094	26.5695	26.2778
100023	21.1068	19.9739	19.1787	20.0604
100024	20.7760	21.8791	22.1332	21.6047
100025	19.1219	18.7774	19.4529	19.1169
100026	20.7591	20.5641	20.9461	20.7639
100027	12.9410	19.1481	14.7916	15.3484

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
100028	19.7491	19.3757	19.3371	19.4791
100029	19.1768	20.8745	20.8950	20.2753
100030	18.8229	22.8204	20.5952	20.6758
100032	19.3165	19.8127	19.7451	19.6185
100034	18.2314	17.8743	19.5282	18.5138
100035	19.5842	20.1540	23.8117	21.2289
100038	24.7851	23.3578	24.5864	24.2183
100039	20.2529	21.5297	21.7861	21.1854
100040	18.6417	19.0449	18.6321	18.7662
100043	17.5215	18.7993	18.8206	18.3605
100044	21.1370	21.4764	22.7236	21.7975
100045	20.7688	20.9216	21.0228	20.9056
100046	21.2094	21.6207	21.3028	21.3728
100047	18.8677	20.0114	20.6068	19.8263
100048	13.5021	15.0584	15.7790	14.8232
100049	18.5598	18.8535	19.1025	18.8421
100050	16.6058	17.2377	17.9039	17.2452
100051	18.8377	23.1273	17.9453	19.6449
100052	16.1855	17.9537	18.1780	17.4312
100053	18.7103	20.1724	19.6800	19.5213
100054	18.1853	23.5491	21.1518	20.9367
100055	17.6226	18.0547	18.8760	18.1971
100056	23.6545	25.7863	21.8506	23.8349
100057	18.7489	19.9712	19.5319	19.4242
100060	22.3904	23.2561	23.5997	23.0802
100061	21.7923	22.1133	22.9176	22.2483
100062	17.9575	19.4370	21.4424	19.6570
100063	16.2324	19.2629	18.4642	17.9066
100067	17.3950	18.0877	18.4851	17.9682
100068	18.6480	19.9305	19.8308	19.4718
100069	16.1393	16.8271	17.3666	16.7757
100070	20.3358	18.7408	20.0381	19.6563
100071	16.4756	17.5451	17.7234	17.2640
100072	19.2223	21.0225	20.5968	20.3580
100073	18.1554	21.1898	22.2812	20.4948
100075	18.0548	18.3688	19.4480	18.6211
100076	16.2469	17.8733	17.8612	17.3644
100077	19.6214	22.3438	19.0640	20.3179
100078	18.2791	18.4499	19.2891	18.6609
100080	21.1603	22.1966	22.7153	22.0462
100081	13.9564	14.8313	15.4253	14.7661
100082	19.8033	18.8998	*	19.3432
100084	20.4002	22.3674	22.7009	21.8810
100085	21.0802	22.1231	*	21.5986
100086	21.1625	21.6997	23.3718	22.0734
100087	23.1162	23.6090	23.6562	23.4609
100088	20.0571	20.3693	20.5566	20.3435
100090	17.8768	19.1479	19.7695	18.9939
100092	18.1953	17.9216	20.1760	18.7907
100093	16.6310	16.5128	16.8422	16.6633
100098	19.0319	19.2427	20.8315	19.7124
100099	15.2983	15.7823	15.7591	15.6112
100102	19.3330	18.9701	19.7673	19.3542
100103	18.1019	17.2364	18.7844	18.0201
100105	21.5028	21.6604	21.8268	21.6611
100106	19.3113	17.2527	17.4958	17.9164
100107	18.0142	20.1281	20.0719	19.4041
100108	11.4692	19.9593	20.1125	16.4375
100109	22.1715	20.8440	20.8370	21.2360
100110	19.6439	20.8995	20.1853	20.2509
100112	9.7706	25.2570	15.2128	15.6728
100113	22.2584	23.2020	21.3489	22.1642
100114	23.4501	21.6262	22.8178	22.5825

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
100117	18.8619	20.7624	20.6962	20.1889
100118	19.7608	22.8702	20.7323	21.1427
100121	19.3435	*	18.5842	18.9363
100122	18.0551	19.8783	19.2643	19.0686
100124	19.0527	17.0713	20.4022	18.8192
100125	17.3358	18.9535	19.6097	18.6719
100126	18.0943	19.5413	19.3103	18.9490
100127	19.8727	19.9860	19.2122	19.6859
100128	21.3653	20.1536	22.8826	21.4045
100129	18.5723	19.1936	*	18.8646
100130	19.1052	18.6751	20.0947	19.3019
100131	22.1680	23.4373	23.1622	22.9338
100132	16.8978	18.1167	18.7863	17.9218
100134	13.4711	15.1764	15.9733	14.8260
100135	17.4785	18.8253	19.1865	18.5050
100137	19.0464	18.6955	19.5562	19.1372
100138	11.0135	17.1373	14.9539	13.7935
100139	15.6444	15.6514	15.2532	15.5227
100140	17.3518	17.1389	19.0584	17.8826
100142	18.6812	19.6815	18.4113	18.9199
100144	15.0197	12.2877	*	13.4059
100145	19.1143	*	*	19.1143
100146	17.8692	18.1267	21.3359	19.1001
100147	14.6751	14.6616	15.2348	14.8665
100150	21.0224	21.2807	21.5057	21.2659
100151	19.3990	21.6087	23.8489	21.6478
100154	19.8485	20.0015	20.4068	20.1020
100156	17.1335	19.4980	18.4779	18.3856
100157	21.0324	22.6744	22.6195	22.1032
100159	16.3778	10.2793	10.7818	11.9429
100160	21.6339	20.5581	23.3121	21.8278
100161	21.5025	22.2994	22.3053	22.0508
100162	19.8748	20.1411	20.3110	20.1117
100165	18.5739	19.0388	22.6622	20.3299
100166	20.4228	20.0250	21.2309	20.5491
100167	21.8138	23.4075	23.2969	22.8605
100168	20.1260	20.1994	20.3167	20.2165
100169	20.7778	20.9506	20.3017	20.6703
100170	15.1167	18.5088	19.3005	17.5325
100172	15.1848	14.3446	14.8826	14.8099
100173	17.3416	18.5662	17.1337	17.6572
100174	20.5125	26.1826	21.9807	22.2819
100175	17.8237	18.1692	20.5442	19.0035
100176	24.6978	22.8604	24.3089	23.9493
100177	22.0034	24.4296	24.4284	23.5639
100179	20.9053	22.3015	23.0849	22.0467
100180	18.4754	20.2130	21.5388	20.0049
100181	24.5704	23.0800	18.9510	21.8206
100183	20.8579	24.6121	23.0654	22.6623
100187	20.6938	20.2533	20.8535	20.6013
100189	21.0102	21.3147	26.5962	23.0255
100191	18.4692	19.9879	21.0647	19.7731
100199	23.3713	21.7193	*	22.5030
100200	22.2575	22.4579	23.8729	22.8861
100203	18.8628	*	*	18.8628
100204	20.2049	20.8995	20.2193	20.4418
100206	20.3511	19.5710	20.1171	20.0138
100207	15.9173	*	*	15.9173
100208	20.8337	21.2117	20.7029	20.9220
100209	19.7329	22.4577	23.3903	21.8570
100210	19.1799	21.3575	21.8545	20.7662
100211	25.5277	20.6427	20.7516	21.9172
100212	25.3441	21.1187	21.1263	22.2176

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
100213	19.1238	20.6558	21.1818	20.2975
100217	19.8700	20.5909	22.7335	21.0211
100220	19.9121	21.2796	21.8246	20.9627
100221	22.2517	17.3965	21.2321	20.0812
100222	22.1958	*	*	22.1958
100223	18.7580	20.6302	20.2233	19.8755
100224	24.7023	20.0251	21.8628	21.8826
100225	20.6404	20.6802	21.5059	20.9335
100226	24.8641	20.6858	21.8808	22.2342
100228	23.6986	21.3168	20.8810	21.8300
100229	18.2070	19.6908	18.2350	18.7682
100230	20.6018	20.5051	22.5650	21.2357
100231	17.4002	17.9226	18.7526	18.0268
100232	17.3171	19.3491	19.8002	18.8267
100234	21.5763	20.9104	21.6360	21.3290
100235	17.6648	17.1622	*	17.4262
100236	21.8111	20.3766	20.6942	20.8937
100237	22.9344	22.0865	23.2408	22.7368
100238	17.6310	19.6367	20.8252	19.4032
100239	19.7605	21.3193	19.4481	20.1474
100240	17.9339	20.4340	21.0606	19.8014
100241	13.8344	14.7224	17.1063	15.0865
100242	17.1154	17.9260	18.6938	17.9097
100243	20.3838	21.2644	20.8041	20.8228
100244	17.4124	18.6227	20.5352	18.9148
100246	21.2160	19.6376	21.9247	20.8876
100248	21.5399	20.7007	21.2988	21.1681
100249	19.0243	19.2808	18.1397	18.8067
100252	17.8726	17.7778	19.8079	18.4729
100253	20.6014	21.3232	22.4778	21.5023
100254	20.9080	19.6598	19.5523	19.9896
100255	21.0224	25.2119	21.0284	22.2338
100256	23.5640	20.9356	21.2786	21.7690
100258	21.8764	21.3501	20.0300	21.0257
100259	19.8600	20.3815	21.1160	20.4723
100260	21.2224	21.0506	24.9183	22.3504
100262	19.5874	20.0433	21.0927	20.2558
100263	16.9012	*	*	16.9012
100264	17.6085	19.1556	19.9491	18.8967
100265	19.8571	18.8301	18.2291	18.8491
100266	17.7319	18.2993	19.3623	18.4763
100267	17.0986	20.1141	21.7430	19.6266
100268	23.5863	23.9249	24.0538	23.8633
100269	21.2047	21.6724	22.5114	21.8200
100270	19.8576	15.1462	16.7148	17.2012
100271	19.9208	20.4824	20.8695	20.4494
100275	21.3273	20.9188	21.4904	21.2374
100276	21.9797	22.3646	24.1022	22.8308
100277	16.1410	16.6255	19.7241	17.0041
100279	23.0213	22.9095	22.5879	22.8402
100280	16.5851	17.3676	18.1972	17.4129
100281	22.0202	22.4392	23.0142	22.5262
100282	19.7717	19.1978	18.4884	19.1653
100284	*	*	18.9448	18.9448
110001	18.0571	19.1971	20.1150	19.1086
110002	17.3674	17.1406	19.5158	18.0122
110003	16.9099	18.1168	17.1450	17.3940
110004	18.9468	19.5591	19.7733	19.4194
110005	19.2639	17.7348	22.4568	20.0888
110006	20.1273	20.7820	21.0601	20.6571
110007	23.4976	21.9505	25.2523	23.5682
110008	18.2642	22.0081	18.5265	19.5622
110009	14.8218	16.3069	17.4306	16.2843

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
110010	24.5493	23.3213	23.9104	23.9180
110011	18.2846	18.6144	18.9823	18.6368
110013	16.0264	16.2811	18.9160	17.1183
110014	16.1168	16.0658	18.1787	16.7192
110015	19.4769	21.2146	20.9926	20.5614
110016	15.2967	22.5321	14.2398	16.6540
110017	10.5399	13.1960	22.2537	15.3899
110018	21.0415	19.6064	22.1480	20.9298
110020	18.5251	18.3147	19.4617	18.7736
110023	18.6460	21.1994	22.0546	20.5885
110024	19.7923	20.7297	20.7345	20.4144
110025	18.6463	19.5749	20.4232	19.5033
110026	16.1414	17.2977	16.2484	16.5517
110027	14.6834	16.0642	14.7081	15.1696
110028	19.8894	20.1547	29.1670	22.3800
110029	20.0507	20.2906	21.2150	20.5454
110030	17.6785	18.8105	19.6412	18.7203
110031	21.5794	19.9482	20.0553	20.4598
110032	16.1859	15.7349	18.2014	16.6413
110033	21.4143	22.1879	25.6335	22.9577
110034	18.1882	19.6055	19.5554	19.0987
110035	21.1670	19.3795	22.7950	21.1658
110036	24.4181	22.2498	20.7284	22.3301
110038	16.3750	17.7060	17.7396	17.2680
110039	20.7710	20.6011	20.4998	20.6248
110040	16.4043	17.0743	16.8083	16.7529
110041	16.6927	18.8035	20.2755	18.6583
110042	20.6503	24.0153	25.2331	23.2575
110043	17.2175	20.1016	20.6150	19.2219
110044	19.5983	16.3624	17.2087	17.5794
110045	19.9445	20.2498	21.3049	20.4714
110046	19.2327	19.7377	21.4905	20.1167
110048	15.6463	16.3148	15.6113	15.8483
110049	14.2135	16.1817	16.8639	15.7669
110050	18.7516	20.7619	19.2291	19.5578
110051	15.7475	17.0070	17.2292	16.6496
110052	15.0562	*	*	15.0562
110054	19.2712	*	20.0549	19.6625
110056	16.4960	15.6202	17.7959	16.7305
110059	17.6984	16.6678	16.7990	17.0253
110061	13.7196	15.0367	16.3557	15.0889
110062	12.2107	18.8019	17.0053	16.1264
110063	17.9743	16.9612	18.5071	17.7965
110064	18.3368	18.9515	19.1203	18.8163
110065	13.3245	15.6771	16.3546	15.1604
110066	20.6502	21.0207	22.4189	21.3274
110069	18.3519	19.3109	20.9575	19.5384
110070	18.2264	21.0227	17.3438	18.7743
110071	14.8902	14.5984	18.8321	15.8863
110072	12.4303	12.7877	12.7625	12.6652
110073	15.1377	15.4261	16.4658	15.6663
110074	20.7572	21.3945	22.3769	21.5169
110075	17.0067	18.5199	20.1757	18.5793
110076	20.4430	21.2867	21.9798	21.2384
110078	24.7069	22.3718	24.0893	23.6954
110079	20.1385	21.0593	22.1070	21.0913
110080	23.4336	18.4768	19.1839	20.1449
110082	22.0078	23.8768	24.3140	23.4175
110083	21.3578	23.1219	23.1463	22.5746
110086	14.9756	18.2815	16.6374	16.5417
110087	20.5420	21.7773	22.7069	21.7189
110089	18.5761	18.5587	19.3855	18.8318
110091	21.3789	19.5114	21.5328	20.7784

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
110092	15.0890	17.3479	16.9725	16.4433
110093	14.8049	*	16.9827	15.7486
110094	13.8658	14.5641	16.9503	15.0650
110095	15.9478	16.4670	17.1195	16.5075
110096	16.3202	16.8541	17.4157	16.8647
110097	15.6164	15.5811	17.4558	16.1121
110098	14.0067	16.3532	16.0597	15.3226
110100	20.3764	18.6978	19.0764	19.3213
110101	11.7278	10.8187	18.8491	12.7872
110103	11.9352	13.6842	21.1837	14.0859
110104	15.3184	15.7781	15.9431	15.6829
110105	16.5196	16.8909	16.7775	16.7306
110107	17.3921	19.3609	19.3897	18.7335
110108	15.1401	19.7938	25.2161	19.3940
110109	16.3703	15.9359	16.4031	16.2270
110111	17.3215	18.5108	18.3951	18.0800
110112	19.1288	19.0619	19.8986	19.3117
110113	15.1896	16.8179	15.9532	15.9721
110114	15.1303	14.6888	16.4812	15.4358
110115	24.8332	43.9427	22.5049	27.8401
110118	15.3992	20.5368	19.7509	18.5122
110120	15.1878	15.2589	17.7452	15.9897
110121	15.5792	16.2711	19.3643	17.0685
110122	18.8497	21.1385	21.1469	20.3688
110124	17.1306	17.5732	18.3366	17.6460
110125	17.3254	19.1311	18.0090	18.1411
110127	13.7612	14.6143	20.3765	16.2641
110128	18.9705	18.1845	18.0835	18.4293
110129	18.1208	18.9388	19.0001	18.6851
110130	13.0779	16.0580	14.6011	14.6559
110132	15.0231	16.0419	16.3943	15.8158
110134	11.5583	12.5723	19.8639	15.1252
110135	17.0834	17.4380	17.3504	17.2967
110136	16.1680	18.0639	16.9629	16.8702
110140	17.8806	17.8870	17.7915	17.8571
110141	12.5051	13.2501	14.4935	13.4024
110142	12.3029	14.6144	13.9525	13.5947
110143	21.6898	20.1603	22.5926	21.5352
110144	17.9766	16.8685	17.5112	17.4397
110146	17.6068	16.1316	17.1835	16.9320
110149	22.2256	17.7535	32.1975	23.0615
110150	18.7724	20.2644	21.2909	20.0962
110152	14.7674	15.3996	15.1324	15.1011
110153	18.6862	19.2744	20.5068	19.4781
110154	14.8067	14.9636	17.3761	15.6408
110155	17.1370	15.5306	16.5146	16.3434
110156	15.3422	14.7477	16.3876	15.4698
110161	20.8657	21.7153	22.2861	21.6563
110163	18.2016	20.4202	18.6637	19.0060
110164	19.4946	20.2074	21.2160	20.2947
110165	18.9974	21.2577	20.8030	20.3401
110166	19.8510	20.5882	20.5049	20.3148
110168	19.8178	20.6646	21.8058	20.8014
110169	18.7189	20.6385	22.6648	20.4216
110171	20.0874	23.7893	25.5296	22.6284
110172	25.4390	23.3730	23.6803	24.1715
110174	14.2978	13.7339	14.6199	14.1905
110176	22.3971	*	*	22.3971
110177	19.5888	20.7187	21.2796	20.5272
110178	16.8555	18.8306	*	17.8083
110179	20.5161	22.7841	22.0767	21.7231
110181	13.7195	14.0941	12.9798	13.6399
110183	21.1797	23.3826	22.5148	22.3473

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
110184	20.9465	22.1970	22.1920	21.7791
110185	16.2487	16.7246	17.7925	16.9013
110186	17.3398	17.4287	18.3178	17.6984
110187	21.4462	20.1154	19.8419	20.4516
110188	20.0548	24.8376	23.7032	22.6465
110189	18.8627	22.2715	20.8786	20.7023
110190	19.4318	18.5728	18.3649	18.7761
110191	19.1065	20.2033	21.4033	20.2583
110192	20.7660	21.4951	21.0486	21.1064
110193	18.7807	20.6380	20.7867	20.0518
110194	15.0937	15.1480	14.8115	15.0165
110195	10.5227	13.9135	12.7261	12.3146
110198	26.1898	24.1999	24.8646	25.0493
110200	17.2129	18.1862	17.7744	17.7301
110201	19.2438	20.4699	20.9497	20.2248
110203	20.2958	26.8148	22.7453	23.1944
110204	20.5728	19.7317	30.7342	21.7754
110205	26.1154	21.1435	21.3617	22.7145
110207	12.8710	12.9727	14.7154	13.5335
110208	14.8907	15.1742	15.6161	5.1789
110209	20.4640	17.9190	18.6404	18.9942
110211	21.8226	20.9372	26.9151	23.1427
110212	12.6583	11.8545	14.3790	12.8830
110213	13.1976	14.3651	*	13.7453
110215	*	20.1928	18.1539	19.0047
110216	*	*	27.1878	27.1878
120001	26.7134	27.9213	29.0427	27.8237
120002	24.3780	25.0744	25.2021	24.8896
120003	23.8452	25.9059	23.9115	24.5394
120004	24.0456	23.9208	24.8632	24.2413
120005	20.5380	23.3975	24.1662	22.6197
120006	23.7151	25.0895	25.8943	24.8700
120007	23.2684	22.7200	22.8772	22.9509
120009	19.0216	17.4693	16.4485	17.5600
120010	25.3976	25.1480	24.1923	24.8868
120011	33.5459	35.0582	37.2759	35.3313
120012	22.5219	23.1144	21.8507	22.5391
120014	24.0467	22.8866	24.1208	23.6739
120015	29.0747	32.9906	42.6465	33.1800
120016	29.4104	27.9127	45.1899	31.1230
120018	25.6088	24.5031	31.1879	26.2841
120019	21.9199	22.9341	25.5659	23.4285
120021	19.4236	23.4508	23.1839	21.8865
120022	17.9306	21.7868	19.2614	19.5032
120024	22.2846	29.4808	32.2514	26.8486
120025	19.0197	20.1065	50.6376	21.3455
120026	23.2237	26.0787	25.1314	24.7719
120027	24.5549	24.7255	24.4535	24.5737
120028	23.4873	27.5023	27.0897	25.8902
130001	24.9511	18.8471	17.6306	20.1752
130002	16.1853	16.6620	16.9867	16.6200
130003	19.9499	21.7313	22.3430	21.3583
130005	20.1678	20.7169	21.2386	20.7149
130006	18.8705	19.3392	20.4614	19.5797
130007	19.8442	20.8338	21.8107	20.8426
130008	12.9177	12.5506	13.6018	12.9892
130009	18.2958	19.1837	15.9701	17.7296
130010	21.4325	17.6795	17.5119	18.7875
130011	19.0816	20.5031	20.1147	19.9190
130012	22.6153	22.9813	24.9976	23.5891
130013	19.2170	17.4038	15.1129	17.1523
130014	17.9836	18.9769	19.2107	18.7286
130015	15.2662	15.7233	18.5913	16.3849

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
130016	16.9987	17.3942	19.0516	17.7864
130017	16.8822	17.1710	19.6875	17.7220
130018	17.9651	19.7368	19.8425	19.2288
130019	17.2317	18.6648	19.1711	18.3322
130021	12.2562	12.8588	15.6155	13.6528
130022	19.5040	16.5270	18.9127	18.2241
130024	18.3789	19.3634	19.0703	18.9600
130025	15.2691	17.5213	16.4627	16.4881
130026	20.5535	21.5934	21.8106	21.3093
130027	20.7044	21.4279	20.5344	20.8883
130028	18.2074	19.1093	20.9674	19.4388
130029	20.3153	18.4263	18.7694	19.1364
130030	18.3981	17.8440	17.5759	17.9347
130031	17.6458	16.2397	16.7766	16.8967
130034	18.8164	16.9873	18.9483	18.2785
130035	20.4708	19.3478	20.7770	20.1943
130036	13.7942	13.7933	13.6362	13.7373
130037	17.7374	18.8071	18.6856	18.3986
130043	16.0686	16.5102	16.7904	16.4511
130044	13.1816	17.8160	13.4513	14.6424
130045	16.4655	16.0990	19.0208	17.0869
130048	15.0924	16.0899	16.7900	15.9311
130049	20.3928	20.3129	22.4440	21.0760
130054	17.7802	17.2729	17.7085	17.5766
130056	15.6551	14.6862	20.9476	16.5492
130058	17.7462	*	*	17.7462
130060	20.8508	21.8662	22.7399	21.8288
130061	16.7839	15.4006	14.7394	15.6929
130062	15.1086	16.5672	19.8157	17.1915
130063	*	15.9441	18.8024	17.8420
140001	15.4448	16.3372	17.7990	16.4814
140002	19.2575	19.0248	19.9284	19.3999
140003	18.0001	21.2886	17.8595	18.9466
140004	17.5200	15.7042	17.4574	16.8965
140005	10.8718	11.6127	12.3002	11.5858
140007	22.4015	22.9799	23.8585	23.0838
140008	21.2844	21.6548	22.1111	21.6838
140010	25.2227	31.8207	28.5635	28.3677
140011	17.2856	17.8676	18.6164	17.9499
140012	19.4406	23.0653	21.4374	21.2652
140013	17.3488	18.3060	19.6722	18.4213
140014	20.7563	22.4737	21.4042	21.5054
140015	15.0232	16.6735	17.6805	16.4314
140016	12.5363	13.1278	14.4938	13.3972
140018	21.4147	22.3070	22.4132	22.0345
140019	15.3435	16.6548	16.4254	16.1654
140024	14.6674	16.8271	15.3782	15.5912
140025	16.9489	16.9462	18.5135	17.4713
140026	15.9557	16.6612	18.3220	16.9446
140027	17.5023	18.7553	19.2149	18.5013
140029	21.0358	22.8322	26.0833	23.2140
140030	22.4414	21.9475	23.1760	22.5308
140031	15.9442	19.5731	17.6067	17.6942
140032	17.3363	18.1058	19.0383	18.1645
140033	22.5583	24.1722	25.1639	23.9291
140034	19.1482	19.5278	19.8792	19.5183
140035	12.9963	15.2649	15.5040	14.5633
140036	17.0419	18.5771	19.1076	18.2935
140037	12.5012	13.0764	14.1083	13.2105
140038	17.6094	18.3035	18.4948	18.1352
140040	16.2462	19.9267	16.7450	17.5895
140041	17.2829	17.6582	18.5952	17.8248
140042	15.6092	15.4095	15.8892	15.6354

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
140043	18.9464	19.4683	20.1176	19.5022
140045	20.6541	15.5807	17.7799	17.9528
140046	16.4621	18.9763	18.6371	18.0097
140047	16.3298	17.1539	13.3610	15.4382
140048	20.5773	24.0913	23.9545	22.8943
140049	21.5937	28.4958	26.9483	25.7338
140051	20.8455	23.8264	24.0796	22.8956
140052	19.6045	19.6409	17.9571	19.0338
140053	17.8218	19.1892	19.9620	18.9702
140054	26.1497	22.1921	23.1576	23.7695
140055	14.8031	16.3404	14.3603	15.1391
140058	17.2716	17.4927	18.6861	17.8100
140059	15.3934	15.0195	*	15.1978
140061	15.9612	17.3012	18.2039	17.1185
140062	27.0912	28.0877	28.5304	27.9131
140063	22.3882	25.3641	29.1453	25.1919
140064	19.2549	19.1023	18.9379	19.0960
140065	23.1610	24.1128	25.3336	24.1516
140066	16.1759	17.3902	13.6491	15.5770
140067	18.4031	19.3267	19.5292	19.0846
140068	18.8739	19.9691	21.6188	20.0995
140069	16.1453	16.7544	17.3879	16.7949
140070	19.2995	22.9678	22.7153	21.2244
140074	19.0077	19.3504	21.6052	19.9120
140075	22.5083	21.6313	21.6434	21.9439
140077	16.6447	17.5305	17.3647	17.1709
140079	21.9205	23.3020	23.6928	22.9153
140080	20.9999	21.0739	22.1968	21.3875
140081	15.5103	16.2247	16.9808	16.1897
140082	22.6227	23.8960	29.7262	24.9037
140083	18.1349	19.3145	21.0330	19.4951
140084	20.0133	20.9709	22.3467	21.0939
140086	17.3717	18.3803	19.1613	18.3356
140087	18.3639	16.1009	17.1147	17.1839
140088	24.2568	25.2369	25.4176	24.9650
140089	17.2086	17.6366	18.3157	17.7164
140090	23.5888	26.4325	26.9364	25.3709
140091	20.7039	20.9018	21.9322	21.1441
140093	19.1469	18.2899	20.1528	19.1437
140094	20.6129	21.4709	21.9383	21.3227
140095	21.5376	24.0549	24.2859	23.1400
140097	16.8997	17.5081	21.1719	18.4160
140100	19.0588	21.3581	23.1399	21.1571
140101	26.0894	21.5473	21.4211	22.7744
140102	15.0777	17.1500	17.5729	16.5644
140103	17.8586	19.2783	18.1303	18.4145
140105	20.9068	22.6573	22.8944	22.1275
140107	12.7573	13.7533	11.8383	12.6800
140108	28.6028	25.4742	26.9971	26.9964
140109	15.4724	15.7465	14.5498	15.2467
140110	18.8112	19.1822	19.2888	19.0728
140112	16.2399	17.6856	17.6974	17.1885
140113	17.9151	19.0592	19.5584	18.8265
140114	20.4808	21.1639	21.0976	20.9149
140115	20.0939	21.1926	21.0433	20.7564
140116	21.8290	23.1177	23.8993	22.9520
140117	19.6445	21.5671	21.4876	20.8750
140118	23.0797	23.5952	24.3260	23.6559
140119	26.5042	29.1419	27.9145	27.8197
140120	14.8375	18.0743	17.9716	16.8874
140121	9.5268	16.0397	16.6993	13.2257
140122	23.7473	24.6470	26.1270	24.8110
140124	26.9706	27.1906	27.9813	27.3549

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.

TABLE 2.—HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2000 (1996 WAGE DATA), 2001 (1997 WAGE DATA) AND 2002 (1998 WAGE DATA) WAGE INDEXES AND 3-YEAR AVERAGE OF HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGES—Continued

Provider No.	Average Hourly Wage FY 00	Average Hourly Wage FY 01	Average Hourly Wage FY 02	Average** Hourly Wage (3 yrs)
140125	17.0974	17.6759	16.9516	17.2453
140127	19.4259	19.8973	20.0489	19.7765
140128	17.6751	19.4955	23.1327	20.0664
140129	15.2494	18.2639	20.2868	17.8627
140130	23.7682	22.2285	23.4298	23.1296
140132	23.0443	23.5475	23.3054	23.2992
140133	19.9083	21.4090	21.4166	20.8761
140135	17.6927	17.8100	17.3985	17.6268
140137	16.5141	16.8969	18.6330	17.3470
140138	14.5877	16.7420	17.1968	16.2121
140139	16.5794	14.0619	11.0397	13.5138
140140	15.2985	17.8243	17.6845	16.9747
140141	15.1782	17.5204	19.1097	17.2133
140143	18.7616	19.1862	19.0810	19.0186
140144	19.7913	21.3245	22.2864	21.1022
140145	16.6111	17.5471	18.1788	17.4556
140146	23.7400	21.9573	19.9704	21.7285
140147	24.8191	16.1336	18.8049	19.2135
140148	19.5026	18.6598	18.7730	18.9637
140150	27.8485	27.3378	24.7976	26.6536
140151	19.3016	21.3896	20.0310	20.2086
140152	22.4270	24.6333	25.6011	24.1041
140155	17.3131	19.9738	20.2778	19.1103
140158	22.2666	22.7639	22.7988	22.5990
140160	17.8822	17.7691	17.7921	17.8132
140161	19.0448	20.0948	20.3799	19.8258
140162	18.4167	19.6464	20.3452	19.4479
140164	18.6120	18.7806	18.6589	18.6860
140165	15.4186	14.9156	14.7223	15.0080
140166	17.5434	17.5496	18.3833	17.8149
140167	16.5671	17.1479	17.6525	17.1325
140168	16.4638	16.6770	17.7453	16.9752
140170	14.1360	16.1621	16.4107	15.5211
140171	14.7316	14.1637	15.0237	14.6354
140172	20.7982	23.8431	23.6262	22.5610
140173	18.4788	15.1487	16.3924	16.7054
140174	19.9216	20.5339	35.9320	23.2157
140176	21.4129	23.2866	24.5338	23.0397
140177	18.1692	18.2648	15.0827	17.1204
140179	22.6989	21.1948	21.9859	21.9622
140180	23.2536	22.4548	22.7996	22.8262
140181	20.5461	20.8709	21.9864	21.1001
140182	20.7013	22.0170	28.9515	23.2649
140184	14.9763	17.8155	17.2401	16.6194
140185	17.3616	17.6514	18.2867	17.7696
140186	18.9878	22.7890	23.5034	21.7241
140187	17.6910	17.9201	18.3331	17.9863
140188	14.8373	15.2479	16.1907	15.4001
140189	19.0791	21.0616	20.6627	20.2758
140190	15.8770	16.3366	17.5263	16.5534
140191	24.7368	25.8835	25.2628	25.2833
140193	15.5196	15.8022	17.4057	16.2409
140197	17.9828	18.6394	19.3774	18.6752
140199	18.8333	18.3507	18.0450	18.4044
140200	21.6508	21.5220	21.7680	21.6496
140202	22.1800	22.1939	23.7955	22.7597
140203	20.7854	19.9194	21.0848	20.5915
140205	17.2369	17.4751	20.0784	18.0505
140206	20.5096	21.3295	22.5109	21.4570
140207	20.2048	21.9779	22.3905	21.3996
140208	23.9441	25.9900	26.2527	25.3856
140209	17.7889	18.1206	20.1557	18.6405
140210	12.6648	15.6899	14.8248	14.4319

* Asterisk denotes wage data not available for the provider that year.

** The 3-year average hourly wage is weighted by salaries and hours.